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This annual report on the Puget Sound Chinook Comprehensive Harvest Management Plan summarizes results of salmon fisheries occurring between May 1, 2010 and April 30, 2011. This includes comparisons of pre-season projections with actual catch in all commercial and some recreational fisheries. 2009 Recreational catch estimates are presented for those areas where data were not available in time for the 2009-2010 report. Chinook spawning escapement estimates for 2010 are reported for all Puget Sound populations, with details on escapement surveys and estimation methods. Comparisons are also made between pre-season projections of escapement, and actual results.

Commercial Chinook catch in Puget Sound pre-terminal net fisheries (i.e., the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Rosario / Georgia Straits) was higher than projected in all areas. This was primarily a result of the unexpected large return of Fraser River sockeye in 2010. Commercial catches in the Nooksack, Skagit, and Strait of Juan de Fuca terminal areas were all near expectations. Catch in South Sound in aggregate was near expectation, although some areas were well below and some were well above projections. Catch was slightly above preseason projections in the Stillaguamish/Snohomish (due to large catches in the Tulalip Bay extreme terminal area) and Hood Canal areas.

Marine and freshwater landed recreational Chinook catch in the 2009-2010 season was estimated, from a combination of creel and preliminary Catch Record Card data, to be 49,750 , well below the pre-season projection of 61,000 . Creel survey-based estimates of catch in 2009-2010 mark-selective recreational fisheries in Areas 5, 9-10, and 11, Skagit, Skykomish, and Nisqually rivers are included in this report. Total encounter estimates for the 2010-11 marine area selective fisheries were higher than expected in Area 5, but much lower than expected in Areas 9, 10 and 11.

Spring Chinook escapement was above predictions for Skagit, White and Dungeness, and below for Nooksack. For summer/fall stocks, escapement was lower than predicted for the majority of management units.

Coded-wire tag sampling of 2009 commercial fisheries achieved sampling rate above 20\% in most, but not all areas. Areas 12C/12H (Hood Canal), 13A-F ( South Sound), and the Puyallup/White rivers were the areas with the most substantial catches, but with sampling rates below 20\%. All marine area recreational fisheries except Area 13 (South Sound) were sampled at rates between $10 \%$ and $50 \%$ for the year.

## 1 Introduction

The Co-managers' Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan mandates annual reporting of the performance of Chinook harvest management relative to the standards and guidelines of the plan (PSIT and WDFW 2010). This report fulfills that requirement by assessing the performance and effectiveness of fishery management actions adopted for the most recent management year. Included in this report are:

- Management objectives for the 2010-2011 management year (May 1, 2010 through April 30, 2011)
- Projected and actual commercial landed catch in Puget Sound, and descriptions of fisheries, for the 2010-2011 management year
- Projected and actual landed catch for 2010 Puget Sound recreational fisheries where creel surveys were conducted, and for all 2009 Puget Sound recreational fisheries
- Estimates of total encounters for mark-selective fisheries, and non-landed mortality for commercial fisheries with Chinook non-retention, where data are available
- Projected and actual spawning escapement for all Puget Sound Chinook populations in 2010, with details on estimation methods and factors affecting the quality of estimates
- Summaries of biological sampling of spawning escapement, and estimates of contributions of hatchery- and natural-origin spawners where available
- 2009 Coded-wire tag sampling rates for commercial and recreational fisheries


### 1.1 Management Objectives

General management objectives for Puget Sound Chinook populations, including Exploitation Rate Ceilings (ERCs), Critical Exploitation Rate Ceilings (CERC's), Upper Management Thresholds (UMTs), and Low Abundance Thresholds (LATs) are shown in Table 1. Table 2 identifies the rates that were used as the ceiling for each Management Unit (MU) in 2010, and the projected exploitation rates and escapements for each unit, from the final pre-season FRAM model run (1010).

2010 was the first year of management under the revised Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (PSIT and WDFW 2010). There were several changes to management objectives under the revised plan. The Skokomish and Nisqually Units, which were previously managed for PTSUS ERCs and fixed escapement goals, are now managed for total ERC's. The Lake Washington MU, previously managed for a PTSUS ERC of $15 \%$, and a CERC of $12 \%$, is now managed for a Total SUS ERC of $20 \%$, and a CERC of $10 \%$. Finally the LATs for the Stillaguamish MU was changed to 700 ( 500 North Fork and 200 South Fork).

Pre-season fishery planning for 2010-2011 fisheries projected that natural spawning escapement would fall below the critical abundance thresholds for the Nooksack early, Stillaguamish and Mid-Hood Canal MUs, and for the Suiattle population within the Skagit MU, so CERC's were implemented for those units. Model escapement projections for other MUs exceeded their LAT's.

Table 1. 2010 Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Objectives.

| Management Unit | ER Ceiling | Critical ER Ceiling | Upper Management Threshold | Low Abundance Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nooksack <br> North Fork <br> South Fork |  | 7.0\% SUS | $\begin{aligned} & 4,000 \\ & 2,000 \\ & 2,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Skagit summer / fall <br> Upper Skagit summer <br> Sauk summer <br> Lower Skagit fall | 50\% | 15\% SUS | 14,500 | $\begin{gathered} 4,800 \\ 2,200 \\ 400 \\ 900 \end{gathered}$ |
| Skagit spring <br> Upper Sauk <br> Cascade <br> Suiattle | 38\% | 18\% SUS | 2,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 576 \\ & 130 \\ & 170 \\ & 170 \end{aligned}$ |
| Stillaguamish <br> North Fork summer South Fork \& MS fall | 25\% | 15\% SUS | $\begin{aligned} & 900 \\ & 600 \\ & 300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ |
| Snohomish Skykomish Snoqualmie | 21\% | 15\% SUS | $\begin{aligned} & 4,600 \\ & 3,600 \\ & 1,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,000 \\ 1,745 \\ 521 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Lake Washington Cedar River | 20\% SUS | 10\% PTSUS | 1,200 | 200 |
| Green | 15\% PTSUS | 12\% PTSUS | 5,800 | 1,800 |
| White River spring | 20\% | 15\% PTSUS | 1,000 | 200 |
| Puyallup fall <br> South Prairie Creek | 50\% | 12\% PTSUS | 500 | 500 |
| Nisqually | 65\% |  |  |  |
| Skokomish | 50\% | 12\% PTSUS | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3,650 aggregate; } \\ \text { 1,650 natural } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,300 aggregate; } \\ 800 \text { natural } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mid-Hood Canal | 15\% PTSUS | 12\% PTSUS | 750 | 400 |
| Dungeness | 10\% SUS | 6\% SUS | 925 | 500 |
| Elwha | 10\% SUS | 6\% SUS | 2,900 | 1,000 |
| Western SJDF | 10\% SUS | 6\% SUS | 850 | 500 |

Table 2. Management guidelines implemented and projected exploitation rates and escapements for Puget Sound Chinook from 2010-2011 pre-season planning.

| Puget Sound Chinook from 2010-2011 pre-season planning. <br> Management Unit <br> CERC implemented | Projected <br> ER |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected <br> Escapement ${ }^{1}$ | UMT | LAT |  |  |  |
| Nooksack | $7.0 \%$ SUS | $7.0 \%$ SUS | 439 | 4,000 | 2,000 |
| Skagit summer fall | $50 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | 12,719 | 14,500 | 4,800 |
| Skagit spring | $38 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | 661 | 2,000 | 576 |
| Stillaguamish | $15 \%$ SUS | $9.8 \%$ SUS | 685 | 900 | 700 |
| Snohomish | $21 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | 7,835 | 4,600 | 2,800 |
| L. Washington (Cedar) | $20 \%$ SUS | $17.5 \%$ SUS | 1,349 | 1,680 | 200 |
| Green | $15 \%$ PT SUS | $9.0 \%$ PTSUS | 5,802 | 5,800 | 1,800 |
| White | $20 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | 1,453 | 1,000 | 200 |
| Puyallup | $50 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | 1,428 | 500 South Prairie Cr | 500 |
| Nisqually | $65 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | 2,983 |  |  |
| Skokomish | $50 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | 1,592 | 3650 aggregate | 1300 aggregate |
|  |  | $11.7 \%$ |  | 1650 natural | 800 natural |
| Mid Hood Canal | $12 \%$ PT SUS | PTSUS | 138 | 750 | 400 |
| Dungeness | $10 \%$ SUS | $4.2 \%$ SUS | 535 | 925 | 500 |
| Elwha | $10 \%$ SUS | $4.0 \%$ SUS | 1,261 | 2,900 | 1,000 |
| Western SJDF | $10 \%$ SUS | $4.1 \%$ SUS | 1,781 | 850 | 500 |

## 2 Commercial Harvest

This chapter provides post-season estimates of Chinook catch for Puget Sound commercial fisheries, and also includes catch from tribal ceremonial and subsistence (C\&S) fisheries, and test or research fisheries. Catch is projected pre-season through modeling of the fishery regime, which is developed and agreed upon in the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) and North of Cape Falcon (NOF) forums, using the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM). The regime agreed to for the 201011 fishing season is described in detail in the Co-managers List of Agreed-to Fisheries, which describes all salmon fisheries for all areas of Puget Sound and ocean fisheries off the Washington coast (see Appendix). The final pre-season projections of catch under this regime were made in FRAM run number 1010.

Actual catch is accounted by summarizing fish tickets, which are the sales receipts used for recording commercial, C\&S, and research fishery landings. Fish ticket data are stored in a database maintained jointly by WDFW and the Puget Sound Tribes. In some fisheries, particularly non-treaty purse seine fisheries, estimates of non-landed mortality are also available, for comparison to pre-season expectations. WDFW conducts on-thewater observations of by-catch in commercial fisheries, concentrating on areas and gears where Chinook retention is not allowed. Summary results of that monitoring are included below in Table 11.

Recreational, non-treaty troll and treaty troll catches in Washington coastal fisheries north of Cape Falcon were substantially less than their quotas (Table 3). Comparisons of projected and actual Puget Sound catch are provided here for two pre-terminal areas (Strait of Juan de Fuca and San Juan Islands), and six regional terminal fisheries (Nooksack/Samish, Skagit, Stillaguamish/Snohomish, South Puget Sound, Hood Canal, and Strait of Juan de Fuca). General information is presented for the 2010-2011 fisheries, including in-season management actions that deviated from the pre-season plan, and explanations for differences in projected and actual catch.

Table 3. Summary of projected and actual Chinook catch in Washington ocean and Puget Sound fisheries in 2010.

| Fishery | Projected | Actual |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Washington ocean non-treaty troll | 56,000 | 45,099 |
| Washington ocean recreational | 61,000 | 36,874 |
| Washington ocean treaty troll | 55,000 | 33,381 |
|  |  |  |
| Puget Sound pre-terminal net \& troll total |  |  |
| $\quad$ Strait of Juan de Fuca troll | 9,600 | 3,323 |
| $\quad$ Strait of Juan de Fuca net | 1,344 | 2,245 |
| $\quad$ San Juan Islands net | 4,807 | 6,840 |
|  |  |  |
| Nooksack-Samish terminal net | 19,434 | 19,285 |
| Skagit terminal net | 2,013 | 1,961 |
| Stillaguamish-Snohomish net | 2,301 | 2,832 |
| South Puget Sound terminal net | 49,384 | 35,188 |
| Hood Canal terminal net | 18,905 | 22,112 |
| Strait Tributaries terminal net | 5 | 3 |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1 Strait of Juan de Fuca and San Juan Islands

The treaty troll fishery in the Strait of Juan de Fuca operates in Areas 4B, 5, and 6C; however, fishing in Area 4B from May through September is regulated as part of the coastal troll fishery under regulations adopted by the PFMC. The fishery in Area 5 and 6C was open, as planned, from June 13 through September 30, closed for the month of October, then open from November 1, 2010 through April 15, 2011. Chinook catch for the summer period was 238; catch during the winter period, through March 30, 2011, was 3,085 . Pre-season planning modeled the summer and winter catch at 1100 and 8500 , respectively.

Gillnet fisheries in Areas 4B, 5, and 6C were directed at Fraser sockeye, coho, and chum. A small-scale setnet fishery directed at Chinook was open from June 20 through August 14. Under control of the PSC Fraser River Panel, the sockeye fishery operated from the week beginning July 11 through September 21, a much lengthier fishery than anticipated due to very high Fraser sockeye abundance. The coho-directed fishery was open three weeks in September and early October, followed by the chum fishery extending through November. Incidental Chinook harvest during the sockeye and coho fishery was 2,045 (the NMFS representative to the Fraser Panel reported bycatch of 1770 in sockeye fishery, through September 21). Commercial sale of Chinook was stopped when catch in the sockeye fishery exceeded 1,300 ; subsequent catch was utilized for subsistence
purposes by tribal members. There were no Chinook caught during the chum fishery. Total Chinook catch in Strait gillnet fisheries was 2,245, exceeding the pre-season projected landed catch of 1,344.

Tribal and non-treaty net fisheries for sockeye in Areas 7 and 7A were lengthier and involved much greater fishing effort than anticipated due to the large Fraser return. The NMFS representative to the Fraser Panel reported that treaty Chinook bycatch was 6,617 (the post-season total accounted for on fish tickets was slightly higher, 6668). Commercial sale of Chinook stopped when catch reached 4,200; subsequent harvest was utilized for subsistence purposes by tribal members. Landed bycatch of 4,670 was input to preseason modeling.

Non-treaty purse seines fishing in Areas 7 and 7A are required to release all Chinook, so non-treaty bycatch projections for 7/7A fisheries included expected numbers of Chinook encounters, multiplied by an assumed mortality rate of 33\% for summer fisheries, or 46\% for fall (chum) fisheries. Pre-season projections were for 2,194 release mortalities in nontreaty sockeye purse seine openings. The post-season estimate of release mortalities was 1,641 . An additional 171 Chinook were landed by gillnet, compared to a pre-season projection of 137.

Fall chum catch and fishing opportunity were limited due to low in-season abundance estimates provided by Canada, in accordance with Annex IV, Chapter 6 of the Pacific Salmon Treaty. A total of 23,617 chum were harvested by treaty and non-treaty fishers. No Chinook were landed during the fishery. Due to the unexpected closure of 7/7a chum fisheries, no samples are available from the non-treaty purse seine fishery for estimation of bycatch.

### 2.2 Nooksack/Samish Terminal Area

## Spring Chinook C\&S

Tribal ceremonial and subsistence fisheries for early Chinook in the Nooksack River were conducted between April 7th and May 27th.; Fishing occurred in the North Fork between the Highway 9 bridge and the mouth of Racehorse Creek (RM 36.6 to 45.2), and in the mainstem between the Slater Road bridge and the mouth (RM $0.0-3.5$ ), with intent to limit the catch of South Fork-origin Chinook. In total, 114 Chinook were harvested; 112 were sampled to determine their origin, from CWT, scale and otolith analysis (Table 4). Available information indicates that up to 15 of the fish harvest were of natural origin. Preseason planning for this fishery projected that 116 early Chinook would be harvested, 17 of which would be of natural origin. Analysis of additional otoliths, and genetic analysis of tissue samples, may further adjust estimates of catch origin and stock composition.

Table 4. Mark sampling summary for the 2010 tribal C\&S fishery for early Chinook in the Nooksack River.

| Date | Tribe | Area Open | Catch | Kendall Hatchery Origin |  |  |  | Otolith marked | NOR <br> No CWT <br> no mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CWT no Adclip | Ad-clip no CWT | CWT \& Ad-clip | No CWT <br> No Adclip |  |  |
| 7-Apr | Lummi | MS | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 19-Apr | Lummi | MS | 10 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| 27-Apr | Nooksack | NF | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-May | Lummi | MS | 13 | 3 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 1 |
| 7-May | Lummi | MS | 30 | 6 | 14 | 7 |  |  | 3 |
| 12-May | Nooksack | NF | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 13-May | Lummi | MS | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 16-May | Lummi | MS | 20 | 5 | 8 | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| 17-May | Lummi | MS | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 19-May | Nooksack | NF | 4 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 21-May | Nooksack | NF | 4 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 25-May | Nooksack | NF \& MS | 13 |  | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 2 |
| 27-May | Nooksack | NF \& MS | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

Fall Chinook, coho, and chum fisheries
Tribal fisheries for fall Chinook are directed at hatchery production originating from the Samish Hatchery and Lummi Bay facilities. Chinook fisheries operated as planned inBellingham Bay (7B), Samish Bay (7C) and Lummi Bay (7D), during weeks 31 - 36, and in the Nooksack River, during weeks 37 - 44. The fall Chinook-directed fishery harvested 10,933 fish in Areas 7B, C, and D, and 530 fish in the River (Table 5). Approximately 35\% of the tribal fall Chinook harvest was caught in August, and $70 \%$ in September.
Subsequent fisheries for coho and chum involved incidental harvest of 84 Chinook in 7B, C, \& D, and 46 in the River. The non-treaty fishery in Areas 7B and 7C caught a total of 7,578 Chinook, slightly below the pre-season projection. In total, Treaty and non-treaty harvest of fall Chinook was very close to the preseason projected volume.

Table 5. Expected and actual Chinook catches in the Nooksack/Samish terminal area, 2010.

| Area | Timestep | Projected | Actual |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 7B, 7C, 7D Treaty net | Jul-Sep | 9,500 |  |
|  | Oct-Dec | 11,017 |  |
| 7B, 7C Non-treaty net | Jul-Sep | 8,783 | 7,547 |
|  | Oct-Dec | 71 | 31 |
| Nooksack Treaty net | Early Chinook, May-Jun | 116 | 114 |
|  | Fall Chinook, Jul-Sep | 784 | 576 |

### 2.3 Skagit Bay/Skagit River Terminal Areas

The majority of 2010 Skagit terminal area impacts on Chinook were expected to occur during commercial fisheries targeted at hatchery spring Chinook, sockeye targeted
commercial fisheries, Ceremonial and Subsistence fisheries targeted at summerffall timed Chinook (590 fish divided among the three Skagit Tribes), commercial fisheries targeted at coho salmon, Skagit River test fisheries, and during a mark-selective sport fishery targeting hatchery spring Chinook (see Chapter 3 for discussion of recreational fisheries). Chinook non-retention was required in the river recreational fisheries before June 1 and after July 15. No non-treaty commercial fisheries were scheduled in Area 8 during 2010. Chinook retention was permitted in Treaty fisheries, the test fisheries, and during the spring Chinook selective river sport fishery June 1 through July 15 (for marked fish only).

Test fisheries were conducted mostly as scheduled preseason, except the Blake's Drift coho test did not take place in Management Week (hereafter as week) 45, Spudhouse coho test in week 42, and the River Area 2 coho test (changed to River Area 3 after week 35), in weeks $40,41,42$, or 43 . Weeks 22 and 23 Blakes Chinook test fisheries were not conducted per preseason plans to address spring Chinook management objectives. A new sockeye test occurred in weeks 26 through 29 , modified from a research tagging project planned preseason in River Area 3. The weeks 44 and 45 Blakes Drift chum test fishery took place in both weeks 44 and 45 . One Bay and one Jetty chum test fishery occurred in each of weeks 44 and 45 . Chinook catches in the test fisheries were less than expected by 50 Chinook. During spring-run timing catch was 24 ; expected catch was 28 , less than expected by 4 fish. During summer/fall-run timing catch was 82 ; expected catch was 173 , less than expected by 91 . During the coho test fisheries, Chinook catch was 372 , compared to 200 predicted, and more than expected by 172 . The sockeye test was initially a mark and recapture sockeye river travel time study with a small mesh net capturing the sockeye for tagging and releasing, as such no Chinook mortalities were expected because of the gear type and careful handling. As the season progressed, the study was modified to a test fishery for future use as a sockeye inseason update. Twentyfour Chinook were caught in the sockeye test fishery, of which 18 wild fish were released (expected $52.4 \%$ release mortality or 10 fish), and 8 hatchery fish were retained for a total of 15 fish (including retained hatchery fish and release mortalities), when 72 encounters (with no mortality) were expected (Table 6). Overall, the Chinook catch in all the test fisheries combined, 501 Chinook, was 143 Chinook more than the preseason prediction of 358.

Hatchery spring-timed Chinook-directed Treaty commercial fisheries encompassed catch from weeks 19-21 Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle fisheries and weeks 19-21 Upper Skagit fishery. Preseason catch projections of hatchery (241) and natural (79) spring-timed Chinook were modeled (FRAM Chin1010) for the Treaty commercial and C\&S fisheriestotal 320. Postseason spring-timed Chinook catches for those same time periods totaled 459; 496 hatchery and 73 natural origin spring Chinook-a difference of 252 more hatchery origin Chinook and 3 less natural origin Chinook, or a total difference of 249 spring-timed Chinook.

The sockeye directed commercial fishery was modeled as a placeholder preseason to account for Chinook and coho impacts if the terminal abundance was updated to harvestable levels inseason. The postseason Baker sockeye run was approximately 22,637 , five times the preseason forecast of 4,485 sockeye in 2010-program objectives required 6,300 sockeye. The sockeye run was two days earlier than the last five evenyear average; the $50 \%$ trap return date was July 15 rather than the expected date of July 17. The average $50 \%$ date of all even years is July 15. Baker sockeye Treaty commercial fisheries encompassed catch during weeks 29-30 Swinomish; weeks 29-30 SaukSuiattle; and week 29 Upper Skagit Tribes. Total preseason summer/fall-timed Chinook catch projections were modeled (FRAM Chin1010) for the Treaty commercial sockeye fisheries-total 488. Postseason summer/fall-timed Chinook catches during the commercial sockeye fishery, for those same time periods, totaled 187 fish-a difference of 301 fewer than modeled as the placeholder fishery. Though not anticipated preseason, a sport fishery directed toward sockeye opened July 16-31 during 2010 when a harvestable
abundance of sockeye was estimated inseason; catch data was not available at the time of this report. A Baker Lake sport fishery opened July22 and no Chinook impacts were expected.

The Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle Tribes commercial fisheries were scheduled to open the coho fishery in week 39 and the Upper Skagit Tribe starting week 40 (Table 6). Coho abundance was expected to be "Normal" (i.e., ER ceiling of 60\%). Early test fishery catches (week 38) of coho indicated a run that may be smaller than forecast, 75,826 . The preliminary (weeks 38-39) test fishery ISU model indicted a return of about 121,285 coho, larger than predicted by preseason forecast of terminal area abundance (TAA was 89,540 as predicted by FRAM coho1016). The final ISU model, cumulative catch/cumulative hours indicated a terminal return of 157,888 coho. Reflective of forecast and supported by the inseason updates, the Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle Tribes' coho fishery opened weeks 39 and 41 as expected, added on a day in week 42 and increased open days in week 43 from 1 day to 1.5 days. Upper Skagit Tribe opened as scheduled in week 40 through 41 ; increased the open days in week 42 from 1.167 to 2.167 and week 431.167 days to 1.417 days. The Treaty coho commercial fishery was expected to catch 265 summer/fall-timed Chinook and observed catches were 588 (Table 6). Preliminary observed wild and hatchery terminal return abundance of coho was approximately 58,000 , lower than the both the preseason forecast and the inseason update.

There was no preseason forecast of harvestable chum though a one day fishery placeholder fishery was schedule in week 46 for Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle Tribes and one day each of fishing in week 47 for the Upper Skagit Tribe; the opening dependent on the ISU. No Chinook mortalities were anticipated in the placeholder fisheries. The ISU of chum abundance, 59,822 (postseason estimate of the terminal abundance was 45,012 ), indicated that the terminal run size abundance was more than the preseason forecast of approximately 48,000 chum, and was under the escapement goal of 116,500 fish and 500 additional fish for the Upper Skagit Tribe's Red Creek Hatchery, therefore no treaty commercial chum fisheries occurred. Non-treaty chum directed fisheries were not scheduled preseason based on the low preseason forecast.

There were 1,468 total Chinook observed mortalities in Skagit Treaty terminal area commercial and C\&S net fisheries during the adult accounting period: 130 in the C\&S fisheries ( 6 spring-timed and 124 S/F-timed); 563 spring-timed Chinook in the hatchery spring Chinook directed fishery; 187 summerffall-timed Chinook in the Baker sockeye fishery; 588 summer/fall-timed Chinook in the coho fishery; and no chum fishery occurred in 2010. There were 501 total Chinook mortalities estimated in Skagit terminal area Test Fisheries during the adult accounting period: 24 spring-timed Chinook and 477 summer/fall-timed Chinook in Test Fisheries.

In comparison, catch projections during preseason planning indicated that 1,657 Chinook would be caught in Skagit Treaty terminal area commercial and C\&S fisheries: 6 springtimed and 584 summer/fall-timed in the C\&S fisheries; 314 during the hatchery spring Chinook directed fisheries; 488 summer/fall-timed Chinook from a placeholder modeled Baker sockeye directed fishery; 265 summer/fall-timed Chinook during coho fisheries; a placeholder chum directed fishery was modeled (forecast below escapement objectives) though zero summer/fall-timed Chinook were expected. While 356 Chinook were projected to be caught in Skagit terminal area Test Fisheries; 36 spring-timed and 320 summer/fall-timed Chinook. Thus, post-season observed Skagit terminal treaty commercial and C\&S Chinook mortalities were 189 fewer Chinook than what was projected preseason. One hundred thirty-seven more Chinook than expected were also caught in the terminal area Test Fisheries.

This increase in observed mortalities from projected mortalities occurred on both springtimed and summerfall-timed Chinook-though for springs the number of wild mortalities
during the C\&S and commercial hatchery-directed fishery were lower; 73 observed compared to 76 predicted preseason, while the number of hatchery spring Chinook mortalities was higher; 496 observed compared to 244 predicted preseason. The observed discrepancy in observed hatchery spring Chinook catch is in part expected as until 2005 hatchery strays were purposely avoided when conducting wild escapement surveys. Since, the stray rate has been estimated at about $22 \%$. Most of the lower than projected catch occurred during the directed sockeye fishery ( 301 fewer) and the C\&S fishery ( 460 fewer), while higher than projected catch occurred in test fisheries, hatchery spring Chinook, and coho directed fisheries. Of the post-season estimated mortalities in tribal fisheries, all were landed catch, because Chinook retention was allowed during all tribal fisheries.

While total expected summer/fall-timed Chinook catches during the treaty commercial and C\&S fisheries were lower than expected, 444, spring-timed Chinook catches were higher than expected (246)—though differences were in observed hatchery spring catches. Preseason prediction of terminal treaty commercial and C\&S harvest rate of spring-timed Chinook was $9.87 \%$ (768 TRS); the preliminary postseason estimated harvest rate was about $4.86 \%$, using a preliminary terminal return of 1,502 wild spring Chinook; even though catches were 3 fewer fish than expected, the majority of the difference in harvest rate is realized due to the observed doubling of the expected terminal return. Despite the lower than expected summer/fall-timed catches by 444 Chinook, harvest rates were only slightly lower postseason (9.2\%) compared to preseason (9.6\%), because the preliminary observed return of 9,800 was about $70 \%$ of expected PSF of approximately 13,900 Chinook. Total observed Chinook catches (spring-timed and summer/fall-timed combined) from Treaty commercial and C\&S catch combined with test fishery catch $(1,961)$ was 52 fewer Chinook then projected preseason, 2,013.

| Table 6. Skagit terminal area projected and actual Chinook catches for treaty fisheries in 2010. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preseason Projected |  |  | Post-season Observed/Estimated |  |  | Difference (Postseason minus Preseason) |  |
| Fishery | Schedule | Encounters | Total Mort. | Schedule | Encounters | Total Mort. | $\begin{gathered} \text { En- } \\ \text { counters } \end{gathered}$ | Total Mort. |
| Test: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook | 1 site,wks 19-21,24-35 | 156 | 156 | Same | 106 | 106 | -50 | -50 |
| sockeye | none | 0 | 0 | Wks 26-29 | 24 | 15 | 24 | 15 |
| Coho | 3 sites, wks 34-45 | 200 | 200 | Wks 34-44 | 372 | 372 | 172 | 172 |
| Chum | 3 sites, wks 44-45 | 0 | 0 | Same | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sockeye Research | 1 sites, wks 24-27 | 72 | 0 | None | 0 | 0 | -72 | 0 |
| Area 8/78C Hatchery Spring Chinook Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle Tribes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 19 | 1 day/1 day | 23 | 23 | Same | 44 | 44 | 21 | 21 |
| Week 20 | 1 day/1 day | 55 | 55 | Same | 32 | 32 | -23 | -23 |
| Week 21 | 1 day/1 day | 44 | 44 | Same | 28 | 28 | -16 | -16 |
| Area 78C/78D Hatchery Spring Chinook Upper Skagit Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 19 | 1 day | 39 | 39 | Same | 230 | 230 | 191 | 191 |
| Week 20 | 1 day | 79 | 79 | Same | 125 | 125 | 46 | 46 |
| Week 21 | 1 day | 73 | 73 | Same | 104 | 104 | 31 | 31 |
| Area 8/78C/78D Chinook C\&S Swinomish, Sauk-Suiattle, Upper Skagit Tribes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SummerFall/Spring Chinook | Variable to target | 590 | 590 | Variable to target | t 130 | 130 | -460 | -460 |
| Areas 8/78C Sockeye Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle Tribes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 29 | 1 day | 107 | 107 | 2 days | 79 | 79 | -28 | -28 |
| Week 30 | 1 day | 153 | 153 | 1.375 days | 76 | 76 | -77 | -77 |
| Areas 78C/78D Sockeye Upper Skagit Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 29 | 1 day | 73 | 73 | 1.208 days | 32 | 32 | -41 | -41 |
| Week 30 | 1 day | 155 | 155 | None | 0 | 0 | -155 | -155 |
| Areas 8/78C Coho Swinomish/Sauk-Suiattle Tribes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 39 | 4 days/7 days | 45 | 45 | 4 days/7 days | 79 | 79 | 34 | 34 |
| Week 40 | 3 days/7 days | 22 | 22 | 3 days/7 days | 10 | 10 | -12 | -12 |
| Week 41 | 2 days/7 days | 6 | 6 | 2 days/7 days | 2 | 2 | -4 | -4 |
| Week 42 | None/7 days | 0 | 0 | 1 day/7 days | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Week 43 | 1 day/7 days | 2 | 2 | 1.5 days/7 days | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| Areas 78C/78D Coho Upper Skagit Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 40 | 2.167 days | 100 | 100 | 2.167 days | 325 | 325 | 225 | 225 |
| Week 41 | 2.167 days | 68 | 68 | 2.167 days | 73 | 73 | 5 | 5 |
| Week 42 | 1.167 days | 20 | 20 | 2.167 days | 65 | 65 | 45 | 45 |
| Week 43 | 1.167 days | 2 | 2 | 1.417 days | 31 | 31 | 29 | 29 |
| Areas 8/78C Chum Swinomish/Sauk-Suiattle Tribes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 46 | 1 day/1/day | 0 | 0 | Same | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 78C/78D Chum Upper Skagit Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Week 47 | 1 day | 0 | 0 | Same | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Skagit Terminal Area |  | 2,085 | 2,013 |  | 1,970 | 1,961 | -115 | -52 |

### 2.4 Stillaguamish/Snohomish Terminal Area

Chinook-directed commercial, ceremonial, and subsistence fisheries occurred only in Area 8D, targeting fish returning to the Tulalip Hatchery. The preseason plan included Chinook fishing through week 38, but the fishery was shortened in-season to close week 33. Chinook harvest in Area 8D was $2,829,38 \%$ higher than projected (Table 7). There were no Chinook caught in coho or chum fisheries in 8D. Although C\&S fishing for Chinook was open in Area 8A, and incidental catch was anticipated during the coho fishery in 8A, only one Chinook was caught. Two Chinook were harvested in the Stillaguamish River for ceremonial purposes.

Non-treaty commercial fishing in Area 8A was limited to coho-directed openings. There was one estimated Chinook release mortality from purse seine during the coho period. There were no Chinook landed by non-treaty gillnet.

Table 7. Projected (FRAM 1010) and actual Chinook net harvest in the Stillaguamish - Snohomish terminal area non-treaty commercial and treaty fisheries in 2010.

| Area |  | Projected | Actual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8A Commercial | Trty <br> Ntrty | 215 | 1 |
|  | Test |  | 0 |
| 8A Test | Trty | 2,046 | 2,829 |
| 8D Commercial | Ntrty | 0 | 0 |
| Stillaguamish R. Net | Treaty | 40 | 2 |

### 2.5 South Puget Sound Terminal Areas

Table 8 compares projected and actual catches for 2009 South Puget Sound treaty fisheries. Descriptions of the treaty and non-treaty commercial fisheries by terminal area are in the following sections.

Table 8. Pre-season projections and actual Chinook catch in 2010 South Puget Sound Treaty terminal net fisheries.

| Area | Management Period | Projected | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area 9/10/11 | Coho \& Chum (test \& treaty) | 216 | 31 |
|  | A9 T subsist H\&L | 700 | 19 |
|  | 10/11 NT chum | 14 | 4 |
| Area 10E | Chinook | 3,410 | 2,481 |
| Area 10A | Chinook (test) | 437 | 72 |
|  | Chinook/coho | 1,065 | 14 |
|  | Chum | 60 |  |
| Duwamish River | Chinook/coho | 3,900 | 511 |
| Lake Washington/ <br> Ship Canal <br> Lake Sammamish | Sockeye/coho | 1,003 | 3 |
|  | Chinook | 5,000 | 676 |
| Puyallup River | Spring Chinook (C\&S) | 250 | 229 |
|  | Fall Chin C\&S | 100 | 112 |
|  | Chinook/Coho | 3,513 | 2,775 |
| Areas 13D-K | Chinook/Coho/Chum | 8,576 | 3,562 |
| Area 13\& 13A | Chinook/Coho/Chum | 4,584 | 2,313 |
| Areas 13C/Chambers | Chinook | 6,689 | 676 |
| Nisqually River | Chinook/coho | 9,853 | 21,706 |

### 2.5.1 Marine Areas 9, 10 \& 11

A limited-scale Suquamish Tribe subsistence fishery in Area 9 caught 19 Chinook; the preseason plan projected a larger C\&S catch with other tribes participating. There were no other fisheries in Area 9 in 2010, except a one-night chum test fishery.

In Areas 10/11 incidental Chinook harvest was anticipated to occur in the coho and chum test fisheries, and in commercial coho and chum fisheries. Aggregate actual harvest in these test and treaty fisheries (31) was less than the projected level (216). Total mortality in the non-treaty chum fishery was 15 ( 11 release mortalities and 4 landed), well below the pre-season projection of 257 .

### 2.5.2 Lake Washington

There were no Chinook-directed fisheries in Lake Washington or the Ship Canal. The fishery in Lake Sammamish targeting local hatchery production harvested 676 Chinook,
which was substantially lower than the pre-season projection of 5,000. In-season monitoring of Chinook passage at Ballard Locks assesses return abundance to the Cedar River and local hatcheries. Sockeye and coho returns to Lake Washington were insufficient to allow opening directed fisheries. The tribal C\&S fisheries for Lake Washington sockeye involved incidental catch of 3 Chinook.

### 2.5.3 Elliott Bay/Duwamish River

Catch in the test fishery in Area 10A to assess the strength of the Green - Duwamish Chinook return was very low (72), so planned, subsequent commercial fisheries in 10A and the Duwamish River ( 80 B ) did not occur. A 12-hour tribal ceremonial and subsistence fishery for Green River Chinook, operating in the lower Green/Duwamish River, harvested 226 fish. Incidental Chinook catch during the coho and chum fisheries in Elliott Bay (10A) harvested 14 fish, which was lower than the expected 60. Incidental Chinook Catch during the coho and chum fisheries in the Duwamish River (80B) was 285 fish.

### 2.5.4 Area 10E (Sinclair Inlet)

A Chinook-directed fishery in Sinclair Inlet (10E) targets local hatchery production. The fishery operated from July 18 through September 11 (weeks 30 - 37), one week shorter than planned. Total catch (2481) was $73 \%$ of the projected level (3410). There were no Chinook harvested in subsequent coho and chum fisheries in 10E.

### 2.5.5 Puyallup River

Tribal ceremonial and subsistence fisheries for White River spring Chinook, operating in the Puyallup River mainstem and in the White River, harvested 229 fish; the pre-season projected catch was 250. Fall-Chinook directed fishing in the Puyallup River operated, as planned, in management weeks 34 and 35 (between August 15 and August 29, and there was incidental Chinook catch during the subsequent coho fishery, primarily in weeks 36 and 37 . Total fall Chinook harvest was $2,775,80 \%$ of the projected volume.

### 2.5.6 Marine area 13 \& sub areas (Deep South Sound)

Chinook fisheries in the marine areas of deep South Sound harvested fewer fish than preseason projections. In Case Inlet (13D) and Budd Inlet (13F) 3,562 fish were caught, or $42 \%$ of the projected volume. In Carr Inlet (13A) and Area 13 harvest was 2,313, or 50\% of the projected volume. The fishery operating adjacent and in Chambers Bay (13C) caught only 676 Chinook, or $10 \%$ of the projected volume. In general these outcomes can be attributed primarily to lower than expected survival of hatchery releases.

### 2.5.7 Nisqually River

The Chinook fishery in the Nisqually River was planned to operate on a reduced schedule, relative to previous years: two days a week during weeks 29 (wb 7/11), 30 (wb $7 / 18$ ), 32 ( $\mathrm{wb} 8 / 1$ ), 33 ( $\mathrm{wb} 8 / 8$ ), 35 (wb 8/22), and 36 (wb 8/29), and three days a week in wks 38 (wb 9/12) and 39 (wb 9/19). Incidental Chinook harvest also occurred during the subsequent coho fishery in weeks 41 - 44. This fishery schedule differed from previous years by fishing two days per week instead of three, and closing some mid-season weeks, instead of terminating the Chinook fishery early. In 2010 Chinook fishery openings totaled 456 hours, substantially fewer than the 2009 total of 576 hours.

Management intent was to reduce the terminal harvest rate to $40 \%$. Based on a preliminary accounting of tribal catch and escapement (recreational catch is not available) the terminal harvest rate was $49 \%$. Mid-season closures to increase escapement were not as effective as planned, apparently because Chinook built up in the estuary rather than migrating upriver during those periods. River fishing area during the subsequent coho fishery was restricted to reduce incidental Chinook catch. Fishing was closed above Clear Creek hatchery through week 41 then moved up to Kalama Creek hatchery slough, until the early closure of the coho fishery. The total Chinook harvest was 21,706 , more than double the pre-season projection.

### 2.6 Hood Canal

Treaty Chinook-directed fisheries operated as planned in southern Hood Canal (12C), beginning the week of July 18th; gillnet fisheries ran until August 24th with beach seine fisheries continuing through August 31st. For the duration of these fisheries a total of 3,768 Chinook were landed, down by approximately 16\% from 2008 and 2009 catch levels.

At the Hoodsport Hatchery Zone (12H), a Chinook-directed fishery operated July 18th through September 9th, harvest totaling 8,627 which was 17\% lower than in 2009 (Table 9). This fishery was closed two weeks early, in order to meet escapement/broodstock needs for the Hoodsport Hatchery and other, local hatchery facilities that were not making egg take requirements.

The Chinook fishery in the Skokomish River operated as modeled, from August 2nd through September 18th resulting in a total harvest of 9,653, an increase of $44 \%$ from 2009. This dramatic shift of increased catch can be partially attributed to the lower catch that occurred during terminal area fisheries in 12C and at the Hoodsport Hatchery Zone. In 2010, Chinook had the tendency to move down the eastern side of Hood Canal with minimal cross canal movement as determined from fishers' specific site landings. During the Coho fishery (September 19th through November 13th), incidental harvest of Chinook was low, landing only 98 fish.

The total catch in these terminal fisheries (12C, 12 H , and the river) was 22,048 , exceeding the preseason projection by $36 \%$. This is likely related to forecast accuracy, rather than unexpectedly high harvest rates or fishing effort.

Incidental harvest of 64 Chinook occurred during treaty Coho fisheries in northern Hood Canal (12 and 12B), Port Gamble (9A), and Quilcene /Dabob Bay (12A).

Non-treaty commercial fishing in Hood Canal was restricted to chum-directed fisheries. There were an estimated 19 Chinook release mortalities during the purse seine fishery, higher than the projection of 5 . There were no Chinook landed by gillnet during the chum fishery, compared to the projection of 2.

Table 9. Projected (FRAM 1010) and actual Chinook catch and exploitation rates in Hood Canal terminal area net fisheries, 2009

|  |  |  | Catch |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Area | Target Species | Projected |  |  |
| Hood Canal Marine Net (12-12D,9A) (T) | Chinook, Coho, Chum | 2,733 | 3,832 |  |
| Hood Canal Marine Net (12-12B,9A) (NT) | Chum, Coho | 2 | 0 |  |
| $12 H$ Net (T) | Chinook, Chum | 9,663 | 8,627 |  |
| Skokomish River (82G/J) (T) | Chinook, Coho, Chum | 6,507 | 9,653 |  |
|  | Total | 18,905 | 22,112 |  |

### 2.7 Strait of Juan de Fuca

Due to the continued depressed status of Chinook populations, terminal fisheries in the Dungeness River and Elwha River were closed or provided very limited fishing opportunity. No Chinook were caught in the Dungeness Bay (6D) coho fishery. Three Chinook were harvested for ceremonial purposes in the Elwha River (Table 10).

Table 10. Projected and actual catches of Chinook in Strait of Juan de Fuca terminal net fisheries, 2010.

| Terminal Area | Projected | Actual |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Area 6D \& Dungeness River Treaty | 1 | 0 |
| Area 6D Non-Treaty | 0 | 0 |
| Elwha River Treaty (C\&S) | 4 | 3 |
| Hoko River Treaty | 0 | 0 |

### 2.8 Non-Treaty Commercial Monitoring Data and Total Mortality Estimates

Because non-treaty vessels are required to release non-target species in many fisheries, WDFW conducts on-water monitoring to provide data on encounters of non-target species. In 2010, efforts were concentrated on purse seine openings in Areas 7/7A, 8A, 10/11, and 12/12B. Summaries of observer data for 2010 are presented in Table 11. Expanded estimates of total mortality, where available, were presented above in the summaries for individual fisheries, and are summarized and compared to pre-season expectations in below in Table 12.

| Table 11. Summary of commercial fishery observation data for 2010 Puget sound non-treaty salmon net fisheries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Gear type | \# sets observed | Chinook | Coho | Sockeye | Pink | Chum | Steelhead |
| 10 | PS | 40 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2,606 | 0 |
| 11 | PS | 39 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3,645 | 0 |
| 12 | PS | 50 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 7,422 | 0 |
| 12B | PS | 31 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 3,800 | 0 |
| 7 | PS | 53 | 195 | 77 | 44,375 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| 7 A | PS | 53 | 222 | 17 | 8,024 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8A | PS | 13 | 2 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |


| Table 12. Total pre-season projected and post-season estimated Chinook mortality (landed + released) in Puget Sound nontreaty commercial salmon fisheries in 2010. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Mortality (released + landed) |  |
| Area | Projected | Actual |
| 6D | 0 | N/A (0 landed) |
| 7/7A | 2,658 | 1,812 |
| 8A | 0 | 1 |
| 10/11 | 257 | 15 |
| 12/12B | 7 | 19 |
| 9A/12A | 0 | N/A (0 landed) |

## 3 Recreational Harvest

This chapter summarizes expected recreational catch in Puget Sound marine waters and freshwater tributaries for the 2010-2011 management year, and presents catch estimates available from creel studies for that period. Due to the cycle of recovery and analysis of Catch Record Cards (CRCs) used by recreational anglers, complete catch estimates for all areas are not yet available. Since complete catch estimates were not available for all areas in the annual report covering the previous management cycle, projected and actual recreational catches for the 2009-2010 management year are also included here.

### 3.1 2009-2010 Recreational Catch

Total Recreational Chinook harvest in 2009-10, estimated from a combination of Catch Record Cards (CRC) and creel estimates where available, was 49,750, compared to a preseason projection of around 61,000 . Note that CRC estimates are still in draft format, and subject to future revision. Catches were higher than projected in the fisheries in Areas 5 and 6 . Catches were much lower than projected in the majority of marine fisheries inside Puget Sound, and in the majority of freshwater fisheries as well (Table 13).

| Table 13. Projected (FRAM 2309) and actual Chinook catches in Puget Sound recreational fisheries during the 2009-2010 season. Many of these estimates are based on preliminary analysis of Catch Record Card data, and will be revised in the future. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area/Fishery | Projected | Actual |
| Area 5-6 |  |  |
| MSF (July-August) | 4,500 | 8,640 |
| Other | 858 | 2,058** |
| Strait Tributaries | 0 | 17 |
| Area 7 | 4,353 | 4,001 |
| Non MSF | 2,194 | 2,583 |
| MSF (December-April) | 2,159 | 1,418 |
| Nooksack/Samish FW | 4,644 | 4,805 |
| Area 8-1 \& 8-2 |  |  |
| MSF | 1,539 | 1,113 |
| Skagit River |  |  |
| Spring MSF | 307 | 144 |
| Summer | 752 | 116 |
| Area 8D SAF | 1,033 | 95 |
| Stillaguamish River | 0 | 6 |
| Snohomish River |  |  |
| Skyokomish MSF | 173 | 321 |
| Area 9 |  |  |
| Summer MSF | 8,851 | 3,248 |
| Winter MSF | 2,545 | 1,584 |
| Area 10 |  |  |
| Area 10 Summer MSF | 2,923 | 1,643 |
| Area 10 Winter MSF | 1,781 | 398 |
| Area 11 |  |  |
| Area 11 Summer MSF | 6,438 | 3,318 |
| Area 11 other | 281 | 315** |
| Area 10E SAF | 960 | 1,480 |
| Lake Sammamish | 257 | 91 |
| Area 10A SAF | 1,930 | 1,480 |
| Green River | 400 | 227 |
| Puyallup River |  |  |
| Carbon R MSF | 1,264 | 582 |
| Puyallup R MSF | 772 | 2,005 |
| Area 13 |  |  |
| Area 13 Summer MSF | 1,015 | 1,243 |
| Area 13 other | 168 | 31** |
| Chambers Cr | 46 | 15 |
| Nisqually | 1,970 | 1,174 |
| Deschutes | 227 | 174 |
| Area 12 | 612 | 832** |
| Skokomish River | 5,864 | 4,368 |

### 3.2 2010-2011 Recreational Catch

### 3.2.1 Expected catch

Projected Chinook catches in 2010-2011 recreational fisheries are listed in Table 14. Total projected catch was 56,500 . The recreational fishing regime included mark selective fisheries (MSF) for portions of the year in marine areas $5,6,8-1,8-2,7,9,10,11,12$ and 13, and in the Skagit, Skykomish, Puyallup, Carbon and Nisqually rivers. For those fisheries where creel survey estimates of harvest are available, those estimates are listed as actual catches in Table 14. Intensive sampling efforts were applied to marine area selective fisheries throughout the year, and to several freshwater selective fisheries, so estimates of landed catch and total encounters are available for the many of those fisheries. Brief summaries of results of those sampling programs are included below. Indepth analyses of sampling and statistical methods are available in a series of reports produced by WDFW. The latest final reports are available online at:
http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/fisheries/chinook/selective chinook tech reports.html. Many of the results presented here are from draft reports, which will be available online in the future.

For fisheries without intensive sampling and/or creel data available, catch will be estimated using CRC data and data from baseline dockside sampling of marine fisheries. Baseline sampling provides data on catch per unit effort (CPUE), species composition, as well as CWT and biological sampling data. For freshwater fisheries, catch estimates are made using CRC data. For marine fisheries, catch estimates are made using CRC estimates of total catch, combined with species composition data obtained from the baseline sampling program. Because of the timing of the annual reporting cycle for the CRC program, these estimates will not be available until 2012.

| Table 14. Projected (FRAM 1010) and actual (preliminary, where available) Chinook catches in Puget Sound recreational fisheries during the 2010-2011 season. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area/Fishery | Projected | Actual |
| Area 5-6 |  |  |
| MSF (July-August) | 4,700 | 5,716* |
| Other | 882 |  |
| Strait Tributaries | 0 |  |
| Area 7 | 4,616 |  |
| Non MSF |  |  |
| MSF (January-April) |  |  |
| Nooksack/Samish FW | 4,852 |  |
| Area 8-1 \& 8-2 |  |  |
| MSF | 1,587 |  |
| Skagit River |  |  |
| Spring MSF | 376 | 234 |
| Area 8D SAF | 604 |  |
| Stillaguamish River | 0 |  |
| Snohomish River |  |  |
| Skyokomish MSF | 500 | 213 |
| Area 9 |  |  |
| Summer MSF | 5,334 | 5,331 |
| Winter MSF | 2,489 |  |
| Area 10 |  |  |
| Area 10 Summer MSF | 2,216 | 3,030 |
| Area 10 Winter MSF | 1,738 |  |
| Area 11 |  |  |
| Area 11 Summer MSF | 6,440 | 3,947 |
| Area 11 other | 866 |  |
| Area 10E SAF | 1,024 |  |
| Lake Sammamish | 283 |  |
| Area 10A SAF | 1,800 |  |
| Green River | 0 |  |
| Puyallup River |  |  |
| Carbon R MSF | 1,364 |  |
| Puyallup R MSF | 787 |  |
| Area 13 |  |  |
| Area 13 Summer MSF | 733 |  |
| Area 13 other | 334 |  |
| Chambers Cr | 49 |  |
| Nisqually | 2,147 | 3,312 |
| Deschutes | 236 |  |
| Area 12 | 701 |  |
| Skokomish River | 5,680 |  |
| * Area 5 only |  |  |

### 3.2.2 Marine Areas 5 \& 6 Summer MSF

2010 was the $8^{\text {th }}$ year of summer mark-selective Chinook fishing in marine areas $5 \& 6$. The 2010 fishery was scheduled to open for a set season, July 1 through August 15.

WDFW conducted comprehensive fishery monitoring activities during the Areas 5 and 6 mark-selective fisheries. Sampling activities in Area 5 included dockside creel sampling (with in-season catch and effort estimates), on-the-water effort surveys (boat surveys), and intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. The Area 6 design consisted of baseline angler/catch sampling only and therefore did not have an on-the-water (i.e., boat surveys, test fishing) sampling component. In both Areas 5 and 6, an enhanced Voluntary Trip Report (VTR) program was used to obtain estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sub-legal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked), similar to the approach used successfully during summer 2009. Detailed descriptions of the sampling program and results are available in WDFW (2011).

For Area 5, a total of 5,716 Chinook were estimated to have been landed (5,703 marked and 14 unmarked (Table 15)).

Due to the alternate sample design for area 6, comparisons will not be possible until Catch Record Card data can be combined with sampling data to generate total harvest and encounter estimates.

Table 15. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 5, July 1-Aug. 15, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unmark. | 5,547 | 3,877 | 1,670 | 39 |
|  | Mark. | 10,208 | 5,358 | 4,850 | 4,661 |
|  | Total | 15,755 | 9,235 | 6,520 | 4,700 |
|  | \% Mark. | 64.0 | 54.0 | 74.0 | 98.0 |
| Estimated (Creel) Encounters | Unmark. | 9,114 | 4,974 | 4,140 | 14 |
|  | Mark. | 9,682 | 6,276 | 3,405 | 5,703 |
|  | Total | 18,796 | 11,251 | 7,545 | 5,716 |
|  | \% Mark. | 51.5 | 55.8 | 45.1 | 99.8 |

### 3.2.3 Marine Areas 9 \& 10 Summer MSF

In 2010, a recreational mark-selective fishery occurred for the fourth consecutive summer in marine areas 9 and 10. The 2010 fishery was managed as a fixed season, from July 16-August 31, rather than being managed to a quota. As in the previous years, WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Areas 9 and 10 during their summer seasons in order to collect the data needed to provide in-season catch estimates and to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Detailed descriptions of the sampling program and results are available in WDFW (2011).

Total harvest in Areas 9 and 10 was estimated to be 5,331 and 3,030 Chinook, respectively ( 8,361 total,( Table 16)). Anglers released an estimated 3,864 Chinook ( 1,490 marked, 2,374 unmarked) in Area 9 and 4,148 Chinook ( 1,457 marked, 2,692 unmarked) in Area 10 ( 8,012 estimated releases overall). In-season estimates of encounters with unmarked Chinook were lower than pre-season projections in both areas.

Table 16. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Areas 9 and 10 July 16-August 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fisheries.

| Area | Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 4,882 | 2,047 | 2,835 | 20 |
|  |  | Mark. | 14,953 | 6,108 | 8,845 | 5,314 |
|  |  | Total | 19,835 | 8,155 | 11,680 | 5,334 |
|  |  | \% Mark. | 75 | 75 | 76 | 100 |
|  | Estimated (Creel) Encounters |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unmark. | 2,413 | 2,158 | 255 | 39 |
|  |  | Mark. | 6,782 | 6,022 | 759 | 5,292 |
|  |  | Total | 9,194 | 8,180 | 1,014 | 5,331 |
|  |  | \% Mark. | 74 | 74 | 75 | 99 |
| 10 | FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 3,374 | 1,744 | 1,630 | 174 |
|  |  | Mark. | 6,007 | 2,347 | 3,660 | 2,042 |
|  |  | Total | 9,381 | 4,091 | 5,290 | 2,216 |
|  |  | \% Mark. | 64 | 57 | 69 | 92 |
|  | Estimated (Creel) Encounters |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unmark. | 2,734 | 1,059 | 1,675 | 42 |
|  |  | Mark. | 4,444 | 3,383 | 1,062 | 2,988 |
|  |  | Total | 7,178 | 4,441 | 2,737 | 3,030 |
|  |  | \% Mark. | 62 | 76 | 39 | 99 |

### 3.2.4 Area 11 Summer MSF

A summertime recreational mark-selective fishery was implemented for the fourth year in Area 11 in 2009, running from June 1 through September 30. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 to collect the data needed to provide in-season catch estimates and to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. An estimated total of 3.974 Chinook were landed during the fishery (Table 17 (from WDFW 2011)). Anglers released an estimated 2,991 Chinook ( 1,481 marked, 1,510 unmarked). Unmarked encounters were well below pre-season projections.

| Table 17. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 11 summer 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery, June 1-September 30, 2010. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 7,524 | 2,869 | 4,655 | 58 |
|  | Mark. | 21,181 | 7,336 | 13,845 | 6,382 |
|  | Total | 28,705 | 10,205 | 18,500 | 6,440 |
|  | \% Mark. | 74 | 72 | 75 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) Encounters | Unmark. | 1,575 | 1,170 | 405 | 64 |
|  | Mark. | 5,390 | 4,463 | 927 | 3,910 |
|  | Total | 6,965 | 5,633 | 1,332 | 3,974 |
|  | \% Mark. | 77 | 79 | 70 | 98 |

### 3.2.5 Puyallup River Angler Surveys

The WDFW conducted a seventh year of angler surveys during the recreational mark selective Chinook fishery on the Puyallup River in 2010. This survey was designed to develop a general sense of salmon catch and angler effort patterns during the fishery, and provide information on mark rates of Chinook and coho.

Anglers reported catching 26 Chinook, of which 21 were kept and 5 were released. All but one of the harvested Chinook encountered by the surveyor were adipose clipped, and no CWTs were recovered. The one Chinook that was not adipose clipped had a clipped ventral fin. All Chinook released by anglers were unmarked. The total mark rate of Chinook encountered during the 2010 fishery was 77 percent. The highest CPUE for Chinook was observed during the second week of the fishery, the second week of August, but quickly dropped to levels below the average of past years.

### 3.2.6 Carbon River Angler Surveys

The WDFW conducted a eighth year of angler surveys during the recreational mark selective Chinook fishery on the Carbon River in 2010. This survey was designed to estimate angler CPUE, percent of Chinook that were marked (adipose fin clipped), and to monitor angler effort. The survey was less intense than previous years, when the goal was to estimate total catch and encounters in the fishery.

Anglers reported catching 113 Chinook during the survey period. Of these 113 fish, 53 were kept and 60 were released. All harvested Chinook were adipose clipped. As with 2009, no Coded Wire Tags were recovered during the survey. The reported mark status of the 60 Chinook released by anglers was; 38 had a clipped adipose fin, 21 were unmarked, and 1 was unknown. The mark rate for all Chinook encounters was 81 percent. As in past years, CPUE during the first week of the fishery was the highest, but quickly dropped to levels below the average of past years.

### 3.2.7 CWT Sampling and Harvest Estimation in Sport Fisheries

In 2010, WDFW undertook a project using PSC funding to review WDFW's freshwater sport fishery sampling programs, specifically the methods for estimating CWT recoveries from Puget Sound Chinook CWT indicator stocks in those fisheries. Through this project, creel estimates were completed for the Skagit spring, Skykomish summer, Nisqually fall, and Skokomish fall Chinook mark-selective fisheries. Preliminary creel estimates are available for the Skagit, Skykomish, and Nisqually fisheries. For the Skagit spring fishery, an estimated 234 adults were retained, compared to a pre-season projection of 376 . In the Skykomish, 213 adults were retained, compared to the projection of 500 . Finally for the Nisqually, 3,355 adults were retained ( 3,312 marked, 43 unmarked), compared to the projection of 2,147 . Complete results for all of these sampling programs, including estimates of total mortality, will be available in a future final report.

## 4 Spawning escapement

This section presents natural Chinook escapement estimates for 2010, and compares them to projections from FRAM 1010, and management thresholds.

In general, pre-season FRAM projections are made for natural escapement (the number of Chinook spawning naturally). For some MUs where hatchery-origin adults contribute to natural spawning, the FRAM projections of escapement include natural-origin recruits (NOR) and hatchery-origin recruits (HOR) that spawn naturally. This includes projections for the Skagit, Cedar, Green, Puyallup, Nisqually, Skokomish, Mid-Hood Canal, Dungeness, and Elwha. For the White MU, the projection includes all fish returning to the Buckley Trap or White River Hatchery facilities, including supplementation-origin fish that do not spawn naturally. Natural-origin adults that are used for hatchery broodstock may be included in the projections of natural escapement.

FRAM projects natural-origin escapement for the Nooksack, Skagit Spring, Stillaguamish and Snohomish populations, so hatchery-origin fish must be subtracted from total escapement, and the number of natural-origin fish used for broodstock added, to obtain an estimate comparable to the FRAM projections. The comparisons in Table 18 represent the best currently available data for comparing predicted and actual escapements.

Spring Chinook escapement was above predictions for Skagit, White and Dungeness, and below for Nooksack. Nooksack escapement was below its Low Abundance Threshold. White River escapement exceeded its Upper Management Threshold, while Dungeness and Skagit escapements were between their lower and upper thresholds.

For summerffall populations, escapement was lower than predicted for the majority of management units. Escapement to the Stillaguamish, Mid-Hood Canal and Skokomish units were below their lower thresholds. In general, it appears that survival rates for summer/fall stocks were below the levels forecasted for the 2010 return.

Details for each escapement estimate, including information on biological sampling of carcasses on the spawning grounds, and hatchery/natural-origin composition estimates, are presented in the following sections.

Table 18. Management thresholds, predicted 2010 escapement, and actual 2010 escapement estimates for Puget Sound Chinook management units.


## 1. Natural-origin only.

2. SF NOR only. This is likely an underestimate, as poor survey conditions limited effort 2-3 weeks around the peak of spawning. This led to likely biased redd counts, and biased representation of SF NOR carcasses in sampling. An additional 49 NF/MF NOR's and 122 Fall NOR's were estimated in the SF.
3. An additional 63 Skagit Summer/Fall Chinook were collected for use as broodstock for the wild stock indicator program.
4. An additional48 NOR's were collected from the spawning grounds for use as broodstock.
5. An additional 92 HOR's were collected from the spawning grounds for use as broodstock.
6. Includes 1,015 of White River hatchery origin, and 361 of acclimation pond origin.
7. Includes 90 fish used for hatchery broodstock and 22 surplus males at the hatchery.
8. Includes 709 fish used for hatchery broodstock and 5 pre-spawn mortalities.
9. Includes 473 fish used for hatchery broodstock.

### 4.1 Escapement surveys and estimation methods

### 4.2 North Puget Sound

### 4.2.1 Nooksack River Early Chinook

North and Middle forks early Chinook
Since 2005, different methods have been used to estimate escapement to the North Fork and Middle Fork of the Nooksack River. In previous years the North/Middle estimate had been derived by expanding the total number of accounted, 'volitional recruit' carcasses observed in the North and Middle Forks by 3.48. This expansion factor was derived as the average ratio of cumulative redd counts and total carcass counts in five previous years.

Due to lower flows and higher river bank exposure in 2005-2008, we believed that the spawning surveys accounted for the majority of redds in the Middle Fork. To avoid overestimating escapement, it was decided to expand the Middle Fork redd count by the standard 2.5 fish per redd expansion factor) and to only apply the 3.48 expansion factor to the North Fork carcass counts.

In 2009, higher than normal flows and associated scouring in the Middle Fork limited redd observations during the early Chinook spawning season, so the Co-managers decided to adjust the Middle fork escapement methodology to account for less than optimum viewing conditions. The following methodology was agreed to for the 2009 and 2010 early Chinook returns only in the Middle Fork. An expansion factor was calculated in a method similar to the North Fork (see explanation above). For 2005-2008, the escapement based on redd counts (\# redds x 2.5) was divided by the number of carcasses observed. The average of these annual ratios was applied to carcass counts to calculate the 2009 and 2010 Middle Fork escapement (Table 19).

| Table 19. Ratios of redd-based escapement estimates to numbers of carcasses <br> observed for MF Nooksack early Chinook, 2005-2008, and 2009-2010 escapement <br> estimates based on carcass expansions. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return Year | MF Redds <br> observed | MF estimate <br> based on <br> redds $\mathbf{~ 2 ~ 2 . 5 ~}$ | ALL MF <br> carcasses <br> observed | MF <br> Expansion <br> \% |
| 2005 | 116 | 290 | 219 | 1.32 |
| 2006 | 71 | 178 | 150 | 1.19 |
| 2007 | 106 | 265 | 150 | 1.77 |
| 2008 | 114 | 285 | 85 | 3.35 |
| 4-year |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1}$ |  |
| 2009 | na | na | 89 | 170 |
| 2010 | na | na | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ |

There was a further significant change in methodology for estimating the NF/MF Nooksack River escapement in 2010. The carcasses observed in Kendall Creek were believed to be an accurate census, and so were not expanded. Carcass counts for the remainder of the North Fork were expanded by 3.48. Our prior assumption that Kendall Creel is reflective
of the other areas nearby, like Wick's Slough, Bear Creek Slough, and Coal Creek slough, is no longer valid due to river flow changes in the North Fork Nooksack River. In 2010, due to river bank changes, Kendall Creek extended 0.4 miles downstream from the Kendall Creek Hatchery rack, creating more spawning habitat below the hatchery.

Following is a summary of the 2010 estimate of total natural escapement to the North / Middle Fork:

Kendall Creek area carcasses $=707$
North Fork River expanded carcasses $(272 \times 3.48)=947$
North Fork total escapement $=1654$
Middle Fork carcasses ( $204 \times 1.91$ ) $=390$
Total NF/MF Nooksack = 2044
The main stem North Fork exhibited its characteristic glacial color throughout the summer survey season. The majority of spring Chinook spawning occurred in side channels off the mainstem or near the mouths of major tributaries.

Based on carcass sampling, the escapement was comprised of 1840 hatchery-origin recruits, and 204 natural-origin recruits, compared to a pre-season projection of 297 natural-origin recruits.

Total early Chinook escapement to the South Fork was estimated by expanding 219 redds counted prior to October 1 by 2.5, to estimate escapement 548; 24 were South Fork native Chinook. High flow and turbidity during the peak of the spawning season in September prevented accurate redd counts, so these estimates almost certainly are lower than actual escapement.

Sampling of 102 carcasses provided information for estimating the contributions of native South Fork and other stocks to the natural escapement (Table 20). Natural-origin components were distinguished by microsatellite DNA analysis of tissues from 16 unmarked carcasses, collected through Oct. 7. Individual samples were assigned according to their best fit to the three Nooksack baseline stocks (North/Middle Fork early Chinook, South Fork early Chinook, and Samish/Nooksack summer-fall Chinook). Stock ratios derived from these assignments were applied to the remaining unanalyzed, unmarked carcasses to generate stock composition of all the natural origin (non-hatchery origin) carcasses.

Kendall Creek Hatchery and other hatchery-origin adults were identified by marks, otoliths or CWTs from 49 carcasses, of which 44 were recovered in the South Fork, and five from Hutchinson Creek. Kendall Creek Hatchery and North Fork native returns comprised 54\% and $9 \%$ of the total, respectively.

Most of the carcass samples were collected from the South Fork proper, two from Hutchinson Creek, and one from Plumbago Creek. Difficult survey conditions also limited carcass sampling in mid- to late-September, so it is uncertain whether the sampled fish accurately represent composition of escapement.

Table 20. 2010 South Fork early Chinook escapement estimate and other Chinook by stock, and origin through Oct. 1.

| S Fk Chinook Origin and Stock | Percent of <br> total <br> Chinook | Estimated <br> Chinook |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| North Fork Hatchery | $54.6 \%$ | 295 |
| Other Hatchery | $10.6 \%$ | 58 |
| North Fork NORs | $8.9 \%$ | 49 |
| Fall Stock NORS | $22.3 \%$ | 122 |
| Native South Fork Escapement | $4.4 \%$ | 24 |
| Total Chinook to Oct. 1 | $100 \%$ | 548 |

### 4.2.2 Skagit River

Escapement estimates for the six populations of Skagit River Chinook were calculated by expanding redd counts by 2.5 fish/redd. Redds were counted by foot or float surveys in tributaries to the Skagit River and tributaries and upper reaches of the Sauk River. Visible redds in the main stem Skagit River, and in the Sauk River below the mouth of the White Chuck River, were counted by helicopter survey and escapement estimated using the area under the curve method. Due to the high cost associated with helicopter charter the number of aerial surveys was kept to a minimum but effective number. The first flight for a population generally occurred just after spawning began. Likewise, the final flight may have occurred before spawning was fully completed. Because redds were generally observed during the first flight and may be built after the last flight, actual beginning and end dates of main stem spawning populations were estimated using historical data and field observations.

Weather and flow conditions were favorable for conducting Chinook spawning surveys through most of 2010. A mid-September rainstorm elevated Cascade River flows beyond a level that was safe to survey for upper Cascade spring Chinook in two of the indexes for 21 days. The storm also elevated flows in other basins, but not enough to disrupt survey intervals. The 2010 data set was mostly complete with minimal deviation from our prescribed escapement estimate methodologies. Historically, surveying lower Sauk summer Chinook and lower Skagit fall Chinook has been difficult and routinely interrupted by weather and resulting flow conditions. This was not the case in 2010; we performed four flight surveys for each population and had uninterrupted survey intervals in the Lower Skagit Fall and Lower Sauk Summer Chinook tributary indexes.

## Suiattle spring Chinook

Suiattle River spring Chinook spawn in the clear water tributaries of the turbid Suiattle River. Spawning has not regularly been observed throughout the turbid main stem, but has been documented in the main stem at interfaces with clear water tributaries. Historically surveyed streams include Big Creek, Tenas Creek, Straight Creek, Circle Creek, Buck Creek, Lime Creek, Downey Creek, Sulphur Creek, and Milk Creek. Circle Creek suffered severe habitat damage from a flood in 1990 which created fish passage issues and access to Circle Creek was eliminated during a 2003 flood which wiped out the vehicle bridge spanning the Suiattle River. In late 2009 and early 2010 the Forest Service contracted to have the Boundary Bridge (the bridge that connects Forest Service road 26
and 25) replaced restoring access to Circle Creek for 2010 Chinook spawning ground surveys.

The Suiattle River spring Chinook escapement estimation method has been used since 1994. Spawning ground indexes were surveyed on foot every 7 to 10 days. Redds were marked with dated PVC flagging tape and counted and recorded. The cumulative redd count from all surveyed tributaries (which is the entire known spawning area) was expanded by 2.5 fish per redd to calculate the escapement estimate.

The indexes surveyed in 2010 represented the total known spawning distribution of the population. The indexes included most clear water tributaries in the basin with enough flow to allow Chinook access. Redds constructed in the mixing zone between a tributary and the mainstem were included in the total for the tributary.

Access to the Suiattle River tributaries was restored to nearly pre 2003 flood ease in 2010 when the Forest Service contracted to have the bridge connecting road 26 and road 25 repaired. Additionally, the stretch of road from RM 12 to Downey Creek was roughly repaired to allow limited administrative vehicle access nearly to Downey Creek. Forest Service Road 26 beyond mile 12 remained closed to the public, but we acquired permission from Darrington District Ranger Peter Forbes to use the roads for access to the spring Chinook spawning ground survey indexes.

Tributaries were surveyed for spring Chinook redds between August 3 and September 24, 2010. The survey interval goal was generally maintained throughout the survey period. A total of 105 redds were identified by surveyors and the 2010 Suiattle River spring Chinook escapement estimate was 263 fish (Table 21).

Table 21. Suiattle River spring Chinook redd counts from 2010 spawning ground surveys. Redds found at the interface of the Suiattle River and a tributary were included in the count for the tributary. Dates without a redd count indicate no survey occurred that day on that stream.

|  |  | New redds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stream | RM | $8 / 3$ | $8 / 4$ | $8 / 12$ | $8 / 13$ | $8 / 23$ | $8 / 24$ | $9 / 2$ | $9 / 3$ | $9 / 13$ | $9 / 14$ | $9 / 24$ |
| Big Cr. | $0.0-0.6$ | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |
| Tenas Cr. | $0.0-0.6$ |  | 0 |  | 1 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 10 |
| Straight Cr. | $0.0-0.7$ |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Circle Cr. | $0.0-0.1$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Buck Cr. | $0.0-0.7$ | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 10 | 1 | 13 |
| Lime Cr. | $0.0-0.5$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Downey Cr. | $0.0-2.1$ | 0 |  | 14 |  | 21 |  | 20 |  | 6 | 0 | 61 |  |
| Sulphur Cr. | $0.0-0.9$ |  |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Milk Cr. | $0.0-0.1$ |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total redds: | 105 |  |

## Upper Cascade spring Chinook

Upper Cascade spring Chinook surveys cover the entire known spawning distribution of the population. Surveyed areas were the main stem Cascade River from river mile (RM) 8.1 to 18.6, the lower reaches of the North and South Fork Cascade Rivers, and indexes in two tributaries, Marble Creek and Kindy Creek.

The Cascade spring Chinook escapement estimate methodology was implemented in 1992. Indexes were surveyed by foot, or cata-raft when flows were too high. Redds were marked with dated PVC flagging and counted. The cumulative redd count was expanded by 2.5 fish per redd to calculate escapement.

Survey coverage in 2010 was nearly complete and maintained the prescribed survey interval of 10 to 14 days in all but two of the indexes. Due to a mid September storm and resulting elevated flows, we were unable to survey two main stem indexes from river mile 12.4 to 18.6 for 22 days. After flows abated we surveyed both sections and found flows had not caused scour as previously marked redds were intact, and many new redds were located and marked. The indexes were surveyed from August 18 through October 01, 2010 which was a week later than surveys had been concluded in previous years (Table 22). The late survey occurred because of the unusual high number of redds located in the RM 12.4 to 9.0 index on September 23 and after flows had declined from their storm caused peaks. We suspect higher than average flows caused upper Cascade spring Chinook to temporarily suspend spawning until flows decreased, at which point spawning recommenced. We found redds in all main stem indexes on the October 1, 2010 survey. The total number of upper Cascade spring Chinook redds in 2010 were 132. The escapement estimate was 330 fish.

Table 22. Redd counts from 2010 Upper Cascade River spring Chinook spawning ground surveys. Dates without a redd count indicate no survey occurred that day.

|  |  | New redds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RM | $8 / 18$ | $8 / 19$ | $8 / 31$ | $9 / 9$ | $9 / 23$ | $10 / 1$ | Sum |
| Sascade River | $8.1-9.0$ | 2 |  | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Cascade River | $9.0-12.4$ | 6 |  | 22 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 51 |
| Cascade River | $12.4-15.8$ |  | 20 | 23 | 8 |  | 3 | 54 |
| Cascade River | $15.8-18.6$ |  | 3 | 7 | 2 |  | 1 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SF Cascade River | $18.6-19.3$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| NF Cascade River | $0.0-0.1$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Kindy Creek | $0.0-0.5$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Marble Creek | $0.0-0.5$ | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Upper Sauk spring Chinook
Spawning ground surveys for upper Sauk River spring Chinook encompass the known spawning distribution of the population. Main stem Sauk River indexes were between RM
31.0 (which is 0.9 miles below the mouth of the White Chuck River) and RM 39.7, at the confluence of the North Fork Sauk and South Fork Sauk Rivers. The North Fork Sauk River was surveyed from the mouth upstream to an impassable falls, and the South Fork Sauk River was surveyed from the mouth to approximately RM 3.5 which is an assumed Chinook barrier most years.

Surveys were performed on foot or by cataraft except for the 0.9 mile index below the White Chuck River. The section from RM 31.0 to RM 31.9 is too dangerous to walk or float and is surveyed by helicopter. Redds in sections surveyed from the ground were marked with dated PVC flagging and recorded. All visible redds in the aerial survey sections were counted and recorded. Redd days were calculated from the aerial surveyed section using the area under the curve (AUC) method. Estimated redds were calculated by dividing redd days by redd life. The redd life value used was 21 days (Schuller, 1974). Actual and estimated redds were summed and expanded by 2.5 fish per redd to estimate escapement. The Sauk River spring Chinook escapement estimate methodology has remained unchanged since 1994.

Manageable flows throughout most of the 2010 season enabled complete survey coverage of all upper Sauk spring Chinook indexes. The run timing was again late with spawning beginning mid August and peak redd counts occurring in mid September. Historically, upper Sauk spring Chinook spawned from early August through late September and peak spawning occurred early September. However in recent years few redds were built before September 1, and spawning has occurred into October. Peak spawning in 2010 occurred during the second week of September which was one week later than the peak in 2008, and the same week as observed in 2009. Surveys began August 24 and concluded October 19 (Table 23).

Table 23. Upper Sauk River spring Chinook redd counts from 2010 foot surveys of spawning ground indexes. Dates without a redd count indicate no survey occurred on that day.

|  |  | New redds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | RM | 8/24 | 8/25 | 9/3 | 9/10 | 9/15 | 9/16 | 9/27 | 9/30 | 10/1 | 10/7 | 10/8 | 10/19 |  |
| Sauk R. ${ }^{*}$ | 31.0-31.9 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Sauk R. | 31.9-34.5 |  | 5 |  | 36 |  | 39 |  | 13 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 102 |
| Sauk R. | 34.5-37.8 |  | 4 |  | 18 |  | 42 |  | 16 |  |  | 12 |  | 92 |
| Sauk R. | 37.8-39.7 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 4 |
| NF Sauk R. | 39.7-40.1 | 0 |  | 4 |  | 11 |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 17 |
| NF Sauk R. | 40.1-41.3 | 3 |  | 5 |  | 18 |  | 13 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 42 |
| SF Sauk R. | 0.0-2.9 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 20 |  |  |  | 12 | 9 |  | 0 | 41 |
| Falls Cr . | 0.0-0.3 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tota | redds: | 307 |

*1: Redd on 9/9/10 flight.

A total of 307 redds were observed from RM 31.9 upstream and including the forks. The AUC method was not used for flight surveys in 2010 because only two redds were observed in the aerial index and they were more than 21 days apart. Additionally, the second redd observed was on October 19 and had timing overlapping the beginning of chum spawning. Because we were unsure what salmon species built the second redd, it
was omitted from the escapement estimate. The 2010 upper Sauk River spring Chinook escapement estimate was768 fish.

Skagit Spring aggregate escapement
The 2010 observed spawning escapement of wild Skagit spring Chinook was 1,361, more than double the FRAM predicted escapement of 661 . Although projected preseason to be below the LAT of 170, the escapement for the Suiattle spring Chinook was estimated at 263 fish, above the LAT. Though higher postseason than expected, the total wild spring Chinook escapement was below the Upper Management Threshold of 2,000, but higher than the Low Abundance Threshold of 576.

## Upper Skagit summer Chinook

Skagit summer Chinook escapement estimation methodologies have remained unchanged since at least 1974. The escapement estimate is composed of a ground based survey redd count of tributaries and an aerial based main stem surveys with redds estimated using the AUC method. The survey protocol stipulates surveying nearly the entire known spawning distribution of the population which includes the main stem Skagit River from the mouth of the Sauk River (RM 67.2) to the Seattle City Light powerhouse at Newhalem (RM 94.3), and several tributaries. Tributaries surveyed were the lower Cascade River (RM 0.0 to 3.4) and also indexes in Illabot Creek, Diobsud Creek, Bacon Creek, Falls Creek (tributary of Bacon Creek) and Goodell Creek. All redds located in tributaries were marked with dated PVC tape and recorded. Infrequent spawning in some tributaries not normally surveyed has been documented historically, but limited staffing prevented us from monitoring those areas. The survey interval for tributaries was every 10 to 14 days and the interval for flights was approximately once every two weeks. Cumulative redds from all tributary counts were added to the AUC redd estimate and multiplied by 2.5 fish per redd to calculate the escapement estimate. The AUC method used an assumed redd life of 21 days (Schuller, 1974) to calculate total redds. Beginning and end points for the curve were estimated using field observations of redd construction and historical data

Tributary surveys began September 8 and concluded October 26, 2010. Weather conditions were favorable for surveys throughout most of the spawning period. A mid September rainstorm elevated flows, but did not impact spawning activity, existing redds, or our survey schedule. There were no fish passage issues observed in any of our tributary indexes in 2010. We surveyed the main stem Skagit River by helicopter four times beginning September 9 and concluding October 19. Weather conditions were favorable for all the flights.

We estimated 2,657 Skagit summer Chinook redds were constructed in the main stem Skagit River and its tributaries in 2010. Of all redds constructed, 144 redds were in the tributaries (Table 25). Based on flight surveys we estimated using the AUC 2,514 redds were in the main stem Skagit River indexes (Table 24). The 2010 Skagit River summer Chinook escapement estimate was 6,644 fish.

For the fifth consecutive year redds constructed in the tributaries prior to September 1 were not included in the total estimate. Carcass recoveries have shown these fish are hatchery strays from the Marblemount hatchery spring Chinook program, so they were enumerated separately.

Table 24. Skagit summer Chinook redd counts from 2010 Aerial surveys of the mainstem.

|  |  | Redd Days |  |  |  |  |  | Redds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RM | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 1- \\ & 9 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/9- } \\ & \text { 9/22 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 22- \\ & 10 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 5- \\ & 10 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 19- \\ & 11 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | Total |  |
| Sauk - Cascade | $\begin{aligned} & 67.2- \\ & 78.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 280 | 1,788 | 4,297 | 5,502 | 4,290 | 16,156 | 769 |
| Cascade - Shovelspur | $\begin{aligned} & 78.1 \\ & 89.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 420 | 3,478 | 7,573 | 8,505 | 6,240 | 26,215 | 1,248 |
| Shovelspur - Newhalem | $\begin{aligned} & 89.5- \\ & 93.0 \end{aligned}$ | 224 | 1,437 | 2,893 | 3,325 | 2,535 | 10,413 |  |
| Mainstem sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,784 | 496 2,514 |

Table 25. Skagit summer Chinook redd counts from 2010 foot surveys of spawning grounds in tributaries to the Skagit.

|  | RM | 9/8 | 9/9 | 9/10 | 9/22 | 9/23 | 10/4 | 10/5 | 10/14 | 10/15 | 10/26 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cascade R. | 0.0-0.9 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |
| Cascade R. | 0.9-3.4 | 6 |  |  |  | 8 | 6 |  |  | 0 |  | 20 |
| Cascade R. | 0.0-3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Boulder Cr. | 0.0-0.4 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Goodell Cr. | 0.0-0.7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Goodell Cr. | 0.9-1.4 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Bacon Cr. | 0.0-1.5 |  |  | 7 |  | 12 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  | 31 |
| Bacon Cr. | 1.5-3.5 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 0 |  |  | 8 |
| Bacon Cr. | 3.5-4.2 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 6 | 0 |  |  | 9 |
| Falls Cr. | 0.0-0.2 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Diobsud Cr. | 0.0-1.1 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 22 |  |  | 0 |  | 30 |
| Diobsud Cr. | 1.1-1.4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  | 4 |
| Illabot Cr. | 0.0-1.9 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |  | 3 | 5 | 31 |
| Illabot Cr. | 1.9-2.6 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 2 |
| Tributary Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |

Lower Sauk summer Chinook
Sauk River summer Chinook escapement was estimated by summing calculated main stem redds with redds counted in one tributary, and expanding the sum by fish per redd. The methodology has remained unchanged since at least 1974. The main stem was surveyed by helicopter at approximately two week intervals from the mouth of the Sauk River to RM 31.0. The reach from RM 31.0 to 31.9 (mouth of the White Chuck) was high gradient with limited spawning habitat and was assumed to separate the spring and summer Chinook stock distributions. Redd days were calculated by the AUC and divided by the assumed redd life of 21 days (Schuller 1974) to calculate total redds. Beginning and end points for the curve were estimated using field observations of redd construction and historical data. Any redds counted in the tributary were added to the AUC redds and the sum was multiplied by 2.5 fish per redd to calculate escapement. The area surveyed
represented the total known spawning distribution of the population. Dan Creek was the only tributary surveyed.

A major flood in October 2003 changed the distribution of summer Chinook spawning in the Sauk River from historic patterns. Downstream of the Suiattle River mouth the Sauk River experienced a loss of suitable gravel due to deposition of fine sediment and as a result, less spawning was observed. Upstream of the Suiattle River, new usable gravel had been deposited and increased spawning was observed. As an example of the changes, prior to the 2003 flood few redds were typically observed above the Darrington Bridge at RM 21.0 (spawning ground database). However, In recent years as much as $26 \%$ of the Sauk summer Chinook population has utilized the spawning habitat above the bridge. In 2010 16.5\% of the redds were in this reach. The same change in spawning distribution has been observed with other species, most noticeably steelhead.

We surveyed the Sauk River four times by helicopter between September 9 and October 19, 2010. Flow and visibility conditions were generally favorable in the Sauk River upstream of the Suiattle River. However the index from the mouth of the Sauk River to the Suiattle River was not surveyable on the first flight due to Suiattle River turbidity. During the second week of October, and between the third and fourth flight survey, a high flow event brought Sauk River flows to a peak value of 10,400 cfs. The elevated river discharge flattened and obscured redds. Because of the greatly diminished redd life between flights we reduced the usual 21 day redd life (Schuller 1974) used in all other AUC estimates to 14 days for the redd count effected by the flow event. We began surveying Dan Creek September 27 and concluded October 15. Dan Creek had unusually adequate flows for passage throughout most of the spawning period. Three redds were counted in the Dan Creek indexes in 2010.

The preliminary 2010 Sauk summer Chinook escapement estimate was 356 fish. An estimated 139 redds were constructed in the Sauk River summer Chinook zone and three redds were counted in the tributary index. The 2010 Sauk River summer Chinook estimate is subject to change pending comanager review and agreement.

## Lower Skagit fall Chinook

The Skagit River fall Chinook escapement was estimated using total redd counts from main stem Skagit River aerial surveys and new redd counts from 10 tributaries. The main stem was flown by helicopter at approximately two week intervals from Highway 9 at Sedro Woolley to the Sauk River Mouth. Redd days were estimated from the aerial counts using the AUC method. Beginning and end points for the curve were estimated using field observations of redd construction and historical data. Estimated redd days were then divided by an assumed redd life of 21 days to calculate total redds (Schuller 1974). The tributary cumulative redd count was added to the AUC derived redds and multiplied by 2.5 fish per redd to calculate escapement. Of the tributaries surveyed, Jackman Creek, two indexes on Finney Creek, Pressentin Creek, O'Toole Creek (supplemental index), Grandy Creek, Day Creek, Alder Creek, Jones Creek, and Hansen Creek were surveyed every 7 to 10 days and East Fork Nookachamps Creek was occasionally surveyed (Table 26). WDFW did not survey all the indexes. The Upper Skagit Indian Tribe (USIT) surveyed the upper index of Finney Creek, Grandy Creek, and East Fork Nookachamps Creek. The Skagit Fisheries Enhancement Group (SFEG) also participated in fall Chinook surveys. They surveyed Hansen Creek, Jones Creek, and Alder Creek. All tributaries were surveyed by foot, and all new redds were marked with dated PVC flagging and recorded. The areas surveyed represented nearly the entire known spawning distribution of the population. Some limited spawning may have occurred in tributaries not surveyed.

The main stem was surveyed by helicopter four times in 2010 from RM 24.5 (Highway 9 Bridge) to the mouth of the Sauk River (RM 67.2) beginning September 9 and ending on October 19. On the first flight river turbidity was high from Highway 9 to the Baker River and no redds were observed. Conditions from the Baker River to Sauk River were also poor on the first flight, but were not as bad as the lower section, and one redd was observed. All indexes were successfully surveyed on all subsequent flights. As in past years, the Baker and Sauk Rivers both added color and reduced visibility in the Skagit River during aerial surveys

Tributary surveys began September 14 and terminated November 15, 2010 (Table 26). With the exception of a couple of high water episodes, moderate flow conditions presented favorable surveying conditions throughout the fall Chinook spawning period allowing a full set of surveys to be completed.

From the flight data we estimated 267 redds were in the main stem section from the Highway 9 Bridge to the Sauk River and we documented 140 redds in the tributary indexes. The preliminary 2010 Skagit fall Chinook escapement estimate was 1,017 fish. The final escapement number is dependent on co-manager review and agreement which had not yet occurred at publication.

Table 26. Lower Skagit River fall Chinook redd counts from 2010 spawning ground surveys. Redd counts were provided from the E.F. Nookachamps Creek, Grandy Creek, and part of Finney Creek by the Upper Skagit Tribe. The Skagit Fisheries Enhancement group surveyed Jones Creek, Hansen Creek, and Alder Creek.

| Stream | WRIA | Survey <br> method | Reach <br> (RM) | Redds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Skagit River | 3.0176 | Flight | $24.5-56.5$ | 63 |
| Skagit River | 3.0176 | Flight | $56.5-67.2$ | 203 |
| E.F. Nookachamps Creek | 3.023 | Foot | $3.5-5.1$ | 10 |
| Hansen Creek | 3.0265 | Foot | $3.0-4.3$ | 0 |
| Day Creek | 3.0299 | Foot | $0.0-2.2$ | 45 |
| Jones Creek | 3.0332 | Foot | $0.0-1.3$ | 0 |
| Grandy Creek | 3.0337 | Foot | $0.0-1.1$ | 5 |
| Alder Creek | 3.0359 | Foot | $0.0-1.6$ | 0 |
| O'Toole Creek | 3.0365 | Foot | $0.0-0.2$ | 0 |
| Pressentin Creek | 3.0385 | Foot | $0.0-0.4$ | 3 |
| Finney Creek | 3.0392 | Foot | $0.0-6.0$ | 71 |
| Jackman Creek | 3.0626 | Foot | $0.0-0.7$ | 6 |
|  |  | Total redds (rounded): | 407 |  |

Skagit Summer/Fall Chinook aggregate escapement
The 2010 observed spawning escapement of wild Skagit summer/fall Chinook, 8,037, plus the 63 wild summer Chinook removed from the river for the wild indicator broodstock totaled 8,100 Chinook, lower than the Upper Management Threshold (14,500), but higher
than the Low Abundance Threshold $(4,800)$ for the fourth year in a row, even though three of four brood year escapements that contributed to the 2010 Skagit summer/fall Chinook run, were higher than 20,000, which was well in excess of the Upper Management Threshold. FRAM predicted spawning escapement of summer/fall natural and indicator stock was modeled at 12,719 Chinook.

## Skagit Hatchery Spring Chinook Stray Rate Study

A study began in 2006 to determine the number of hatchery spring Chinook spawning in natural spawning areas prior to the onset of native summer Chinook spawning. The study was conducted by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Skagit River System Cooperative (SRSC), the management body for the Swinomish and Sauk-Suiattle tribes of Indians. Prior to 2005, no attempt had been made to enumerate the number of strays that did not enter the hatchery.

Weekly redd surveys were conducted by foot or pontoon boat in the Lower Cascade River (RM $0.0-3.4$ ) and Boulder Creek, a tributary to the Cascade River where hatchery strays were known to spawn. Encountered carcasses were sampled for coded wire tags to ascertain origin. Tributaries to the upper Skagit River, Bacon Creek, Illabot Creek and Diobsud Creek were also surveyed by foot to determine whether strays were spawning in those streams.

Carcass recoveries revealed redds built before September 1 in the all the sites surveyed could be reasonably expected to have been constructed by hatchery spring Chinook strays.

Surveys began July 15, 2010. A cumulative total of 194 redds were observed in the Cascade River and another 38 redds were observed in other tributary indexes prior to September 1 (Table 27). Using an expansion of 2.5 fish per redd, an estimated 580 stray Marblemount Hatchery spring Chinook spawned in natural spawning areas.

Table 27. Redd counts from 2010 hatchery spring Chinook spawning surveys. Strays originate from the WDFW Marblemount Hatchery.

| Stream | RM | New redds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7/15 | 7/26 | 8/2 | 8/5 | 8/10 | 8/11 | 8/12 | 8/16 | 8/25 | 8/26 | 8/30 | 8/31 |  |
| Cascade R. | 0.0-0.9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 8 |  | 2 | 21 |
| Cascade R. | 0.9-3.4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 9 |  | 11 | 41 |
| Cascade R. | 0.0-3.4 |  | 19 | 33 |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |
| Boulder Cr. | 0.0-0.4 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 12 |
| Bacon Cr. | 0.0-1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Bacon Cr. | 1.5-3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Bacon Cr. | 1.5-4.2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Bacon Cr. | 3.5-4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Falls Cr. | 0.0-0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Diobsud Cr. | 0.0-1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Diobsud Cr. | 0.0-1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Diobsud Cr. | 1.1-1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Illabot Cr . | 0.0-1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Illabot Cr . | 0.9-2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 12 |
| Illabot Cr . | 0.0-2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Illabot Cr . | 1.9-2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total: | 232 |

### 4.2.3 Stillaguamish River

Escapement estimates for the two Stillaguamish Chinook populations were calculated by multiplying the cumulative redd count by 2.5. Since 2008 Chinook redds found in the North and South Forks have been individually counted during periodic foot or raft surveys using the marked redd census method. Previous to 2008 redd counts in the North and South Forks were estimated using area under the curve methodology based on aerial surveys of North and South fork mainstem reaches as well as ground-based surveys of tributary streams. Aerial surveys continue to provide redd count data for the Lower Mainstem. Since 2008 Stillaguamish Tribe Department of Natural Resources has provided ground coverage of the North Fork Stillaguamish River from its mouth to river mile (RM) 30.0. WDFW staff surveyed the remaining known Chinook spawning areas in the Stillaguamish basin.

Surveys were conducted from mid-August to mid-November to encompass the spawn timing of both stocks. All known spawning habitat was surveyed on a seven to ten day cycle to maximize carcass sampling rates and ensure enumeration of all redds. All redds were flagged, enumerated and recorded with a GPS waypoint.

Stillaguamish summer Chinook
Stillaguamish summer Chinook spawning surveys covered the entire known distribution of the population. Surveyed areas were the North Fork from RM 0.0 to 34.4 and North Fork tributaries including Squire, Segelson, French, Brooks, and Grant creeks, and Boulder River.

Survey conditions for counting Chinook in the North Fork Stillaguamish were generally good throughout the spawning period. The first redds were detected August 30. Peak redd deposition occurred on or about September 15. Following a flow spike on September 19, redd deposition decreased precipitously and the final redd was detected in early-October. Rainstorms caused elevated stream levels in mid-September and October and temporarily hampered some of the later surveys with decreased visibility.

A total of 305 Stillaguamish summer Chinook redds were counted in 2010. The escapement estimate was 763 fish. Based on carcass sampling, 405 of these were NOR's, and 358 were HOR's. An additional 140 fish were taken for hatchery brood stock and were not included in the escapement estimate ( 48 NOR, 92 HOR). Total NOR escapement (natural spawning + broodstock collection) was 453 Chinook, compared to a pre-season projection of 528. Table 28 lists redd counts and escapement estimates by surveyed reach.

Table 28. Stillaguamish Summer Chinook redd counts and escapement by survey reach in 2010.

| Stream <br> Reach | WRIA | Method | Reach <br> (RM) | Redds | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Fork | 5.0135 | Foot/Float | $0.0-14.3$ | 27 | 68 |
| North Fork | 5.0135 | Foot/Float | $14.3-30.0$ | 242 | 605 |
| North Fork | 5.0135 | Foot/Float | $30.0-34.4$ | 20 | 50 |
| Grant Creek | 5.0156 | Foot | $0.0-0.4$ | 0 | 0 |
| Deer Creek | 5.0173 | Foot | $0.0-6.0$ | 0 | - |
| Brooks Creek | 5.0215 | Foot | $0.0-0.1$ | 0 | 0 |
| Boulder River | 5.0229 | Foot | $0.0-2.9$ | 2 | 5 |
| French Creek | 5.0246 | Foot | $0.0-3.0$ | 3 | 7.5 |
| Squire Creek | 5.026 | Foot | $0.0-4.0$ | 11 | 27.5 |
| Ashton Creek | 5.0262 | Foot | $0.0-1.2$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total <br> Redds | $\mathbf{3 0 5}$ |  |
|  |  | Escapement Estimate |  | $\mathbf{7 6 3}$ |  |

## Stillaguamish fall Chinook

Fall Chinook escapement to the South Fork in 2010 was estimated using expansion of redd counts from foot and raft surveys. Areas surveyed were the South Fork from the confluence to Granite Falls (river miles 18.8 to 34.5), Canyon, Jim, Siberia, and Pilchuck Creeks. Aerial surveys were not conducted on the Lower Mainstem from the Interstate 5 highway bridges to the confluence at Arlington (river miles 11.0 to 17.8) in 2010 due to timing and fiscal constraints.

Survey conditions were challenging for the enumeration of new redds in the fall Chinook spawning reaches. Flow and turbidity conditions in the latter half of September and earlyOctober hindered our ability to keep survey frequency between seven to ten days. Further complicating Chinook redd detection was the onset of Chum salmon spawning sometime around September 21. As a result of these factors, the redd count total and escapement estimate for the South Fork are likely biased low.

A total 8 Chinook redds were found in the South Fork Stillaguamish River in 2010. The escapement estimate was 20 adult fish, less than the preseason projection of 158. Redd counts by surveyed reach and escapement estimates are listed in Table 29.

Table 29. Stillaguamish fall chinook redd counts and escapmeent by survey reach in 2010.

| Stream Reach | WRIA | Method | Reach <br> (RM) | Redds | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mainstem | 5.0001 | Flight | $0.0-18.2$ | 0 | - |
| South Fork | 5.0001 | Foot/Float | $18.0-30.3$ | 7 | 18 |
| South Fork (upper) | 5.0001 | Foot | $30.3-65.0$ | 0 | 0 |
| Pilchuck Creek | 5.0062 | Foot/Float | $0.0-6.2$ | 0 | 0 |
| Jim Creek | 5.0322 | Foot/Float | $0.0-4.1$ | 1 | 2.5 |
| Siberia Creek | 5.0324 | Foot | $0.0-0.4$ | 0 | 0 |
| Canyon Creek | 5.0359 | Foot | $0.0-0.5$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Total <br> Redds |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  |  |

## Carcass sampling

WDFW and Stillaguamish Tribe Natural Resources staff conducted spawning ground survey work and carcass sampling in North and South Forks of the Stillaguamish River and their tributaries. Tribal staff focused their Chinook carcass recovery efforts in the North Fork between the mouth and Swede Heaven Bridge (RM 0.0 to 30.0). In total, the sampling rate of Chinook carcasses encountered by WDFW and Tribal staff on the spawning grounds of the North Fork, South Fork and their associated tributaries were $13.5 \%$ and $0.0 \%$, respectively. These rates were calculated by dividing the number of carcasses sampled by the escapement estimate. Table 30 lists carcass sampling rates for each stream reach in the basin.

Table 30. Stillaguamish basin chinook spawning ground carcass sampling rates in 2010.

|  | Total | CWT | CWT <br> No | No CWT | Escape | Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach | Sample | Ad | clip | No clip | Est. | Rate |
| North Fork (RM 0.0-14.3) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 68 | $8.80 \%$ |
| North Fork (RM 14.3-30.0) | 87 | 50 | 1 | 6 | 605 | $14.40 \%$ |
| North Fork (RM 30.0-34.4) | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 50 | $18.00 \%$ |
| Grant Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Deer Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Brooks Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Boulder River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | $0.00 \%$ |
| French Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Segelson Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Squire Creek | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27.5 | $3.60 \%$ |
| Ashton Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  |  | 103 | 58 | 1 | 9 | 763 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $13.50 \%$ |  |
| South Fork (RM 18.2-30.6) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Pilchuck Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 18 |
| Jim Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2.5 |
| Siberia Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canyon Creek |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 4.2.4 Snohomish River

Escapement estimates of naturally spawning Summer/Fall Chinook salmon returning the Snohomish River are calculated from cumulative redd counts made from physical surveys of their spawning grounds, and from counts of adult fish passed at Sunset Falls. Redd counts were multiplied by 2.5 (fish per redd) to yield escapement. Survey methods included ground based walking and float surveys, and aerial surveys done from a helicopter. Ground counted redds were monitored using marked-redd-census methodology. Ground surveys were done at a frequency of seven to ten days so as to not miss new redds. Redds were flagged to prevent re-counting on subsequent surveys. GPS waypoints were recorded for most redds documented in ground-surveyed reaches. Aerial surveys were conducted on the Snohomish, Skykomish and North Fork Skykomish Rivers at target intervals of two weeks. Aerial surveys provided total visible redd counts per survey flight and were plotted against survey date for the area-under-curve (AUC) method to give total redd days. Total redd days were then divided by the assumed standard 21-day redd life to yield the estimated cumulative redds from aerial surveyed reaches. The cumulative redd count was then expanded by 2.5 (fish per redd).

## Skykomish summerfall Chinook

Spawning ground surveys were conducted throughout the known spawning distribution of Skykomish summerffall Chinook. Survey reaches were the mainstem Snohomish and Skykomish rivers, Pilchuck, Sultan, and Wallace rivers, Woods, Elwell, Bridal Veil, Olney, and Proctor creeks, and in the North and South forks of the Skykomish River.

Survey conditions were favorable for enumeration of redds during most of the spawning season. Ground survey intervals were kept to seven to ten days except for when rain-fed flow pulses in mid-September and October caused minor survey delays. Early pulses of water in late August helped fish use some of the small tributaries such as Bridal Veil and Olney creeks. Four aerial surveys were flown on the Mainstem Snohomish, Skykomish and North and South Fork Skykomish Rivers between September 14 and October 20. Surveys of the Sultan River were conducted by Snohomish PUD using a combination of ground and aerial coverage.

The 2010 estimated escapement for Skykomish Chinook was 2,511 fish. Of these, 918 were estimated from aerial surveys of mainstem reaches, 1,262 were estimated from ground counts of tributary reaches, and 331 were adults trapped at Sunset Falls. Table 31 lists Skykomish Chinook spawning ground survey reaches, redd counts and escapement estimates.

## Snoqualmie summer/fall Chinook

The escapement estimate for Snoqualmie summer/fall Chinook was made using cumulative redd counts from boat and foot surveys of known spawning habitat. Surveyed reaches were the Snoqualmie River and its tributaries, including the Tolt and Raging rivers and Cherry and Tokul creeks. Chinook redds were observed from early September to mid-November.

Survey conditions were good for most of the spawning season. Rainstorms in midSeptember and October elevated stream flows and turbidity and caused minor interruptions in survey coverage.

In 2010 the escapement of 1,788 Chinook in the Snoqualmie Basin was based on a total count of 715 redds. Table 32 lists redd counts and escapement estimates by survey reach for Snoqualmie fall Chinook.

Table 31. Skykomish summer/fall chinook redd counts and escapement, 2010.

| Stream Reach | WRIA | Method | Reach <br> (RM) | Redds | Escapement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Snoh-Sky (Mainstems) | 7.0012 | Float/Flight | $20.5-51.5$ | 321 | 802 |
| NF Skykomish | 7.0982 | Foot/Flight | $0.0-13.5$ | 46 | 116 |
| SF Sky (Sunset Falls) | 7.0012 | Trap/Haul | $51.5-$ up | - | 331 |
| Pilchuck River | 7.0125 | Foot/Float | $2.0-26.5$ | 32 | 80 |
| Woods Creek | 7.0826 | Foot/Float | $0.0-3.5$ | 9 | 23 |
| Elwell Creek | 7.0865 | Foot | $0.0-1.0$ | 11 | 28 |
| Sultan River | 7.0881 | Foot/Float | $0.0-9.7$ | 141 | 352 |
| Wallace River (lower) | 7.094 | Foot/Float | $0.0-4.4$ | 52 | 130 |
| Wallace River (upper) | 7.094 | Foot/Float | $4.4-7.3$ | 147 | 368 |
| Olney Creek | 7.0946 | Foot | $0.0-0.6$ | 13 | 33 |
| Proctor Creek | 7.097 | Foot | $0.0-0.4$ | 12 | 30 |
| Bridal Veil Creek | 7.1248 | Foot | $0.0-0.4$ | 88 | 220 |
|  |  |  | Total | 886 |  |
|  |  | Escapement Estimate |  | 2511 |  |

Table 32. Snoqualmie Fall Chinook redd counts and escapement by reach in 2010.

| Stream Reach | WRIA | Method | Reach <br> (RM) | Redds | Escapement |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Snoqualmie River (Lower) | 7.0219 | Float | $20.5-24.9$ | 182 | 455 |  |
| Snoqualmie River (Upper) | 7.0219 | Float | $32.9-39.6$ | 324 | 810 |  |
| Cherry Creek | 7.024 | Foot | $1.8-3.5$ | 3 | 8 |  |
| Tolt River (Lower) | 7.0291 | Foot/Float | $0.0-6.0$ | 51 | 128 |  |
| Tolt River (Upper) | 7.0291 | Foot/Float | $6.0-8.9$ | 47 | 118 |  |
| SF Tolt River | 7.0302 | Foot | $0.0-2.3$ | 27 | 68 |  |
| Raging River | 7.0384 | Foot | $0.0-4.6$ | 57 | 143 |  |
| Tokul Creek (Lower) | 7.044 | Foot | $0.0-0.3$ | 24 | 60 |  |
| Tokul Creek (Upper) | 7.044 | Foot | $0.3-0.6$ | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Redds | $\mathbf{7 1 5}$ |  |  |  |

## Carcass Sampling

WDFW field staff sampled 398 Chinook carcasses within the Snohomish basin. In total, the Chinook carcass sampling rate on the spawning grounds was $9.3 \%$. This was calculated by dividing the number of carcasses sampled by the escapement estimate. Table 33 lists carcass sampling rates for each stream reach in the basin. Based on these samples, escapement of hatchery- and natural-origin escapement Chinook was estimated for both populations. For the Skykomish, the total escapement of 2,511 included an estimated 1,836 NORs and 675 HORs. For the Snohomish, the total escapement of 1,788 included 1,585 NORs and 203 HORs.

Table 33. Snohomish Chinook spawning ground carcass sampling rates by reach, 2010.

|  | Total <br> Sample | CWT <br> AD | CWT <br> only | AD <br> only | Escapement <br> Estimate | Sample <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Reach | 133 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 802 | $16.60 \%$ |
| Snoh-Sky (mainstems) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 116 | $3.40 \%$ |
| NF Skykomish | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 | $0.30 \%$ |
| SF Sky (Sunset Falls) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 | $2.50 \%$ |
| Pilchuck River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Woods Creek | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | $14.30 \%$ |
| Elwell Creek | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 | $4.80 \%$ |
| Sultan River | 30 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 130 | $23.10 \%$ |
| Wallace River (Upper) | 23 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 368 | $6.30 \%$ |
| Wallace River (Lower) | 29 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 220 | $13.20 \%$ |
| Bridal Veil Creek | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | $9.10 \%$ |
| Olney Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 30 | $3.30 \%$ |
| Proctor Creek | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 455 | $5.30 \%$ |
| Snoqualmie River (Lower) | 97 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 810 | $12.00 \%$ |
| Snoqualmie River (Upper) | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 60 | $20.00 \%$ |
| Tokul Creek (Lower) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Tokul Creek (Upper) | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 143 | $7.70 \%$ |
| Raging River | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 128 | $4.70 \%$ |
| Tolt River (Lower) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | $0.80 \%$ |
| Tolt River (Upper) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | $0.00 \%$ |
| SF Tolt River | 398 | 9 | 5 | 70 | 4,299 | $9.30 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 South Puget Sound

### 4.3.1 Lake Washington

Cedar River

Prior to 1999, live counts and Area Under the Curve (AUC) methods were used to estimate Chinook spawning abundance in the Cedar River. Since 1999 Chinook redds have been enumerated and mapped in the Cedar River via floating surveys, and escapement estimated by expanding the redd count by 2.5. Cedar River redd surveys are considered to be a complete census, where every Chinook redd in the Cedar system is counted. Redd surveys are conducted between RM 4.2 and RM 21.8 (Landsburg Dam) 2-3 times per week. The portion of the river upstream from the Landsburg Dan, and the lower 4.2 miles of the Cedar mainstem are each surveyed once per week. The protocol requires that the surveyors must observe a female Chinook attending a redd to positively identify it as a Chinook redd.

In 2010 a total of 266 Chinook redds were observed in the Cedar River mainstem during the 2010 spawning season (including the surveyed area upstream from Landsburg Dam and including all small tributaries). Of the 266 redds, 39 were observed upstream from Landsburg Dam (3 of these 39 were located in Upper Rock Creek), and 227 were observed below Landsburg Dam (1 of these 277 was located in Taylor Creek). Expansion by 2.5 fish per redd resulted in the,estimated escapement of 665 Chinook. A total of 301 adult Cedar River Chinook were sampled for adipose fin clips in 2010. This sample indicated that $77 \%$ of the Cedar River Chinook were wild (unclipped) and $23 \%$ were hatchery origin fish.

Sammamish River/North Lake Washington Tributaries
The Sammamish Chinook population is composed of naturally spawning Chinook in the Big Bear/Cottage Lake Creek watershed and in the Issaquah Creek watershed. Chinook escapement to the Sammamish River/ North Lake Washington Tributaries in 2010 was estimated at 1,781 fish.

## Big Bear/Cottage Lake Creeks

Escapement to Big Bear Creek and Cottage Lake Creek involves weekly surveys of all known Chinook spawning areas to enumerate live and dead Chinook. Total fish days are calculated by the AUC method and divided by 10 day redd life to estimate escapement. Prior to 1998, the upper $\sim 0.7$ miles of Cottage Lake Creek were not surveyed.

The Bear Creek/Cottage Creek index area was surveyed 10 times, and the Cottage Creek index area (a subset of the Bear/Cottage Index area) was surveyed 20 times during the 2010 spawning season. The escapement estimate was 124 fish. Of these, 24 were counted in the Bear Creek mainstem, 50 in the Upper Cottage Creek Index, and 50 in the Lower Cottage Creek Index. A total of 105 Chinook were sampled for adipose fin clips in 2010. This sample indicated that $23 \%$ of all Chinook in the Bear/Cottage system were wild (unclipped) and $77 \%$ were hatchery origin fish.

## Issaquah Creek System

Issaquah Creek is surveyed weekly from the Issaquah Hatchery (located at river mile 3.0), downstream to its confluence with Lake Sammamish to count Chinook carcasses, All Chinook carcasses are assumed to have spawned, and the cumulative carcass count is used as the escapement estimate for this reach of Issaquah Creek. East Fork Issaquah Creek is also surveyed weekly from its confluence with the Issaquah Creek mainstem, upstream to the High Point Trail crossing at approximately RM 3.0. Similar to the Issaquah Creek mainstem, the cumulative carcass count is used as the escapement estimate for the East Fork.

The Issaquah Creek system was surveyed 8 times during the 2010 spawning season. The total estimated escapement was 1,657 fish (1,563 fish from the mainstem and 94 fish from the East Fork). A total of 309 adult Chinook from the Issaquah Creek system were sampled for adipose fin clips in 2010. This sample indicated that 3\% of all Chinook in the Issaquah Creek system were wild (unclipped) and $97 \%$ were hatchery origin fish.

Chinook escapement to Issaquah Hatchery was 3,$099 ; 1,090$ of these were released upstream to spawn in upper Issaquah Creek. Chinook passed above the hatchery are not included in the Issaquah Creek natural escapement estimate. Chinook escapement to the University of Washington hatchery was 2,054 fish.

### 4.3.2 Green River

Over 56 kilometers (km) of the Green River, broken into 31 separate reaches, were surveyed for Chinook redds in 2010. The area surveyed covers the extent of Chinook spawning, from river km 98.2 at the Howard Hanson Dam headworks downstream to river km 43 in the city of Auburn, King County. Newaukum Creek is surveyed from the mouth upstream for 7.2 km . The surveys were conducted over a period of six weeks from September 23rd to October 25th. Green River flows recorded at Auburn were over double the median flows during a typical Chinook spawning season. However survey conditions remained favorable for most of the survey period with the exception of the week of October 18th. During this week high flows and low visibility made surveying the Upper reach of the river impossible.

Most of the surveyed area consists of medium to low gradient pool-tailout-riffle habitat sequences with the exception of the Gorge section, between river km 77.2 and 90.4. This section consists of steep canyon with a medium to steep gradient and boulder pool habitat creating discrete patches of spawning.

Chinook redds are counted by three methods in the Green River: On foot in tributaries and side channels and by helicopter and/or boat on the river main stem. Because of the low escapement in 2010 it was possible to conduct a complete census of redds in the Green River and Newaukum Creek.

Aerial surveys were used to estimate the total number of redds in the Gorge section which is not surveyed by raft. The aerial count for the Gorge section is expanded by the ground to air ratio ( $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{A}$ ). The $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{A}$ is calculated by dividing the season total raft counts by the flightweek raft counts for 5 downstream reaches surveyed by both methods.

The total count of redds was then summed across all reaches and multiplied by 2.5 (Orell, 1976) to generate the final estimate of male and female Chinook. A total of 723 redds were calculated in the Green River and 114 redds in Newaukum Creek. The estimated
escapement for 2010 is 2,092 Chinook. While this is an increase over the low calculated for 2009, it is still significantly below the historic average.

Naturally spawning Chinook carcasses found in the Green River and Newaukum Creek were sampled opportunistically for biological data during spawning ground surveys. A total of 534 carcasses were sampled between September 24 and November 15, 2010. Of the 534 carcasses sampled, 10 were jacks by size ( $<=54 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Based on this carcass sampling, the natural spawning escapement was comprised of 1,245 HOS and 847 NOS ( $60 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively (Table 34)).

Table 34. Percentages of hatchery and wild fish in natural spawning escapement in the Green River, as estimated by adipose fin clips, 2003-2010.

| Year | Adipose Fin Clip <br> (Hatchery) | Un-clipped <br> (Wild) | Total Carcasses <br> Sampled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | $56.40 \%$ | $43.60 \%$ | 567 |
| 2004 | $68.50 \%$ | $31.50 \%$ | 888 |
| 2005 | $59.90 \%$ | $40.10 \%$ | 892 |
| 2006 | $58.00 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | 889 |
| 2007 | $59.10 \%$ | $40.90 \%$ | 570 |
| 2008 | $38.60 \%$ | $61.40 \%$ | 609 |
| 2009 | $73.80 \%$ | $26.20 \%$ | 237 |
| 2010 | $60.10 \%$ | $39.90 \%$ | 534 |

### 4.3.3 White River

Escapement estimates for White River spring Chinook comprise trap counts at the Army Corps of Engineers Buckley Diversion Dam fish trap (Buckley trap) and hatchery returns to the Minter Creek/Hupp Springs and White River hatcheries.

The Buckley Diversion Dam is a migration barrier to anadromous fish and contains a fish trapping facility where fish are trapped and trucked upstream of Mud Mountain dam. The Buckley trap enables enumeration of fish transported to the upper watershed. However, precise counts are dependent upon accurate species identification and record keeping. Records of trap and haul operations conducted in the absence of state or tribal fisheries managers are a subject of ongoing concern. The total number of natural-origin recruits (NOR) and acclimation pond (AP) Chinook trapped at Buckley was 1,053; of these 1,024 were hauled upstream of the dam (Table 35) and 29 NORs were taken to the White River hatchery for use as broodstock. .

Table 35. Numbers of Chinook hauled upstream of Buckley fish trap in 2010.

| Origin | Adults | Jacks | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wild (NOR) | 521 | 31 | 552 |
| Acclimation Pond | 361 | 111 | 472 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{8 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 4}$ |

There are two hatchery programs for White River spring Chinook. The Minter Creek/Hupp Springs program was initiated in the mid-1970's in response to steep declines in population abundance. This program was expanded following completion of the Muckleshoot Tribe's White River hatchery in 1989. In 2010 escapement to the Minter Creek/Hupp Springs hatchery was 353 adults and 12 jacks, for a total of 365 .

Escapement to the White River hatchery in 2010 was 1,134 . These fish were either collected at the Buckley fish trap on the south side of the diversion dam, or volunteered to the hatchery trap on the north side of the diversion dam. Of the total, 1,015 were adults and 119 were jacks.

### 4.3.4 Puyallup River

The Puyallup Tribal Fisheries (PTF) and WDFW staff agreed to use a redd count-based methodology to estimate Chinook escapement in the Puyallup River basin during even years. The escapement estimate includes fall-timed Chinook spawning in the lower White River downstream of the Buckley diversion dam trap. These fish have been enumerated by PTF biologists through spawning ground surveys since 2002, but were not accounted for in escapement estimates prior to 2009.

South Prairie Creek
Survey coverage of the South Prairie system was very good in 2010. The cumulative redd count of 143 in South Prairie Creek, expanded by 2.5, yielded an escapement estimate of 358 spawners. The cumulative redd count in Wilkeson Creek was nine. However, a September 23 survey of Wilkeson Creek counted 23 live and one dead Chinook. An analysis of Wilkeson Creek survey data showed that a peak live/dead count would best represent escapement in 2010. The South Prairie Creek (SPC) sub-basin total spawning escapement estimate for 2010 is 382 Based on mark-sampling of carcasses observed, about 41 percent of these fish were unmarked, so the escapement was made up of 158 NORs and 224 HORs.

## Carbon River

Because conditions in the Carbon River seldom allow accurate Chinook escapement surveys, estimates are based on the relationship between SPC and Carbon River escapement in 1999, when there accurate redd count data for the Carbon River. Carbon River reaches with complete data tracked the SPC spawn timing remarkably well. Therefore, reaches with incomplete data were expanded using the SPC spawning timing curve with a high degree of confidence.

Suitable survey conditions never occurred on the Carbon River during the 2010 spawning period. Consistent with the last ten years, the 2010/1999 SPC escapement ratio (382 / $1422=0.2683$ ) was applied to the 1999 Carbon River escapement (250) to estimate the 2010 value. This method estimated 67 Chinook spawning in the Carbon during 2010 (250 * $0.2683=67$ ) Based on mark sampling rations observed in South Prairie Creek, the escapement was made up of 28 NORs and 39 HORs. .

## Mainstem Puyallup River Tributaries

Aggregate escapement to Puyallup River tributaries in 2010 was estimated at 327. Based on mark sampling in these tributaries, excluding Clark's Creek, 82 of these fish are NORs and 245 HORs.

Redd-based escapement estimates were calculated for most of the Puyallup River tributaries. No redds or fish were observed in Canyon Falls Creek in 2010. Clarks Creek escapement was 198 fish based on an AUC calculation. The AUC methodology was used, because the number of observed fish outnumbered the redd-based estimate.

| Puyallup River tributaries: | Escapement estimate: |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fennel Creek (WRIA 10.0406) | 108 |
| Canyon Falls Creek (10.0410) | 0 |
| Kapowsin Creek (10.0600) | 12 |
| Clear Creek (10.0022) | 12 |
| Clarks Creek (10.0027) | 198 |
| Tributary total | 330 |

Mark sampling data collected in Clark's Creek are not used for the tributary mark rate estimate because, many of the Chinook produced and released from Clark's Creek hatchery are not marked and the identification of origin of natural spawners cannot be made.

Mainstem Puyallup River
Chinook spawning escapement into the mainstem Puyallup River is estimated to be 354 fish. This escapement was made up of 146 NOR and 208 HOR Chinook, based on mark sampling ratios observed in Puyallup River mainstem tributaries.

As with the Carbon River, surveys of Puyallup River were not possible in 2010. WDFW and PTF staff believe that Puyallup River mainstem spawning escapement trend is closely related to the tributaries (Fennel, Canyon Falls, Kapowsin, and Clarks creeks). Therefore, the 2010/1999 Puyallup tributary ratio $(205 / 113=1.8142)$ was applied to the estimated 1999 Puyallup mainstem escapement (195) to estimate 2010 escapement of 354 Chinook ( 195 * $1.8142=354$ ).

The 2010 Chinook natural spawning escapement into Clark's Creek was not included in the tributary to Puyallup River mainstem ratio. For brood years contributing to the 2010 return, many of the Chinook released from Clark's Creek hatchery were not marked, so the origin of natural spawners could not be determined. Since 1999 is used as the base year, the 1999 natural spawning escapement estimate for Clark's Creek is used instead. It cannot be assumed that the composition of Clark's Creek Chinook spawning escapement is the same as in the Puyallup River mainstem due to the proximity to Clark's Creek hatchery.

## Lower White River

The fall component of Chinook spawning in the lower White River and its tributaries, downstream of the Buckley diversion dam fish trap, are included in the 2010 Puyallup River basin fall Chinook escapement estimate. Spawning ground survey efforts by comanagers indicate that, in some years, a sizeable population of Chinook spawns in these areas.

Spring and fall Chinook spawn in the White River. The fall component in the lower White River and tributaries was identified by mark sampling during spawning ground surveys and the genetic analysis conducted by Ford et al. (2004). Carcass sampling during spawning ground surveys provides a ratio of hatchery-origin fall Chinook (i.e. fish with a clipped adipose fin), to unmarked fish. Based on previous genetic analysis of samples collected in Boise Creek (Ford et al 2004), 60\% of the unmarked fish are assumed to be fall Chinook.

Fall Chinook spawning escapement into the lower mainstem White River and its tributaries in 2010 was estimated to be 430 fish. This escapement is made up of 65 NORs and 365 HORs based on mark sampling ratios observed during spawning ground surveys.

## Total Puyallup Escapement

The total 2010 estimated Puyallup River naturally spawning fall Chinook escapement is 1,563 fish. It is estimated that 481 were NORs, and 1082 were HORs, based on marksampling of carcasses observed. The estimate of NORs assumes the proportion of hatchery verses natural origin spawners is the same between Puyallup River tributaries (except Clark's Creek) and the Puyallup River mainstem and SPC and the Carbon River.

### 4.3.5 Nisqually River

Nisqually River fall Chinook spawn in the main stem of the Nisqually River from river mile (RM) 0 to RM 42 and in the Mashel River, which enters the Nisqually at RM 39.5 , from RM 0 to RM 6.6. Chinook spawning the many smaller tributaries to the Nisqually River are believed to be of hatchery origin

Mainstem Nisqually River surveys
Four surveys were conducted in the Nisqually mainstem index reach (RM 21.6 to 26.2) between September 22nd and October 14th. Live adults were observed during each survey and the peak count of 70 adults was observed on October 7th. Carcasses were recovered on all of the four surveys with the peak dead count of 15 observed on October 14th. A total of 38 adult carcasses were recovered from the mainstem. As indicated by missing adipose fin and/or the presence of a coded wire tag (CWT), 18 were of hatchery origin, 7 were unmarked and untagged, indicating natural origin, the origin of one unmarked fish without a head could not be determined, and 12 were not sampled.

Mashel River surveys
Four surveys of the Mashel River index reach (RM 0 to 3.2 ) were conducted between September 9th and October 13th. A peak live count of 64 adults occurred on September 30th and October 6th. A peak dead count of 27 was observed on September 6th. During all Mashel River surveys 63 adult fall Chinook were recovered however only 46 were mark sampled; 36 were missing the adipose fin or were coded-wire tagged. .

## Total Escapement Estimate

The fall Chinook escapement estimate was calculated using the method developed by Herrington-Tweit and Newman (1986). The estimate is calculated as:

Escapement $=6.81^{*}\left((\right.$ peak live + dead Mashel index $)+\left(2.5^{*}\right.$ (peak live + dead Nisqually main stem index))

Based on the above equation the 2010 Nisqually River basin fall Chinook natural escapement estimate is 2,067 adults. Based on mark / CWT sampled, 54 of the 71 fish sampled were determined to be hatchery origin. The returning hatchery mark rate in 2010 was $96.3 \%$. When corrected for unmarked hatchery origin natural spawners, the 2010 escapement is estimated to be comprised of approximately 1,586 ( $76.7 \%$ ) hatchery-origin natural spawners and 481(23.3\%) natural-origin natural spawners.

## Discussion

The accuracy of this escapement estimation method has not been evaluated, so the estimates produced should be regarded as relative indexes of spawner abundance rather than total estimates of natural spawners. Also, the proportion of hatchery-origin spawners is likely higher than estimated, due to the disproportionate number of carcasses collected from the Mashel River. Ideally, carcass sampling would be proportionate to spawner abundance in the mainstem and the Mashel River.

### 4.4 Hood Canal

A summary of Chinook spawner escapement estimates for tributaries to Hood Canal during 2010 is provided in Table 36.

Mid-Hood Canal

The Mid-Hood Canal population is comprised of Chinook produced in the Dosewallips, Duckabush, and Hamma Hamma watersheds.

In the Dosewallips and Duckabush rivers, the lower reaches surveyed are spawning and transit areas. Upper reaches of each river have been regularly surveyed in the Dosewallips and Duckabush since 1998, but few adults have been observed. Current escapement estimates are derived from a combination of counts of live Chinook adults and Chinook redds.

In the Hamma Hamma River, most of the Chinook spawning area is currently being surveyed. Since 1998, escapement was estimated from counts of cumulative new redds and/or from live Chinook using the area-under-the curve (AUC) method. A cooperative supplementation program was initiated in 1995 to rebuild Chinook abundance.

Table 36. Summary of Chinook escapement to Hood Canal streams during 2010.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Marine |  | Spawner |  |  |
| Area | Stream | escapement | Comments |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| -- | Skokomish R. | 701 | Redd counts + AUC in |  |
|  | N.F. Skokomish R. | 325 | Redd counts |  |
|  | S.F. Skokomish R. | 188 | Redd counts |  |
|  | Total | 1214 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12A | Little Quilcene R. | 0 | No chinook observed |  |
|  | Big Quilcene R. | 0 | No chinook observed |  |
|  | Total | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12B | Dosewallips R. | 15 | Redd counts |  |
|  | Duckabush R. | 0 | No chinook observed |  |
|  | Hamma Hamma R. a/ | 67 | AUC adjusted for brood |  |
|  | Total | 82 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 C | Dewatto R. | 24 | AUC |  |
|  | Lilliwaup Cr. | 5 | Peak live + dead $=5$ |  |
|  | Total | 29 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12D | Tahuya R. | 4 | AUC |  |
|  | Union R. | 10 | Trap |  |
|  | Total | 14 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hood Canal tot al |  | 1339 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| a/ natural escapement $=43$, broodstock $=24$ |  |  |  |  |

Summer chum salmon and pink salmon (in odd years) spawn at the same time as Chinook in the lower reaches of these three streams. Consequently, it can be difficult to distinguish Chinook redds from summer chum or pink redds unless Chinook are actively spawning and observed on redds. Pink salmon spawn predominately downstream of RM 6.7 on the Dosewallips, downstream of RM 2.6 on the Duckabush and throughout the reaches surveyed on the Hamma Hamma. Summer chum salmon spawn predominately downstream of RM 3.6 on the Dosewallips, downstream of RM 2.6 on the Duckabush and throughout the reaches surveyed on the Hamma Hamma. It has been possible to count Chinook redds in the upper Dosewallips and Duckabush River reaches (especially in years without pink salmon).

During 2010, spawner surveys were conducted by WDFW on the Dosewallips, Duckabush, and Hamma Hamma rivers every 7 to 10 days from late August or early September through October. The escapement estimate to all three systems combined was 82 adults: 15, 0, and 67 Chinook in Dosewallips, Duckabush, and Hamma Hamma rivers, respectively. During 2010, it is possible that some Chinook redds were not identifiable on the Dosewallips and Duckabush rivers in areas with summer chum spawning. However, based on the number of Chinook redds and adults observed during surveys, few Chinook were present and the escapement estimates for Dosewallips and Duckabush rivers are considered good.

The Dosewallips River was surveyed from RM 0 to RM 2.3, RM 3.6 to RM 6.7, and RM 7 to RM 11; Rockybrook Creek, a tributary, was surveyed from RM 0 to RM 0.3. Six Chinook redds, 5 live and 01 dead Chinook were observed and the escapement estimate is 15 Chinook in the Dosewallips River during 2010. The Duckabush River was surveyed from RM 0 to RM 2.6 and RM 4.8 to RM 6; Hatchery Creek, a tributary, was surveyed from RM 0 to RM 0.1. No Chinook redd and 0 live or dead adults were observed and the escapement estimate is 0 Chinook in the Duckabush River during 2010. The Hamma Hamma River was surveyed from RM 0.3 to RM 1.8; John Creek, a tributary, was also accessible to Chinook and was surveyed from RM 0 to RM 1.6. The AUC escapement estimate is 67 Chinook in the Hamma Hamma (which includes 24 Chinook collected for broodstock) and no Chinook spawned in John Creek. Total escapement to the Hamma Hamma River system is estimated as 67 Chinook during 2010.

The FRAM preseason escapement estimate was 138 Chinook in Mid-Hood Canal during 2010 (FRAM 1010) while actual escapement was 82 Chinook. Chinook escapement on the Hamma Hamma River was comprised of about 78\% supplementation-origin and 22\% natural-origin spawners. The escapements to the Dosewallips River and Duckabush River were low as anticipated.

To better assess natural Chinook and chum production and productivity in Mid-Hood Canal rivers, a screw trap was installed on the Hamma Hamma River beginning in 2002 and a screw trap was installed on the Duckabush River beginning in 2008.

## Skokomish River

Chinook spawning takes place in the mainstem Skokomish River up to the confluence with the South and North Forks at RM 9, in the South Fork (primarily up to RM 5.5), and in the North Fork from RM 9 to 17 (where Cushman Dam blocks further access). Natural escapement estimates are based on counts of Chinook redds in index areas in the mainstem Skokomish (RM 2.2 to 9.0), North Fork (R.M. 9.0 to 15.6), and South Fork (R.M. 0 to 2.2). In addition, escapement estimates are made for Vance Creek and Hunter Creek. Since 2008, surveys have been conducted outside the index reaches from RM 2.2 to RM 5.5 in the South Fork, and are included in the total escapement estimate.

Live and dead adults, along with visible redds, were counted in Skokomish River index areas during foot and raft surveys (e.g., see Smith and Castle 1994). Surveys are conducted every seven to ten days from late August through October. A cumulative new redd count for each section of the river was tabulated at the end of the season and multiplied by 2.5 fish per redd to estimate total Chinook escapement. In addition, foot surveys are made in Hunter and Vance creeks. Escapements to these tributaries are estimated based on redd counts and/or live Chinook observed.

In recent years, low flows at the mouth of the South Fork have prevented Chinook from accessing the lower South Fork early in the season. In 2010, however, Chinook were able to access the South Fork Skokomish throughout the season.

During 2010, total estimated spawner escapement is 1,214 Chinook in the Skokomish River system. Spawner escapement is comprised of 701 Chinook in the mainstem Skokomish (including 153 Chinook in Hunter Creek), 325 Chinook in the North Fork Skokomish, and 188 Chinook in the lower (RM 0 to RM 5.5) South Fork Skokomish (including 35 Chinook in Vance Creek).

The 2010 FRAM preseason escapement prediction was 1,592 Chinook (FRAM 1010).
Hood Canal Chinook Mark Sampling
Mass marking has been implemented for Hood Canal hatchery Chinook, including releases from George Adams Hatchery, Hoodsport Hatchery, and Endicott Ponds. The proportion of all Hood Canal hatchery Chinook released that was either tagged and/or marked has incrementally increased since brood year 2003. For example, about $33 \%$, $48 \%, 75 \%, 85 \%$ and $95 \%$ of brood year 2003 through brood year 2007 releases, respectively, were either tagged and/or marked. In addition, all of the Chinook released from the Hamma Hamma supplementation program were tagged and/or marked. These hatchery Chinook will return to Hood Canal predominately as age 3 and age 4 fish from 2006 through 2011.

Coded-wire tag (CWT) data and age and sex composition data have been routinely collected for Chinook returning to George Adams Hatchery since 1988 and Double Index tag groups of Chinook have been released since 1998.

More intensive sampling of Chinook on the natural spawning grounds has been done since 1998. During 2010, the Skokomish, Dosewallips, Duckabush, and Hamma Hamma rivers were targeted for enhanced mark and CWT sampling and WDFW also sampled Chinook carcasses for marks and CWTs on the Dewatto and Lilliwaup rivers during 2010.

Of the 123 Chinook sampled in Hood Canal rivers during 2010, 73 Chinook were adiposemarked and, of these, 1 Chinook had CWTs. No unmarked Chinook sampled in 2010 had CWTs. We sampled 7.5\% of Chinook spawner escapement in the Skokomish River, $35.4 \%$ of the Mid-Hood Canal Chinook spawner escapement (in the Hamma Hamma, Duckabush, and Dosewallips rivers), and had an overall sampling rate of $9.2 \%$ in all Hood Canal rivers combined (Table 37).

Jacks are not included in Chinook spawner escapement estimates in Hood Canal, but few jacks were sampled during 2010.

Table 37. Spawner escapement and carcass sampling results for Hood Canal streams, 2010.


The proportion of hatchery fish in the spawning escapement will be estimated based on age composition in the escapement, sampling rate of the spawning escapement, and the proportion of hatchery production releases that was marked and/or tagged from BY 2005 (age 5), BY 2006 (age 4), and BY 2007 (age 3). Preliminary estimates of hatchery fish in the spawning escapement are also made based only on the total number of tags and marks recovered.

In the Skokomish River system during 2010, 64 of 91 (70\%) Chinook sampled were adipose-marked (Table 37). A preliminary estimate is that spawning escapement in the Skokomish River was comprised of about $70 \%$ hatchery-origin Chinook and $30 \%$ naturalorigin Chinook.

In Mid- Hood Canal, releases from the Hamma Hamma River supplementation program are $100 \%$ otolith marked and all Chinook carcasses were sampled for otoliths during 2010. In 2010, 21 of 27 (78\%) Chinook sampled in the Hamma Hamma River were otolith-marked. Preliminary estimates are that spawning escapement was comprised of $78 \%$ supplementation (hatchery)-origin Chinook and $22 \%$ natural-origin Chinook in the Hamma Hamma River. During 2010, 0 Chinook were sampled in the Duckabush and 1 unmarked/untagged Chinook was sampled in the Dosewallips. Preliminary estimates are that spawning escapement for Mid-Hood Canal Chinook is comprised of $37 \%$ naturalorigin and $63 \%$ hatchery-origin Chinook.

### 4.5 Strait of Juan de Fuca

## Dungeness

Since 1986, surveys have been conducted throughout the spawning season from RM 0 to 18.8 in the mainstem Dungeness, and from RM 0 to 5.0 in the Gray Wolf mainstem, to generate a cumulative redd count for the season. The total redd count is multiplied by 2.5 to estimate the total number of adults. In 2010, 136 redds ( 340 adults) were counted in the Dungeness and 2 redds ( 5 adults) were counted in the Gray Wolf for a total of 138 redds ( 345 adults). There were an additional 90 adults removed from the river for broodstock plus 22 surplus males at the Dungeness Hatchery,bringing the total estimated return to the river to 457 , below the FRAM projected escapement of 535 , and below the low abundance threshold of 500 . The decrease in escapement of Dungeness spring Chinook relative to recent years and relative to forecast are partially due to the termination of the captive brood program after the 2002 brood, and resulting decrease in numbers of hatchery juveniles released. Because the forecasts for Strait of Juan de Fuca Chinook are based solely on average recent returns, they did not account for this reduction in production.

There were 161 carcasses sampled for scales and checked for CWTs. The majority of the adults sampled for scales and CWTs were collected for broodstock. Very few carcasses could be recovered in the river due to the low natural escapement. Based on the CWT results and scale samples analyzed, the preliminary HOR/NOR composition for RY2010 was $77.9 \%$ HOR and $22.1 \%$ NOR. The age of the HOR Chinook for RY2010 consisted of $57.2 \%$ age $3,40.8 \%$ age $4,2.0 \%$ age 5 , and no age 6 . The age of the NOR Chinook consisted of $26.6 \%$ age $3,60.6 \%$ age $4,12.8 \% \%$ age 5 , and $0.0 \%$ age 6 . We recovered the following number of CWTs by age group: 56 age 3,39 age 4 , and two age 5 . An additional 30 CWTs were recovered from age 2 Chinook.

## Elwha River

Chinook spawning in the Elwha is limited to the 4.8 miles below the dam, with most natural spawning concentrated between RM 2.8 and 4.4. Adult escapement in the mainstem is estimated by producing an AUC estimate of redd-days, which is divided by an assumed 21 -day redd life to estimate total redds. That total is added to the number of redds counted in the 1-mile long Hunt's Road side channel index surveyed by the Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe. This redd total is multiplied by 2.5 to estimate total adults. For RY2010, the estimate of natural spawning Chinook was 564. An additional 644 Chinook were removed from the river by gaff and used as broodstock for the hatchery program. A total of 65 Chinook volunteered into the hatchery trap and were also used as broodstock for the hatchery. In addition, five hatchery pre-spawn mortalities were observed bringing the total return to the river to 1,278 Chinook, very close to the FRAM prediction of 1,261 . WDFW field staff collected 288 otolith samples. Otoliths were collected to help distinguish between hatchery and wild fish based on the presence or absence of otolith marks. Of the 288 samples, 253 had an otolith mark present ( $87.8 \%$ ), 22 ( $7.6 \%$ ) had no otolith mark present, and 13 (4.5\%) otoliths could not be read.

Using scale and otolith samples, the age composition consisted of 529 (41.4\%) age 3, 139 $(10.9 \%)$ age $4,610(47.7 \%)$ age 5 , and $0.0 \%$ age 6.

Hoko
WDFW and Makah Fisheries staff conduct foot surveys to count redds in the mainstem between river miles 2.8 to 21.7 and tributaries, which represents all Chinook spawning area in the Hoko basin. There are ten mainstem and 13 tributary reaches, which include the Little Hoko River, a tributary to the lower mainstem, and Browne's, Herman, North Fork Herman, Ellis, Bear, and Cub creeks, which are tributaries to the upper mainstem. WDFW conducted one peak survey in the mainstem Hoko River from RM 2.8 to RM 3.4 and six surveys from RM 3.4 to 10.2 during the 2010 return year. Makah Fisheries Management (MFM) surveyed the mainstem Hoko upstream of RM 10.2 and the Hoko tributaries. Survey conditions were poor after the November 11 survey due to high water. We believe the poor survey conditions did not impact escapement estimates in the lower river due to historical spawning timing and the low numbers of fish and redds observed prior to the high water.

Redd counts are multiplied by 2.5 adults/redd to estimate natural escapement. The 2010 Chinook terminal run size was estimated to be 793 adults, below the FRAM prediction of 1,781. The escapement estimates for the upper mainstem Hoko River (RM 10.1 to 21.7) and all tributaries and lower mainstem Hoko River (RM 2.8 to 10.1), were 62 and 258, respectively. MFM staff collected 473 adult Chinook for broodstock and scale samples. Of the 473,417 were males and 56 were females.

The age of the HOR Chinook for RY2010 consisted of 21 (4.5\%) age 2, 373 (79.0\%) age 3, 61 (12.9\%) age 4, 15 (3.2\%) age 5, 2 ( $0.4 \%$ ) age 6, and no age 7. The age of the NOR Chinook consisted of 12 (3.7\%) age 2, 258 (80.1\%) age 3, 42 (13.1\%) age 4, 10 (3.1\%) age 5 , no age 6 s and age 7 s . The estimated 2010 Chinook age composition was follows: 33 (4.1\%) age 2, 631 (79.6\%) age 3, 103 (13.0\%) age 4, 24 (3.0\%) age 5, 2 ( $0.3 \%$ ) age 6, and no age 7s.

## 5 Coded-wire Tag Sampling

Commercial and recreational catch is sampled to recover coded-wire tagged Chinook and coho. General objectives are to sample $20 \%$ of commercial catch in each area and week, and $10 \%$ of marine recreational catch in each area and month. Chinook that are sampled for CWT's are also sampled for biological data (scales, length, sex). Rates from 2009 are presented here. Sampling rates in commercial fisheries were generally good (Table 38), with approximately 26,700 Chinook sampled for CWT, compared to total catch of around 89,400. Hood Canal (areas 9A, 12-12H \& Skok R) and South Sound Marine (13-13F) were the areas with the most substantial catches, but with sampling rates below $20 \%$. All marine area recreational fisheries were sampled at rates between 10\% and 50\% for the year (Table 39), with the exception of Area 13. A total of 9,440 were sampled from an estimated 34,768 caught.

Table 38. Chinook coded-wire tag sampling rates for commercial fisheries in 2009 (calendar year).

Net Catch

| Area | Catch |  | Sampled |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 5 | 96 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 4B | 3 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 6D | 9 | 0 | 0.000 |


| Area |
| :--- |
| Catch Sampled |
| $l$   <br> Rate   <br> 10 3 1 <br> 0.333   <br> 10 A 779 535 0.687 |
| 10 E |


| 7 | 487 | 132 | 0.271 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 A | 527 | 306 | 0.581 |


| 7B | 4873 | 2631 | 0.540 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7C | 5866 | 2837 | 0.484 |
| 7D | 66 | 2 | 0.030 |
| Nooksack R | 580 | 84 | 0.145 |


| 8 | 130 | 60 | 0.462 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 A | 88 | 22 | 0.250 |
| 8D | 1442 | 573 | 0.397 |
| Skagit R | 5657 | 3752 | 0.663 |


| 13A | 5400 | 238 | 0.044 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13 C | 3517 | 29 | 0.008 |
| 13 D | 129 | 14 | 0.109 |
| 13F | 6606 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Nisqually R | 14052 | 4528 | 0.322 |


| 9 A | 22 | 2 | 0.091 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 A | 69 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 12 B | 20 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 12 C | 4377 | 654 | 0.149 |
| 12 D | 288 | 35 | 0.122 |
| 12 H | 10443 | 2066 | 0.198 |
| Skokomish R | 6018 | 1047 | 0.174 |

Troll Catch

|  | Catch Sampled |  | Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4 B$ | 6869 | 2736 | 0.398 |
| 5 | 2852 | 1572 | 0.551 |


| Table 39. Chinook coded-wire tag sampling rates for marine <br> recreational fisheries in 2009 (calendar year). <br> Catch Area$\|$Catch |  |  |  |  | S <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area 5 - West SJF | 8,179 | 2,244 | $27.4 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 6 - East SJF | 2,965 | 815 | $27.5 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 7 - San Juan Islands | 4,769 | 1,238 | $26.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 8.1 - Skagit Bay | 634 | 197 | $31.1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 8.2 - Port Gardiner | 1267 | 563 | $44.4 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 9 - Admiralty Inlet | 5,519 | 1,281 | $23.2 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 10 - Central Puget Sound | 4,602 | 1,793 | $39.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 11 - Central Puget Sound | 4,062 | 1,015 | $25.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 12 - Hood Canal | 1,369 | 218 | $15.9 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Area 13 - South Puget Sound | 1,402 | 76 | $5.4 \%$ |  |  |  |
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## Part I. OCEAN Fisheries (FRAM \#1010 \& \#1016)

| Treaty Troll Quota | 55,000 Chinook; 41,500 coho |
| ---: | :--- |
| Non-treaty TAC | 117,000 Chinook (non-mark selective equivalent of <br> $110,000) ; ~ 80,000$ coho (79,000 landed catch plus 1,000 <br> applied to spring NT troll hooking mortality). |
| NT Troll TAC | 56,000 Chinook; Mark Selective Fishery impacts <br> associated with a landed catch of 11,800 coho |
| Recreational TAC | 61,000 Chinook (includes non-selective quota of 49,000 <br> and mark selective fishery impacts associated with a <br> landed catch of 12,000 Chinook) and Mark Selective <br> Fishery impacts associated with a landed catch of 67,200 <br> coho. |

### 1.1 Treaty Troll: Areas 2, 3, 4 \& 4B

| 5/1-6/30 | Chinook directed fishery with sub quota of 27,500 Chinook. May 1 <br> through the earlier of June 30 or a 27,500 Chinook quota. All <br> salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota for the May-June fishery <br> is not fully utilized, the excess fish cannot be transferred into the <br> later all-salmon season. If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the <br> excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 / 1-9 / 15$ | All salmon species with sub quota of 27,500 Chinook or quota of <br> 41,500 coho. Chum release 8/1-9/30. July 1 through the earlier of <br> September 15, or a 27,500 pre-season Chinook quota, or a <br> 41,500 coho quota. All salmon except chum release $8 / 1-9 / 30$. |

### 1.2 Non-Treaty Troll: U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon

5/1-6/30 All salmon except coho with 42,000 Chinook quota; Open May 1June 30, seven days per week. An in-season conference call will occur when it is projected that 35,000 Chinook have been landed to consider modifying the open period and adding landing and possession limits. Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, Columbia and Cape Flattery Control Zones closed. Trip limits, gear restrictions, and guidelines may be implemented or adjusted in-season. Vessels must land their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery; under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Vessels fishing, or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing, or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi. State regulations require that all fishers landing fish into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, WA and Cape Falcon, OR

|  | must notify Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife within one <br> hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port. |
| ---: | :--- |
| July 1 thru earliest <br> of Sept. 14 or pre- <br> season Chinook <br> sub-quota of | Open July 1-6, then open Friday through Tuesday through July 27, <br> then Saturday through Tuesday thereafter. Landing and <br> 14,000 or Mark <br> Selective Fishery <br> quota of 11,800 <br> per open period north of Leadbetter Point or 150 Chinook and 50 50 <br> coho. <br> marked coho south of Leadbetter Point. All salmon except no <br> chum retention north of Cape Alava, Washington in August and. <br> September (all retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip). <br> Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, Cape Flattery <br> and Columbia Control Zones closed. Trip limits, gear restrictions, <br> and guidelines may be implemented or adjusted in-season. <br> Vessels must land their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this <br> fishery. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a <br> state fish receiving ticket. Vessels fishing, or in possession of <br> salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and <br> deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. <br> Vessels fishing, or in possession of salmon while fishing south of <br> Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area <br> and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted <br> vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi. State regulations <br> require that all fishers landing fish into Oregon from any fishery <br> between Leadbetter Point, WA and Cape Falcon, OR must notify <br> Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife within one hour of delivery <br> or prior to transport away from the port. |

### 1.3 Non-Treaty Recreational

## Areas 1-4: U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon (Oregon)

6/12-6/30 (12,000 Mark Selective Fishery Chinook guideline)

Open seven days per week; 2 fish per day; all salmon except coho. All retained Chinook must have a healed adipose fin clip; Chinook minimum size limit 24 inches. Closed waters: east of a true north-south line running through Sail Rock. In-season management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon.

## Area 1: Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon (Oregon)

7/1-9/30 (33,600
Mark Selective
Fishery coho sub
quota)

Open seven days per week; 2 fish per day, only one of which may be a Chinook; retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip; Chinook minimum size limit 24 inches and coho minimum size $16^{\prime \prime}$; Chinook guideline: 13,100; closed in Columbia Control Zone. Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon.

Buoy 10
8/1-8/31 $\quad$ Open 7 days/week; 2 fish per day, only one of which may be a

|  | Chinook; Chinook minimum size 24 inches and coho minimum size 16 inches; retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip; release sockeye, chum, and unmarked coho. Barbed hooks allowed. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9/1-9/30 | Open 7 days/week; 2 coho only per day. Coho minimum size 16 inches; retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip. Barbed hooks allowed. |
| 10/1-12/31 | Open 7 days/week; 6 coho only per day, 2 adults (minimum size 12 inches); retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip. Barbed hooks allowed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1 / 2011- \\ & 3 / 31 / 2011 \end{aligned}$ | Open 7 days/week; 6 fish per day, 2 adults (minimum size 12 inches); retained Chinook must have a healed adipose fin clip; release sockeye, chum, unmarked coho and unmarked Chinook. |
| North Jetty | Open 7 days per week when Area 1 or Buoy 10 area is open. When Buoy 10 area and Area 1 are open concurrently, the daily limit and minimum size restrictions follow the most liberal regulations of,those areas. Barbed hooks allowed through 12/31. |
| Area 2: Queets River to Leadbetter Point |  |
| 7/4-9/19 (27,970 Mark Selective Fishery coho sub quota) | Open Sun-Thur; 2 fish per day, only one of which may be a Chinook; retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip; Chinook minimum size limit 24 inches and coho minimum size 16 inches; Chinook guideline: 28,000 . In-season management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon. Grays Harbor control zone closed beginning August 1. |
| Area 2-1 (east of a line from Leadbetter Point to Cape Shoalwater): Willapa Bay |  |
| 7/4-7/31 | Open concurrent with Area 2, when Area 2 is open for salmon. Area 2 rules apply. |
| 8/1-1/31 | 6 fish limit, 3 adults, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size limit. Release wild Chinook, wild coho, and chum. |
| Area 2-2 (east of line between tips of exposed jetties): Grays Harbor |  |
| West of Buoy 13 line 7/1-7/31 | Closed. |
| East of Buoy 13 line, when open | All salmon required to be released may not be totally removed from the water, except anglers fishing from boats 30 ' or longer as listed on either their State or Coast Guard regulation are exempt. Single-point barbless hooks required. |
| East of Buoy 13 line 7/1-9/15 | Closed for salmon through 9/15. |
| East of Buoy 13 line 9/16-11/30 | 2 fish limit, 2 adults, $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ size limit. Release Chinook and chum. |


| 8/16-1/31 | 6 fish limit, 4 adults; $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size limit. Night closure and antisnagging rule. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area 3: Cape Alava to Queets River |  |
| 7/1-9/19 (1,700 Mark Selective Fishery coho sub quota) | Open Tues-Sat; 2 fish per day, only one of which may be a Chinook; retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip; Chinook minimum size limit 24 inches, coho minimum size 16 inches; Chinook guideline: 2,450 . In-season management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon. |
| La Push Late Season Area 9/25-10/10 | ( 50 coho sub quota; 50 Chinook sub quota) Fishery restricted to the area north of $47^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and south of $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude. Open 7 days/wk. Other regulations as described above. |
| Area 4: U.S./Canada border to Cape Alava and east to Sekiu River |  |
| 7/1-9/19 (6,990 <br> Mark Selective <br> Fishery coho sub <br> quota) | Open Tuesday through Saturday; 2 fish per day, only one of which may. be a Chinook. Chum non-retention during August and September. Retained coho must have a healed adipose fin clip; Chinook minimum size limit 24 inches and coho minimum size 16 inches; Chinook guideline: 5,400; Chinook non-retention east of Bonilla-Tatoosh line beginning August 1. Closed waters: east of a true north-south line running through Sail Rock in July; Closed to salmon angling July 1-Sept. 30 inside the area bounded by a line from Kydaka Point to Shipwreck Point. In-season management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon. |
| Area 4A: Makah Bay Treaty Evaluation Marine Set Net Fishery |  |
| Chinook | Open 8/15 through 9/18 inside an area bounded by a line running from Strawberry Rock Point ( $48^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ $07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 124^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) to the group of rocks ( $48^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ $46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 124^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) which are located off Hobuck Beach and a line to the mouth of Hobuck Creek ( $48^{\circ}$ $19^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 124^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), to be implemented per agreement by the Makah Tribe and WDFW. |

## Part II. PUGET SOUND including STRAIT of JUAN de FUCA and SAN JUAN ISLANDS

### 2.1 Strait of Juan de Fuca Pre-terminal Areas

Areas 5, 6, 6C Treaty Troll (Ntrty net closed)
NOTE: For Area 4B: 5/1-10/31 see Ocean Troll. For 11/1-12/31 and 1/1-4/15 see below

| 5/1-6/12 | Closed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6/13-9/30 | Open for salmon, chum release; Freshwater Bay, south of Angeles Pt./ Observatory Pt. line closed; Pt. Angeles Hbr. W. of line from tip of Ediz Hook to ITT Rayonier Doc̣ closed; Hoko Bay closed, inside the area bounded by a line from Kydaka Point to Shipwreck Point; 1,000 foot closure around stream mouths; Area 6 closed east of line true north from Green Point. |
| 10/1-10/31 | Closed |
| 11/1-4/15 | In Areas 4B, 5, 6, 6C the treaty troll fishery will be open through April 15, or when catch reaches the harvest guideline of 8500 Chinook, whichever comes first. 1,000-foot closures around stream mouths. The fishery will be managed for the harvest guideline of 8500 Chinook. |
| 4/16-4/30 | Closed |
| Areas 4B, 5, \& 6C Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | Open for setnet gear only, $6 / 20$ through $8 / 14 ; 7$ days a week; Hoko Bay closed, inside the area bounded by a line from Kydaka Point to Shipwreck Point and Freshwater Bay, south of Angeles Pt./ Observatóry Pt. line closed. 1,000-ft. closure around stream mouths. |
| Sockeye | Start to be determined by Fraser River Panel. The Co-managers have identified the following management actions to control bycatch of Chinook. Estimated by-catches are best estimates and are not quotas or ceilings. The priority for this fishery is to harvest the full Treaty share of sockeye salmon, while managing the fishery so as to not greatly exceed the projected incidental harvest of Chinook salmon. All Chinook by-catch in this fishery will be promptly reported by each Tribe to the NWIFC TOCAS database and reported to the U.S. section of the Fraser Panel at least weekly, including take home and ceremonial and subsistence (C\&S). If in-season the Chinook by-catch in this fishery exceeds 1,300, the Tribes will consider management actions to limit the Chinook by-catch, such as time or area restrictions, while continuing the priority objective of harvesting sockeye salmon. If in-season the fishery is projected to result in a total Chinook by-catch exceeding 3,300 Chinook, the Tribes will, effective with that scheduled fishery opening, prohibit any |


|  | commercial sales of Chinook salmon, and any Chinook salmon landed must be delivered to the fishers' respective Tribe. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Coho | Open for gillnets starting at 6 days per week and reducing to 3 days per week in October, with in-season adjustments based on cumulative catch. Fishery will target coho from the end of Fraser Panel control, through 10/9; 1,000 ft. closure around stream mouths.. The gillnet catch number listed in FRAM \#1016 will be used as management guideline and should not be greatly exceeded. |
| Chum | Open for gillnets, starting at 3 days per week (days may be added if effort is low), wb 10/10; then reduced to 2 days per week wb 10/17 if effort is equal to or greater than recent years' average; then 6 days per week 10/24 through 11/13; 1,000-foot closure around stream mouths. |
| Area 5 Recreational |  |
| 5/1-6/30 | Closed |
| 7/1-8/15 | 2 fish limit, (Chinook 22" min size); unmarked Chinook, unmarked coho, and chum release. South of the Kydaka Pt./Shipwreck Pt. line - closed to salmon angling. |
| 8/16-9/15 | 2 fish limit,; Chinook, unmarked coho, and chum release. South of the Kydaka Pt./Shipwreck Pt. line - closed to salmon angling. |
| 9/16-9/30 | 2 fish limit; Chinook and chum release. South of the Kydaka Pt./Shipwreck Pt. line - closed to salmon angling. |
| 10/1-10/31 | Closed. |
| 11/1-11/30 | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). |
| 12/1-2/15 | Closed |
| 2/16-4/10 | 1 fish limit (Chinook 22" min size). |
| 4/11-4/30 | Closed |
| Area 6 Recreational |  |
| 5/1-6/30 | Closed |
| 7/1-8/15 | 2 fish limit, (Chinook 22" min size); unmarked coho, chum, and Chinook release, except W. of true N/S line through " 2 " buoy near tip of Ediz Hook retention of marked Chinook allowed. South of Angeles Pt./ Observatory Pt. line - closed to angling. Pt. Angeles Hbr. W. of line from tip of Ediz Hook to ITT Rayonier Dock closed to salmon angling. Dungeness Bay closed to salmon angling. |
| 8/16-9/30 | 2 fish limit; Chinook, unmarked coho, and chum release. South of Angeles Pt./Observatory Point line - closed to angling. Pt. Angeles Hbr. W. of a line from the tip of Ediz Hook to ITT Rayonier Dock - closed to salmon angling. Dungeness Bay closed to salmon angling. |


| $10 / 1-10 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). South of Angeles <br> Pt./Observatory Point line - closed to angling. Pt. Angeles Hbr. <br> W. of a line from the tip of Ediz Hook to ITT Rayonier Dock - <br> closed to salmon angling. Sequim Bay south of a line from the <br> south end of Gibson Spit to the west end of Travis Spit - closed to <br> salmon angling. Discovery Bay south of a line from the Gardiner <br> Boat Ramp to Beckett Point - closed to salmon angling. (see: <br> Dungeness Bay Recreational below.) |
| ---: | :--- |
| $11 / 1-2 / 15$ | Closed |
| $2 / 16-4 / 10$ | 1 fish limit (Chinook 22" min size). Dungeness Bay closed to <br> salmon angling. |
| $4 / 11-4 / 30$ | Closed |

### 2.2 Strait of Juan de Fuca Terminal Areas

Area 6D Dungeness Bay Net

| Chinook | All | Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho | Triy | Open 9/21 through 10/30; additional openings possible based on in-season information; 9/21 through 10/10, Chinook and chum release and gillnets may fish 7 am to 7 pm only, gillnets must be attended to by fisher; $1,500 \mathrm{ft}$ closure around each river mouth. |
|  | Ntrty | Open Wk 39 (wb 9/19) through Wk 43 (wb 10/17) for skiff gillnet gear; 7AM - 7PM, 4 days first week starting 9/21 per SCSCI, then 5 days each week (M-F); Chinook and chum release by cutting ensnaring meshes; $1,500 \mathrm{ft}$. ( $1 / 4$ nautical mile) closure around each river mouth. Additional openings possible in wb 10/24 based on in-season information. |
| Chum | All | Closed |
| Dungeness River Treaty (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | Tity | Closed |
| Coho | Trity | Commercial fishing up to 3 days/wk, to be determined in-season, for coho only, may occur no earlier than 10/16 and will be restricted to areas below the Dungeness hatchery intake using species selective (non-gillnet) gear. Subsistence fishing using selective gear, may open after 10/15. |
| Chum | Trity | Closed |
| Elwha River Treaty (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | Trty | Closed except Ceremonial Harvest of 5 fish in July. |


| Coho | Trty | Open 9/12 through 11/6; days per week to be <br> determined in-season. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Chum | Trty | Closed |

## Dungeness Bay Recreational

| $5 / 1-9 / 30$ | Closed to salmon angling. |
| ---: | :--- |
| $10 / 1-10 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, coho only. |
| $11 / 1-4 / 30$ | Closed to salmon angling. |

## Dungeness River Recreational

| (mouth to <br> hatchery intake <br> pipe at RM 11.3) | $10 / 16-$ | 4 fish limit, coho only; 12" min size. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |

## Elwha River Recreational

| (mouth to Aldwell Lake Dam) | 3/1-9/30 | Closed to all fishing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10/1-2/28 | Trout and other game fish open. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 11 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6 fish limit, coho only; no more than 4 adults; $12^{\prime \prime}$ min. size |
| Hoko River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to cement bridge (mile 7.0) on Hoko/Ozette Hwy.) | All year | Closed to salmon. |
|  | 6/1-3/15 | Trout and other game fish. (Fly fishing only 9/1 10/31) |

All other STRAIT OF JUAN DE FUCA REGION freshwater recreational closed to salmon angling.

### 2.3 San Juan Islands/Point Roberts Area

Areas 6, 7, \& 7A Net

Chinook | All | Closed |
| :--- | :--- |

Sockeye \(\left.$$
\begin{array}{c|c|l}\text { Trty } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Schedule to be determined. The Co-managers have identified } \\
\text { the following management actions to track and control by-catch } \\
\text { of Chinook. Estimated by-catches are best estimates and are } \\
\text { not quotas. The priority for this fishery is to harvest the full treaty } \\
\text { share of sockeye salmon, while managing the fishery so as to } \\
\text { not greatly exceed the projected incidental harvest of Chinook } \\
\text { salmon. All Chinook by-catch in this fishery will be promptly } \\
\text { reported by each Tribe to the NWIFC TOCAS database and } \\
\text { reported to the U.S. Section of the Fraser Panel at least weekly, } \\
\text { including take home and ceremonial and subsistence (C\&S). } \\
\text { Prior to achieving a by-catch of 4,200 Chinook there will be no } \\
\text { restrictions on the retention or sale of Chinook salmon. If, during } \\
\text { the season, the Fraser Panel schedules a fishery that is } \\
\text { projected to result in a total Chinook by-catch exceeding 4,200 } \\
\text { fish, the Tribes will, effective with that scheduled fishery, prohibit } \\
\text { any commercial sales of Chinook salmon, and any Chinook } \\
\text { salmon landed must be delivered to the fisher's respective } \\
\text { Tribe. If, during the season, the Fraser Panel schedules a } \\
\text { fishery that is projected to result in a total Chinook by-catch } \\
\text { exceeding 6,300 fish, the Tribes will, effective with that } \\
\text { scheduled fishery, prohibit all retention of Chinook salmon by all } \\
\text { fishers; unless a projection for the remainder of the 2010 } \\
\text { sockeye salmon fishery will not result in a total by-catch of more } \\
\text { than 6,700 Chinook. July and August - C\&S fishery. Further } \\
\text { policy discussion may occur among the affected parties prior to } \\
\text { the season. }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Ntrty } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Schedule to be determined. Modeled for Wks 32 (wb 8/1) - 36 } \\
\text { (wb 8/29), 1,2,3,3,3. The Co-managers have identified the } \\
\text { following management actions to track and control by-catch. } \\
\text { Modeled by-catches are best estimates and are not quotas. All } \\
\text { vessel operators must complete best fishing practices } \\
\text { certification prior to fishing. Purse seine brailing and use of } \\
\text { recovery box required with Chinook, coho, and chum NR. Reef } \\
\text { net unmarked coho, chum, and unmarked Chinook NR. Reef } \\
\text { net fishers may retain marked Chinook, with a cap of 300 for all } \\
\text { gears through 9/30. Estimates of by-catch will be shared at }\end{array}
$$ <br>

least weekly in the U.S. Section of the Fraser River Panel.\end{array}\right\}\)| Purse seine and gillnet fisheries will be managed to ensure that |
| :--- |
| the non-treaty impact does not exceed 2,798 total Chinook |
| (120\% of pre-season estimate). |
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| Chum | Trty | Starting 10/10 through 11/14; fishing pattern Treaty 10/10-17, with an in-season conference on Friday 10/15 to determine further fishing schedules. Dependent upon update of run status from CDFO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ntrty | PS and GN open week 42 (wb 10/10) Sunday-Monday and Thursday-Friday; then daily beginning Monday 10/18 through wk. 46 (wb 11/7). Dependent upon update of run status from CDFO, and in-season conference on Friday 10/15 to determine further fishing schedules. Purse seine brailing required, Chinook and coho NR; GN Chinook and coho NR, live box, and limited soak time restrictions in wk 42. Reef nets open from end of Fraser Panel management through wk 46 (wb 11/7), 7 days per week. All vessel operators must complete best fishing practices certification prior to fishing. |
| Subsistence | Trity | $12 / 1-4 / 30$ subsistence troll fishery (Chinook $22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ size) by permit only. Bellingham Bay closed 4/1-4/30. |
| Area 7 Recreational |  |  |
| 5/1-6/30 | Closed |  |
| 7/1-7/31 | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size); Waters of Area 7 in Rosario Strait and the eastern portion of the Strait of Juan de Fuca southerly of a line running true south from the westernmost point on Fidalgo Head to Burrows Island, then westerly and southerly along the shore of Burrows Island to the Burrows Island Lighthouse, then westerly to Bird Rocks, then westerly from Bird Rocks to the southernmost point on Decatur Island, then southerly across Lopez Pass to Lopez Island and following the shore of Lopez Island southerly and westerly to Iceberg Point, then from Iceberg Point to Cattle Point, then south southwest to the Salmon Bank Buoy, and then true south from the Salmon Bank Buoy to the Area 7 boundary, closed to salmon angling. Bellingham and Samish Bay closed to salmon angling. |  |
| 8/1-9/30 | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size); release unmarked coho, chum; Waters of Area 7 in Rosario Strait and the eastern portion of the Strait of Juan de Fuca southerly of a line running true south from the westernmost point on Fidalgo Head to Burrows Island, then westerly and southerly along the shore of Burrows Island to the Burrows Island Lighthouse, then westerly to Bird Rocks, then westerly from Bird Rocks to the southernmost point on Decatur Island, then southerly across Lopez Pass to Lopez Island and following the shore of Lopez Island southerly and westerly to Iceberg Point, then from Iceberg Point to Cattle Point, then south southwest to the Salmon Bank Buoy, and then true south from the Salmon Bank Buoy to the Area 7 boundary, closed to salmon angling. Bellingham Bay closed to salmon angling $8 / 1-8 / 15$; Samish Bay closed to salmon angling. Single point barbless hooks only. |  |
| 10/1-10/31 | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook; Samish Bay closed to salmon angling 10/110/15. |  |


| $11 / 1-11 / 30$ | Closed |
| ---: | :--- |
| $12 / 1-4 / 30$ | 2 fish limit, (Chinook 22" min size), release unmarked Chinook <br> Bellingham Bay closed to salmon angling 4/1-4/30. |

### 2.4 Nooksack/Samish Terminal Region

## Bellingham Bay (Areas 7B, 7C, 7D; 7A On-Reservation) Net

| Chinook | Triy | Areas 7B, 7C, \& 7D: August 1 through September 4 (Wks 31-36), open weekly 4 PM Sunday to 4 PM Friday; Samish Bay is closed southeasterly of a line from Oyster Creek to the fisheries marker on Samish Island, except that hand pull gill nets may fish from 4:00 PM Sunday - 4:00 PM Wednesday south to a line from Oyster Creek to Fish Point on Samish Island; fishing pattern: $5,5,5,5,5.61 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ mesh in 7B, off reservation areas and 7C except when open for sockeye in 7 and 7A. 7C remains open on the same terms and schedule through September 18 with $6 \frac{1}{2}$ minimum mesh. Areas 7B ( $5^{\prime \prime}$ min mesh) and 7D on reservation: July 26 through September 5 (Wks 31-36) open Sunday 4 PM through Saturday 4 PM, fishing pattern: 6, 6, 6, 6, 6. PS coho NR. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ntrty | Areas 7B \& 7C: Wks 34 (wb 8/15)- 36 (wb 8/29); GN pattern beginning wk 34: $3,3,3$; PS pattern beginning wk 34: 1,1,1; PS coho NR. |
| Coho |  | The waters of Indian Slough remain open south of a line from a tower located on March Point ( $48^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 122^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) to the Spire on the eastern shore of Padilla Bay at Bayview ( $48^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 122^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) for treaty fishers. |
|  |  | Areas 7B and 7D: September 4 through October 29 (Wks 37-43), open Sunday 4 PM - Saturday 4 PM. Fishing Pattern:6,6,6,6,6,6,6. |
|  | Trity | 7A on reservation fishery: September 19 through October 16 (Wks 39-42). Open weekly 4 PM Sunday through 4 PM Wednesday. Fishing Pattern: 3,3,3,3. |
|  | Ntrty | Area 7B: Wks 37 (wb 9/5)-Wk 43 (wb 10/17); GN fishing pattern: 4,4,7,7,7,7,7 ( 24 hrs for all days); PS fishing pattern: 1,3,7,7,7,7,7. |
| Chum | Triy | Areas 7B \& 7D: October 31 - December 15 (Wks 45-51); open 3 days/wk. 3,3,3,3,3,3,3 | PS/GN; $7,5,5,5,5,5$. Whatcom Creek Zone (east of line from Post Point to flashing red light at west entrance of Squalicum Harbor) open 7 days per week.

## Nooksack River Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed)

NOTE: Nooksack River Tribal commercial fishery openings will be 00:01 a.m. (Lummi openings at 4:00 p.m.) and will close at 4:00 p.m. (concurrent with Lummi), on a weekly basis.

| Chinook | April 1 June 15 | April and May limited ceremonial and subsistence fishery will be managed for a total catch of 116 Chinook, with an estimated impact of 17 NOR Chinook. The fishery will occur in the north fork between the railroad trestle just down river from the Highway 9 bridge and the mouth of Racehorse Creek (RM 36.6 to 45.2 ) and the Nooksack River between Slater Road bridge and the river mouth (between RM 0.0 and 3.5). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8/1-9/11 <br> (wks 32- <br> 37) | Open 4 PM Sunday and close 4 PM Saturday, except wk 32 open Sunday 4 PM to Wednesday 4 PM. Fishing pattern: $6,6,6,6,6,6$. The river is divided into five zones during this period. These zones open on subsequent weeks, proceeding upriver, to protect migrating spring Chinook. |
| Coho | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 12- \\ & 10 / 30 \text { (wks } \\ & 37-44) \end{aligned}$ | Open weekly Sunday 4 PM through Saturday 4 PM. Fishing Pattern: $6,6,6,6,6,6,6$. The area extending from the confluence of the North and South Forks downstream to a marker behind the Nooksack Tribal Works Building will not be open during the early portion of the coho management period, remaining closed prior to Sept. 19. |
| Chum | 11/25-26 | Subsistence harvest |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 / 30- \\ & 12 / 15(\text { Wks } \\ & 45-51) \end{aligned}$ | Commercial. Open weekly Sunday 4 PM through Wednesday 4 PM. 3 days/wk. 3,3,3,3,3,3,3. |

## Bellingham Bay Terminal Area Recreational

| $5 / 1-8 / 15$ | Closed to salmon angling. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 16-10 / 31$ | 4 fish limit, 2 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size); Samish Bay closed <br> to salmon angling thru 10/15. |
| $11 / 1-3 / 31$ | Same as Area 7. |
| $4 / 1-4 / 30$ | Closed to salmon angling. |

## Nooksack River Recreational; mainstem and North Fork

| (from Lummi <br> Indian <br> Reservation boundary to <br> yellow marker at the FFA high school barn in Deming) | 9/1-12/31 | 2 fish limit, plus 2 ad-clipped coho; $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size; release unmarked Chinook and unmarked coho. All species-night closure and anti-snagging rule 6/111/30. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (from yellow marker at the <br> FFA high school barn in Deming to confluence of North and South forks) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 1 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, plus 2 ad-clipped coho; $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size; release unmarked Chinook and unmarked coho. All species-night closure and anti-snagging rule 10/111/30. |
| (from confluence of North and South forks to Maple Creek on North Fork) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 11 / 30 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, plus 2 ad-clipped coho;12" min size; release unmarked Chinook and unmarked coho. All species-night closure and anti-snagging rule 6/1$11 / 30$ No fishing from a floating device equipped with a motor $11 / 1-2 / 28$. |

## Nooksack River Recreational, South Fork

| (from mouth to <br> Saxon Rd. <br> Bridge) | $10 / 1-$ <br> $12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, plus 2 ad-clipped coho; 12" min size; <br> release chum, unmarked Chinook and unmarked <br> coho. All species-selective gear rules 6/1-2/28, <br> and night closure 6/1-11/30. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (from Saxon Rd. <br> Bridge to | $10 / 1-12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, plus 2 ad-clipped coho; 12" min size; <br> release chum, unmarked Chinook and unmarked <br> coho. All species night closure 6/1-11/30, and <br> Skookum Creek) |

## Samish River Recreational

| (from mouth to <br> Thomas Rd. <br> Bridge) | $7 / 1-12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, 12" min size. Release unmarked coho. <br> All species-night closure and anti-snagging rule $8 / 1-$ <br> $12 / 31$. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (from Thomas |  |  |
| Rd. Bridge to I-5 <br> Bridge) | $10 / 1-$ | 2 fish limit, 12" min size. Release unmarked coho. <br> All species-night closure and anti-snagging rule $8 / 1-$ <br> $12 / 31$. |

## Dakota Creek Recreational

| (mouth to Giles | $10 / 1-$ | 2 fish limit, 12" min size. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Road Bridge) | $12 / 31$ |  |

Whatcom Creek Recreational

| (mouth to yellow <br> markers below <br> foot bridge below <br> Dupont St. in | $8 / 1-12 / 31$ | 6 fish/2 adult limit, 12" min size. All Species - night <br> closure and anti-snagging rule $8 / 1-12 / 31$. |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Bellingham) |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |

All other NOOKSACKISAMISH TERMINAL REGION freshwater recreational: Closed to salmon angling.

### 2.5Skagit Terminal Region

## Skagit Bay (Area 8) Net

Note: Fishing schedules for Skagit Bay and Skagit River are pre-season projections.
Schedules may be changed in-season as necessary to meet management objectives.

| Chinook | Area 8 Trty | Swinomish fishing pattern: wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) 1,1,1. <br> Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) 1,1,1; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sockeye | Area 8 Trty | Swinomish: no preseason harvestable, if harvestable take at Baker Trap. If harvestable exceeds C\&S needs, discuss with co-managers. Placeholder modeled schedule of Wk 29 (wb 7/11) thru Wk 30 (wb 7/18) 1,1. <br> Upper Skagit: no preseason harvestable, if harvestable take at Baker Trap. If harvestable exceeds C\&S needs, discuss with co-managers. Placeholder modeled schedule of Wk 29 (wb 7/11) thru Wk 30 (wb 7/18) 1,1. |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Coho | Triy | Terminal Treaty HR target $20 \%$. If ISU changes abundance status, HR may be modified following co-manager discussions. |
|  | Area 8 - <br> Tity | Swinomish fishing pattern: wks 39 (wb 9/19) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 4, 3, 2, 0, 1. <br> Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wks 40 (wb 9/26) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 2.167, 2.167, 1.167, 1.167. |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Chum Test | Area 8 | 1 boat at Jetty 1 day/wk 44 (wb 10/24) \& 45 (wb 10/31) and 1 boat in Bay 1 day/wk 44 (wb 10/24) \& 45 (wb 10/31). |


| Chum | Area 8 - <br> Trty | Swinomish fishing pattern: No preseason harvestable. Placeholder modeled schedule wk 46 (wb 11/7) 1. Fishery dependent on ISU and harvestable fish. <br> Upper Skagit fishing pattern: No preseason harvestable. Placeholder modeled schedule wk47 (wb 11/14) 1. Fishery dependent on ISU and harvestable fish. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ntrty | Closed. May open pending co-manager agreement on ISU that indicates harvestable runsize. |
| Skagit River Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | Areas 78C and 78D | Ceremonial and Subsistence - 590 fish total Swinomish, Sauk-Suiattle, and Upper Skagit Tribes. Swinomish fishing pattern: wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) 1,1,1. . Sauk-Suiattle fishing pattern: wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) 1,1,1. Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) 1,1,1. |
| Sockeye | Areas 78C and 78D | Swinomish, Sauk-Suiattle, and Upper Skagit fishing pattern: No preseason harvestable, if harvestable take at Baker Trap. If harvestable exceeds C\&S needs, discuss with co-managers. Placeholder modeled schedule of Wk 29 (wb 7/11) thru Wk 30 (wb 7/18) 1,1. |
| Coho | Terminal Treaty HR target 20\%. If ISU changes abundance status, HR may be modified following co-manager discussions. |  |
|  | Area 78C: | Swinomish fishing pattern: wk 39 (wb 9/19) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 4,3,2,0,1. <br> Sauk-Suiattle fishing pattern: wk 39 (wb 9/19) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 7,7,7,7,7. <br> Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wk 40 (wb 9/26) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 2.167, 2.167, 1.167, 1.167. |
|  | Area 78D | Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wk 40 (wb 9/26) thru wk 43 (wb 10/17); 2.167, 2.167, 1.167, 1.167. |
| Chum | Area 78C | No preseason harvestable. Placeholder modeled schedules: <br> Swinomish fishing pattern: wk 46 (wb 11/7);1; fishery dependent on ISU. <br> Sauk-Suiattle fishing pattern: wk 46 (wb 11/7); 1; fishery dependent on ISU. <br> Upper Skagit fishing pattern: wk 47 (wb 11/14); 1; fishery dependent on ISU. |
|  | 78D | Upper Skagit fishing pattern: No preseason harvestable. Placeholder modeled schedule of wk 47 (wb 11/14) 1; fishery dependent on ISU. |


| River Test | Chinook | $\begin{array}{l}\text { (Blakes) Wk 19 (wb 5/2) thru wk 21 (wb 5/16) and } \\ \text { Wk 24 (wb 6/6) thru wk 35 (wb 8/22); 1 boat, 6 } \\ \text { hours/wk. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sockeye | $\begin{array}{l}\text { (Area 78D-3) Wk 23 (wb 5/30) thru Wk 29 (wb } \\ 7 / 11) ; ~ 1 ~ b o a t, ~ 8 ~ h r s / w k . ~ S o c k e y e ~ a n d ~ u n m a r k e d ~\end{array}$ |
| Chinook release. |  |  |$]$


| (From Memorial <br> Hwy Bridge to <br> Gilligan Creek) | $9 / 1-12 / 31$ | 3 fish limit, 12" min size, release chum and <br> Chinook. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (From Gilligan <br> Creek to Dalles <br> Bridge at <br> Concrete) | $9 / 16-$ | 3 fish limit, 12" min size, release chum and <br> Chinook. All Species - night closure and anti- <br> snagging rule 7/1-11/30. |
| (From Dalles <br> Bridge at | $6 / 1-7 / 15$ | 4 marked Chinook, only 2 may be adults, 12" min <br> size, open only from Highway 530 bridge at <br> Rockport to Cascade River. All species - night <br> closure and anti-snagging rule. Co-managers will <br> consult on harvest guidelines and fishery may close <br> early. |
| Cascade River) | , | Dependent on ISU. Potential fishery starting date to <br> be determined. 2 fish limit, sockeye only, 12" min. <br> size. |
|  | July | 3 fish limit, 12" min size, release chum and <br> Chinook. All species - night closure and anti- <br> snagging rule 7/1 through 11/30. |
|  | $12 / 31$ | $6 / 1-$ <br> $8 / 31$ |
| Closed waters - between a line projected across <br> the thread of the river 200' above the east bank of <br> the Baker River and a line projected across the <br> thread of the river 200' below the west bank of the <br> Baker River. |  |  |

All other SKAGIT TERMINAL REGION freshwater recreational closed to salmon angling.

### 2.6Stillaguamish/Snohomish Terminal Region

Area 8A Net

| Chinook | Trty | Closed (Ceremonial set-aside of up to 100 Chinook, <br> July-September period). |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Coho | Trty | Wk 36 (wb 8/29) - Wk 37 (wb 9/5) 5 days per week; <br> Pilot Fishery. Wk 38 (wb 9/12) Wk 42 (wb 10/10). <br> Update fishery through week 40. Manage for CCMP <br> breakpoints and rates. |
|  | Test | Wk 38 (wb 9/12) - Wk 42 (wb 10/10): 1 day per <br> week; 2 GN landings per week. |
|  |  |  |


|  | Ntrty PS | Wks 40-41 (wb 9/26 - wb 10/3): PS limited participation (2 boats per day): Chinook, chum NR, fishing pattern: 1,1. PS limited to area north of a line from the Clinton ferry dock to the Mukilteo ferry dock during Wk 40 . Wk 42 (wb 10/10): PS full fleet; Chinook, chum NR, fishing pattern: 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ntrty GN | Wks 41-42 (wb 10/3-wb 10/10) GN fishing pattern: 1,3; GN fish night hours Wk 41. |
| Chum | Triy | Evaluation Fishery, wks 43 (wb 10/17) -45 (wb 10/31): Port Susan closed; Max 2000 chum. Dependant on evaluation fishery, wk 46 (wb 11/7) Wk 48 (wb 11/21), manage for Stillaguamish and Snohomish harvest rates and minimum escapement goals. |
|  | Test | Wk 43 (wb 10/17) -Wk 45 (wb 10/31) 1 day per week; 2 GN landings per week. |
|  | Ntrty | Closed. May open pending co-manager agreement on ISU indicating increased run size. |
| Area 8D Net |  |  |
| Chinook | Tity | BS, RH, GN gear outside Tulalip Bay may be open during the following periods: <br> 5/2-6/5 12:01 AM Sun-11:59 PM Sat 6/6-8/28 12:01 PM Mon - 11:59 PM Thu 8/29-9/18 12:01 AM Mon-11:59 PM Fri Setnets inside Tulalip Bay may be open during the following periods: <br> 5/2-9/18 12:01 AM Sun-11:59 PM Sat |
|  | Ntrty | Closed (see recreational SAF) |
| Coho | Triy | Wk 39 (wb 9/19) - Wk 45 (wb 10/31); BS, RH, GN gear outside Tulalip Bay open Sun, Mon, Thu, Fri; open to target Tulalip hatchery coho. |
|  | Ntrty | Wk 39 (wb 9/19)-Wk 45 (wb 10/31); PS Chinook NR; PS fishing pattern: $1,1,1,1,1,2,1 ;$ GN fish each night Sunday through Thursday night Wks 39-42, pattern: $5,5,5,5$; also open daylight hours Tuesdays and Wednesdays, pattern: $2,2,2,2$. Switch to Thursday and Friday day hours Wks 43-45 pattern: 2,2,2. Closed east of the line from Mission Point to Hermosa Point. |


| Chum | Triy | Wk 46 (wb 11/7) - Wk 51 (wb 12/12); open to target Tulalip hatchery chum. Managed to allow for hatchery egg take needs based on Tulalip hatchery escapement updates and projections. All Area 8D fisheries will close concurrently as agreed to by Tulalip and WDFW to ensure egg take requirements are met. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ntrty | Wks 46 (wb 11/7)-Wk 48 (wb 11/21); PS fishing pattern: 2,1,2; GN fishing pattern: 2,2,2 daylight hours. Closed east of the line from Mission Point to Hermosa Point. Managed to allow for hatchery egg take needs based on Tulalip hatchery escapement updates and projections. All Area 8D fisheries will close concurrently as agreed to by co-managers as necessary to ensure egg take requirements are met. |
| Stillaguamish River Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | C\&S fishery: Wk 27 (wb 6/28) - Wk 38 (wb 9/13). 25 Chinook cap to fishery. |  |
| Coho | Open Wk 37 (wb 9/5) - Wk 44 (wb 10/24); max 5 days per week. |  |
| Chum | C\&S fishery; Wk 45 (wb 10/31)-Wk 53 (wb 12/26); max 2 days per week; max catch of 300 chum. |  |
| Snohomish River Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook, Pink, Coho, Chum | Closed |  |
| Coho Test | Closed |  |
| Area 8-2 Recreational |  |  |
| 5/1-7/31 | Closed |  |
| 8/1-9/30 | 2 fish limit, Chinook release. |  |
| 10/1-10/31 | Closed north of a line due east from Randall Point, daily limit 2, release Chinook. |  |
| 11/1-4/30 | 2 fish limit, Chinook 22" min size, release unmarked Chinook. |  |
| Tulalip Special Area Recreational Fishery |  |  |
| Same as Area 82 Recreational, except during the period 6/4-9/26: | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 4-6 / 18 \\ & \text { and } 6 / 20- \\ & 9 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | Open 12:01 AM Friday - 11:59 AM Monday each week. Open within Tulalip Special Area boundaries only. Closed to all angling east of the line from Mission Point to Hermosa Point. 2 fish limit salmon (Chinook 22" min. size). |


|  | 9/11-9/26 | Open Saturday and Sunday each week. Open within Tulalip Special Area boundaries only. Closed to all angling east of the line from Mission Point to Hermosa Point. 2 fish limit salmon (Chinook 22" min. size). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snohomish River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to confluence of Skykomish and Snoqualmie rivers, including all channels) | 9/1-12/31 | 2 coho only limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min. size,. All species - night closure and anti-snagging rule $8 / 1-11 / 30$. |
| Snoqualmie River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to Snoqualmie Falls, including all channels) | 9/1-12/31 | 2 coho only limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size. All speciesselective gear rules $6 / 1-11 / 30$, except motors allowed; night closure 9/1-11/30. Closed waters within Puget Power tunnels at falls, and within 50' of any point on Puget Power's lower Plant building \#2 (north bank). |
| Skykomish River Recreational |  |  |
| (From mouth to Lewis St. Bridge in Monroe) | 9/1-12/31 | 2 coho only limit. $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size. Fishing from any floating device prohibited 11/1-2/28 from the boat ramp below Lewis Street Bridge at Monroe to 2500' downstream. All species - night closure and antisnagging rule 8/1-11/30. |
| From Lewis St Bridge in Monroe to Wallace River. | 6/1-7/31 | 2 fish limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, marked Chinook only. All species - night closure and anti-snagging rule 6/111/30. Managed for hatchery broodstock. Evaluation by co-managers by June 30 about possibility of earlier fishery closure. |
|  | 9/1-12/31 | 2 coho only limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size. Áll species - night closure and anti-snagging rule through 11/30. |
| (From Wallace River to the forks) | 9/1-12/31 | 2 coho only limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size. All species - night closure and anti-snagging rule 8/1-11/30. Closed waters - from 1500' upstream to 1000' downstream of Reiter Ponds outlet 6/1 to 8:00 a.m. 8/1 and within this 2,500 ' section, fishing from any floating device within this area prohibited 8:00 AM 8/1-2/28. |
| Wallace River Recreational |  |  |
| Mouth to 200 upstream of water intake of salmon hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 16- \\ & 11 / 30 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit for coho only, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size. Fishing from any floating device prohibited 11/1-2/28. |

## Stillaguamish River Recreational

| (river and all <br> sloughs <br> downstream of <br> Marine Drive | $9 / 1-12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit for coho only, 12" min size: All species- <br> night closure and anti-snagging rule $8 / 1-11 / 30$. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (Marine Drive <br> upstream to <br> forks) | $9 / 1-12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, for coho only12" min size. All Species- <br> night closure $8 / 1-11 / 30$ and selective gear rules <br> except motors allowed 6/1-11/30. Closed waters - <br> from water control structure/barrier dam <br> (downstream of I-5) 200' downstream. |

All other STILLAGUAMISH/SNOHOMISH TERMINAL REGION freshwater recreational closed to salmon angling.

### 2.7 Admiralty Inlet Area

| Area 9 Net <br> Chinook | Trty | Ceremonial and Subsistence - Up to 700 Chinook <br> as agreed upon by those Tribes with U\&A in Area 9, <br> (PS and Hook \& Line, release all chum 6/1-9/30). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chum | Research | Wk 43(wb 10/17) -Wk 47(wb 11/14) research <br> fishery to develop stock composition/timing <br> information. Research catch quota of 2,000 chum. <br> Details of research program will be based on <br> previously agreed sampling design and a review of <br> prior years' sampling results. |
| Chum | Trty | No commercial fishery, unless prior agreement by <br> all affected Tribes and WDFW. |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Area 9 Recreational | Closed |  |
| $5 / 1-7 / 15$ | 2 fish limit; Chinook 22" min size, release unmarked Chinook, and |  |
| chum. Closed south and west of a line from Foulweather Bluff to |  |  |
| $7 / 16-8 / 31$ | Olele Point, except angling allowed from shore between Hood <br> Canal Bridge and the northern boundary of Salsbury Point Park, <br> daily limit 2 coho only. |  |
| $9 / 1-9 / 30$ | 2 fish limit, release Chinook and chum. |  |
| $10 / 1-10 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, release Chinook |  |
| $11 / 1-11 / 30$ | 2 fish limit, release unmarked Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). |  |
| $12 / 1-1 / 15$ | Closed |  |

### 3.0 South Sound Region

### 3.1 Area 10 Subregion

Area 10 Net

| Chinook |  | Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sockeye | Tity | Fishery dependent upon ISU (Ballard lock counts) |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Coho | Test | Gillnet: Wks 37 (wb 9/5, not earlier than 9/8)-Wk 39 (wb 9/19); 3 boats, 3 sites; fishing pattern: 2,2,2 |
|  | Trty | Fishery based on ISU beginning Wk 37(wb 9/5). Treaty allocation based on intertribal sharing agreement. Fishing schedule for Area 10 shall be set consistent with the MST agreement (1983). |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Chum | Test | Purse Seine: Wks 41 (wb 10/3)-Wk 46 (wb 11/7); 1 site, fishing pattern: 1,1,1,1,1,1. |
|  | Trty | Treaty allocation based on intertribal sharing agreement; Wks 41 (wb 10/3) - Wk 48 (wb 11/21) fishing pattern - ISU dependent; Fishing schedule for Area 10 shall be set consistent with the MST agreement (1983). |
|  | Ntrty | Wks 43 (wb 10/17) - 48 (wb 11/21); PS Chinook and coho NR; PS fishing pattern: 1,2,1,2,1,1; GN fishing pattern: $2,2,2,2,2,2$. ISU Dependent. |

Area 10A Treaty Net: That portion of Elliott Bay east of the line from Pier 91 to the light at Duwamish Head to the 1000 foot radius around both the Duwamish River (80B) East and West waterways.

| Chinook | Test | Wks 29 (wb 7/11) - Wk 31 (wb 7/25); 7/14 or 7/15, <br> $7 / 21,7 / 28$ (Wednesday); 5 fishing sites (one boat <br> per site).8 PM to 8 AM. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Trty | Wk 32 (wb 8/1) - Wk 33 (8/8) one 12 hour opening <br> per week (Wednesday). Criteria: Wk 32 to open, 3 <br> nights of test fishing (combined total) must catch <br> at least 100 fish. Wk 33 to open, the wk 32 Treaty <br> Commercial fishery (bay + river) must catch at <br> least 1500 fish. (Note: The 100 and 1500 <br> threshold numbers could change pending <br> biometrician review and co-manager agreement.) |
| Coho | Gillnet: Wk 37 (wb 9/5)-Wk 45 (wb 10/31) fishing pattern: 5 days <br> per week (Sun - Fri) |  |


| Chum | Gillnet Wk 46 (wb 11/7)-Wk 47 (wb 11/14); fishing pattern: 5 days per week (Sun - Fri).Wk 48 (wb 11/21) fishing pattern: (Sun Wed). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duwamish/Green River (Area 80B) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | Wk 32-34 | Wk 32 (wb 8/1) - Wk 33 (8/8) one 12 hour opening per week (Wednesday). Criteria: Wk 32 to open, 3 nights of test fishing (combined total) must catch at least 100 fish. Wk 33 to open, the wk 32 Treaty Commercial fishery (bay + river) must catch at least 1500 fish. (Note: The 100 and 1500 threshold numbers could change pending biometrician review and co-manager agreement.) |
| Coho | Wk 37 (wb 9/5) - Wk 45 (wb 10/31) | Closed until Chinook clear or coho predominate. Clearance fishery on lower river (up to $16^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge) begins 9/9; ( 6 sites); If Chinook clearance is met or coho predominate, fishery will open Sept 12; starting Sept. 19, fishery will open up to Boeing St. Bridge. Starting Oct 1 fishery will open up to Hwy 99 Bridge fishing pattern: Sun Fri (5 days per week). |
| Chum | Wks 46 (wb 11/7)-Wk 48 (wb 11/21) | Gillnet Wk 46 (wb 11/7)-Wk 47 (wb 11/14); fishing pattern: 5 days per week (Sun - Fri).Wk 48 (wb 11/21) fishing pattern: (Sun-Wed). |
| Area 10E Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed; see below for recreational SAF) |  |  |
| Chinook | Wks 30 (wb 7/18)-Wk 38 (wb 9/12); fishing pattern: 7days/wk. Possible extension for Sinclair Inlet |  |
| Coho | On-Reservation only; Wks 38 (wb 9/12)-Wk 43 (wb 10/17); setnet/beach seine; 7 days/wk. |  |
| Chum | Wks 43 (wb 10/17)-Wk 50 (wb 12/5); schedule dependent upon ISU. |  |
| Lake Washington System (includes lake, ship canal, \& Lake Sammamish) |  |  |
| Areas 10F, 10G, 10C, 10D Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Sockeye | Dependent upon ISU (lock counts). Potential fishery beginning Wk 28 (7/4). |  |
| Chinook | Dependent on ISU and co-manager agreement. |  |
| Coho | The coho fisheries in the four following areas are dependent upon the ISU (if lock counts project run size < 10,000 coho entering the lake, then no coho fishery): |  |
|  | Lower ship canal (below Ballard Locks) | Closed until Chinook clearance as seen in lock counts; anticipated pattern 5-7 days/wk dependent on in-season information, with a potential start date for fisheries beginning Wk 38 (9/12). |


|  | Upper ship canal (above Ballard Locks): | Fishing pattern 5 days/wk (Sun - Fri). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North end Lake Washington (North of Hwy. 520 bridge): | Starting Wk 41 (wb 9/26): fishing pattern 5 days/wk (Sun - Fri). |
| Lake Sammamish Treaty Net |  |  |
| Chinook and Coho | Fisheries will be based on ISU from the Ballard Lock counts. |  |
| Area 10 Recreational |  |  |
| 5/1-5/31 | Closed |  |
| 6/1-6/30 | Catch-and-release in waters N of Meadow Pt./Pt. Monroe line. |  |
| 7/1-7/15 | 2 fish limit, Chinook release. |  |
| 7/16-8/31 | 2 fish limit, Chinook $22^{\prime \prime}$ min size, release unmarked Chinook and release chum beginning 8/1. |  |
| 9/1-9/30 | 2 fish limit, release Chinook and release chum through 9/15. |  |
| 10/1-1/31 | 2 fish limit, release unmarked Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). |  |
| 2/1-4/30 | Closed |  |
|  | Shilshole Bay (East of Meadow Point/West Point line) closed 7/18/31. |  |
|  | Outer Elliott Bay (E of West Pt./Alki Pt line to Pier 91/Duwamish Head line) Closed to salmon angling 7/1-8/31. |  |
|  | Inner Elliott Bay (E of Pier 91/Duwamish Head line) closed to salmon angling 7/1-8/31 except for indicated openings identified in "Elliott Bay Recreational" section below. Elliott Bay fishing piers open; see below. |  |
|  | Special gear restrictions in Duwamish Waterways area when open. |  |
| Area 10 Piers Recreational |  |  |
| Seacrest Pier, <br> Pier 86, Waterman Pier, Bremerton Boardwalk, Illahee State Park Pier | Year-Round | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (22" min size), release chum 8/1-9/15. |
| Elliott Bay Recreational SAF |  |  |
| 5/1-6/30 | Same as Area 10 |  |


| $7 / 1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Closed |  |
| $8 / 8 / 8$ | Open E of Pier 91/Duwamish Head line, weekly 12:01 AM. Friday <br> through 11:59 PM. Sunday, 7/2-8/8. 2 fish limit, release chum <br> $8 / 1-8 / 8 . ~ S p e c i a l ~ g e a r ~ r e s t r i c t i o n s ~ i n ~ D u w a m i s h ~ W a t e r w a y s ~ a r e a ~$ |
| when open. |  |

The 2010/2011 WDFW sport pamphlet will reflect the following season end dates for trout and other game fish fall/winter season. These end dates are subject to change based on State-Tribal agreement:

Mouth to S. $277^{\text {th }}$ Bridge in Auburn: Feb. 15
S. $277^{\text {th }}$ Bridge to Tacoma Headworks Dam: Feb. 28

## Soos Creek Recreational

|  |  | Closed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lake Washington Recreational |  |  |
| East of the <br> Montlake Bridge | July-August | Dependent upon ISU (lock counts). Potential <br> fishery, starting date to be determined. 2 fish limit, <br> sockeye only, 12" min. size. Chinook retention <br> dependent on ISU and co-manager agreement. |
| North of Hwy 520 <br> Bridge | $9 / 16-10 / 31$ | 4 fish limit, coho only, 12" min size |

Lake Sammamish Recreational
8/16-11/30 4 fish limit, only 2 Chinook, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, release sockeye. Closed: waters within 100 yards of the mouth of Issaquah Creek are closed to salmon fishing.

All other SOUTH SOUND AREA 10 REGION freshwater: Closed to salmon angling.

### 3.2 Area 11 Subregion

Area 11 Net

| Chinook | All | Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho | Trty: | Commercial fishery open beginning Wks 37 (wb 9/5) - Wk 41 (wb 10/3); ISU dependent; gillnets 7 days/wk, could close any time. Beach seine daylight hours only, 7 days/wk. |
|  | Ntrty: | Closed |
| Chum | Trty: | Commercial fishery open Wks 42 (wb 10/10)-Wk 49 (wb 11/28); gillnets 7 nights/wk, could close at anytime. Beach seine daylight hours only, 7 days/wk. |
|  | Ntrty | Wks 43 (wb 10/17) - 48 (wb 11/21); PS Chinook and coho NR; PS fishing pattern:1,2,1,2,1,1; GN fishing pattern: $2,2,2,2,2,2$. ISU dependent. |
| Area 11A Net Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |  |
| Chinook | Closed |  |
| Coho | Commercial fishery open Wks 37 (wb 9/5)-Wk 42 (wb 10/10); 3 nights/wk |  |
| Chum | Commercial fishery open Wks 46 (wb 11/7)- Wk 53 (wb 12/26) 3 nights/wk. |  |

## Puyallup River (Area 81B) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed)

Chinook

| Spring <br> Chinook | C\&S 5/1-6/26 |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | Summer - <br> Fall | Commercial - Wk 34 (Open 8/15, 7 AM to 1 PM, 6 Hrs). Wk 35 (Open 8/22, 6 AM to 6 PM, 12 Hrs ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho | Commercial fishery Wks 36 (wb 8/29)-Wk 42 (wb 10/10) fishing pattern: 1,2,2,3,3,3,3. |  |
| Chum | Test fishery Wks 43 (wb 10/17)-Wk 46 (wb 11/7) 1 day/wk, drift net only. |  |
| Winter Chum | Commercial fishery Wks 46 (wb 11/7) - Wk 53 (wb 12/26) total days yet to be determined in steelhead management plan. |  |
| White River Treaty Net |  |  |
| Sp. Chinook | Ceremonial and subsistence fisheries. |  |
| Coho/Chum | Ceremonial and subsistence fisheries. |  |
| Area 11 Recreational |  |  |
| 5/1-5/31 | Closed |  |
| 6/1-6/30 | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min. size), release unmarked Chinook; Commencement Bay ( E . of Cliff House Restaurant/Sperry Ocean Dock line) closed to salmon angling. |  |
| 7/1-9/30 | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min. size), release unmarked Chinook; Single-point barbless hooks only. Commencement Bay ( E . of Cliff House Restaurant/Sperry Ocean Dock line) closed to salmon angling through $7 / 31$. |  |
| 10/1-10/31 | 2 fish limit, (Chinook 22" min size). |  |
| 11/1-12/31 | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). |  |
| 1/1-1/31 | Closed |  |
| 2/1-4/30 | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min size), release unmarked Chinook. |  |
| Dash Point Dock, <br> Point Defiance Boathouse Dock, Les Davis Pier Des Moines Pier and Redondo Pier | Year-Round | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (22" min size). |
| Puyallup River Recreational: |  |  |
| (from Freeman Road ( $82^{\text {nd }}$ Ave E) to Carbon River) | 8/1-12/31 | 6 fish/2 adult limit, 12" min size, release unmarked adult Chinook. All species - single point barbless hooks required 8/1-11/30. |
| (from 11th St. <br> Bridge to Freeman Road ( $82^{\text {nd }}$ Ave E)) | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 16- \\ & 12 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | Closed August 22, 29, 30 and September 5, 6, 7, $12,13,14.6$ fish/2 adult limit; $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ size, release unmarked adult Chinook. All species - single point barbless hooks required 8/1-11/30. |

## Carbon River Recreational

| (mouth to Voight <br> Creek) | $9 / 1-11 / 30$ | 6 fish/4 adult limit, no more than 2 adult Chinook; <br> $12 "$ min size, release unmarked adult Chinook, and <br> release chum. All species night closure, anti- <br> snagging rule, and single point barbless hooks $8 / 1-$ <br> $11 / 30$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

All other SOUTH SOUND AREA 11 REGION freshwater recreational Closed to salmon angling

### 3.3 Area 13 Subregion

Fox Island/Ketron Island (Area 13)

| Chinook | Treaty: | $8 / 1-9 / 15,7$ days/wk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ntrty: | Closed |
| Coho | Treaty: | $9 / 15-10 / 20,7$ days/wk |
|  | Ntrty: | Closed |
| Chum | Treaty: | Closed unless opened by Medicine Creek Treaty. <br> Tribes' agreement |
|  | Ntrty: | Closed |

[ $N$. Sequalitchew to Luhr Beach (Area 13) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed)

| Chinook | Two days a week. Wk 32 - Wk 38. Beach seine only. Release <br> wild Chinook. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coho | Wks 39-46; Beach seines;4 days a week. Release Chinook. |
| Chum | Closed] |

Carr Inlet (Area 13A) Treaty Net ${ }^{1}$ (Ntrty net closed) ${ }^{1}$ Based on Medicine Creek Treaty Tribal proposal annual regulations. Individual Tribal regulations may deviate from this schedule.

| Chinook | $8 / 1-9 / 18,7$ days/wk, open in sections. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coho | 9/12-10/23, 7 days/wk, in-season monitoring to meet hatchery <br> escapement need. |
| Chum | 10/24-12/4, 7 days/wk, open after 12/5 dependent on in-season <br> updates and agreement by Medicine Creek Tribes. |
| Chambers Bay (Area 13C) Treaty Net ${ }^{1}$ (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | Wks 31 (wb 7/25)-Wk 41 (wb 10/9); 4 days/wk. Beach seines <br> Sunday noon to Tuesday noon. Set nets Wednesday noon to <br> Friday noon. |
| Coho | Wks 42 (wb 10/10)-Wk 44 (wb 10/30); 2 days/wk. Beach seines <br> Sunday noon to Monday noon. Set nets Monday noon to Tuesday <br> noon. |


| Chum | Wks 45 (wb 11/6)-Wk 48 (wb 11/27); 4 days/wk. Beach seines Sunday noon to Tuesday noon. Set nets Wednesday noon to Friday noon. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area 13D Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 7/15-9/9 or earlier date dependent on in-season management needs; 7 days/wk |
| Coho | 9/10-12/31 or earlier date dependent on in-season management needs. |
| Peale Pass (13D-3) | 7 days/wk |
| Pickering Pass (13D-2) | 7 days/wk |
| Dana Pass (13D1) | 7 days/wk |
| Southern Case (13D-4) | 7 days/wk |
| Chum | Open approximately 10/22; 3-4 days per week; managed weekly by updates (~10/11). |
| Area 13E Net | Closed to all fishing |
| Budd Inlet (Area 13F) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 8/1-9/9 or earlier date dependent on in-season management needs; 7 days/wk |
| Coho | Closed |
| Chum | Open approximately 11/1, 3-4 days per week, managed by weekly in-season updates |
| Eld Inlet (Area 13G) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 7/15-9/9; opening dependent upon in-season data, outer portion only |
| Coho | Closed |
| Chum | Open approximately 11/1, 3-4 days per week, managed by weekly escapement updates |
| Totten Inlet (Area 13H) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 8/1-9/9; schedule dependent on in-season data |
| Coho | Closed |
| Chum | Open approximately 10/8, 3-4 days per week; managed by weekly escapement updates |
| Little Skookum Inlet (Area 131) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 8/1-9/9; schedule dependent upon in-season data |


| Coho | Closed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chum | Open approximately 12/1, 3-4 days per week; managed by weekly escapement updates |
| Hammersley Inlet (Area 13J) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 8/1-9/9 or earlier date dependent on in-season management needs |
| Coho | Closed |
| Chum | Open approximately, 9/19-12/25, 3-4 days/wk; managed by weekly escapement updates |
| Northern Case Inlet (Area 13K) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | 7/15-9/9 |
| Coho | 9/10-12/31 or earlier date dependent on in-season management needs |
| Chum | Open approximately 9/17-12/25; 3-4 days/wk; managed by weekly escapement updates |
| Nisqually River (Area 83D) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | Two days a week during the following weeks: Wks 29 (wb 7/11), 30 (wb 7/18), 32 (wb 8/1), 33 (wb 8/8), 35 (wb 8/22), and 36 (wb 8/29). Three days a week in wks 38 (wb 9/12) and 39 (wb 9/19). Test Fishery 1-2 days a week, wks 29 (wb 7/11) - 41 (wb 10/3). Tangle drift and tangle set. Catch and release 100 unmarked Chinook throughout the run. Hatchery Chinook retention. |
| Coho | Wks 40 (wb 9/26)-Wk 47 (wb 11/14); 3-4 days/wk |
| Chum | Proposed schedule: Wks 48 (wb 11/21)-Wk 5 (wb 1/23/2011); 3,4 days/wk; per annual Nisqually River chum/steelhead management plan. |
| McAllister Creek (Area 83F) Treaty Net (Ntrty net closed) |  |
| Chinook | Wks 27 (wb 6/27)-Wk 40 (wb 9/26); 3 days/wk |
| Coho | Wks 41 (wb 10/3)-Wk 48 (wb 11/21); 3-4 days/wk |
| Chum | Proposed schedule: Wks 49 (wb 11/28)-Wk 5 (wb 1/23/2011); 4 days/wk per annual Nisqually River chum/steelhead management plan. |

## Area 13 Recreational

| $5 / 1-6 / 30$ | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min. size), release unmarked Chinook, <br> Minter Creek mouth closed through 9/30. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 / 1-9 / 30$ | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min. size), release unmarked Chinook <br> and unmarked coho. Minter Creek mouth closed through $9 / 30 ;$ <br> Lower Budd Inlet closure zone $7 / 16-10 / 31$. |
| $10 / 1-10 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, release unmarked coho (Chinook 22" min size). Lower <br> Budd Inlet closure zone $7 / 16-10 / 31$. |


| $11 / 1-12 / 31$ | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (Chinook 22" min size). |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 1-4 / 30$ | 1 fish limit, (Chinook 22" min size). Minter Creek mouth closure <br> begins 4/16. |
| Fox Island Pier Recreational |  |

Fox Island Pier Recreational

| Year-Round | 2 fish limit, 1 Chinook (22" min size); 7/1-10/31 release unmarked <br> coho. |
| :--- | :--- |

## Chambers Creek Estuary Recreational

| (downstream of <br> markers 400' <br> below Boise- <br> Cascade Dam to | $7 / 1-11 / 15$ | 6 fish/2 adult limit, 12" min size, release unmarked |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Burlington <br> coho. <br> Northern Railroad <br> Bridge) |  |  |
| Deschutes River Recreational |  |  |


| Capitol Lake <br> (from outlet to | $7 / 1-10 / 15$ | Closed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 400' below lowest <br> Tumwater Falls <br> (Deschutes <br> River) fish <br> ladder). |  |  |
| (from Old Hwy 99 <br> Bridge on Capitol <br> Blvd in Tumwater <br> to Henderson <br> Blvd Bridge) | $7 / 1-10 / 15$ | 6 fish/2 adults limit, 12" min size, release coho. |
| (upstream of <br> Henderson Blvd <br> Bridge) | $7 / 1-10 / 15$ | 6 fish/2 adults limit, 12" min size, release coho, |
| selective gear rules. |  |  |

## Kennedy Creek Recreational

| (mouth to <br> northbound Hwy. <br> 101 Bridge) | $10 / 1-$ <br> $11 / 30$ | 6 fish/2 adults limit, 12" min size, release unmarked <br> coho, barbless hooks required. Night closure and <br> anti-snagging rule 10/1-12/31. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

McAllister Creek Recreational

| (mouth to <br> Olympia- <br> Steilacoom Rd <br> Bridge) | $7 / 1-11 / 30$ | 6 fish/2 adult limit, 12" min size. All species - night <br> closure and anti-snagging rule 8/1-11/30. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| McLane Creek Recreational |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (from a line 50' north of and parallel to the Mud Bay Rd. Bridge to a line 100' upstream of and parallel to the south bridge on Hwy.101) | Same as Area 13 | Same as Area 13 |
| Minter Creek Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to 50' downstream of hatchery rack) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 1- \\ & 12 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | 4 fish limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, chum only. |
| Nisqually River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to the military tank crossing bridge, one mile upstream of the mouth of Muck Creek) | $7 / 1-10 / 31$ $11 / 1-1 / 31$ | 6 fish/3 adult limit, only 2 adults may be any combination of, coho, and chum; 12 " min. size; release unmarked Chinook. All species - night closure and anti-snagging rule 8/1-11/30. <br> 6 fish/2 adult limit; $12^{\prime \prime}$ min. size; release unmarked Chinook. All species-night closure and antisnagging rule 8/1-11/30. |
| All other SOUTH SOUND AREA 13 REGION freshwater recreational closed to salmon angling. |  |  |

### 4.0 Hood Canal Region

Hood Canal Mainstem (Areas 12, 12B, 12C, 12D)
Treaty: 1,000 feet closure around streams that are closed to net fishing. Beach seines and hook and line gear release chum through $9 / 30$ (through 10/10 if within 500' of western shore of Areas 12B and 12C).
Nontreaty: See WAC 220-47-307 for Nontreaty exclusion zones.

| Chinook: | Trty: | Areas 12, 12B and 12D: Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area 12C: Beach seines open wb 7/18-8/31; 5 days/wk; release chum 8/1-8/31. Open wb 7/18 $8 / 24$ for gillnets 5 days/wk; restricted to 7 " min mesh starting $8 / 1$. |
|  |  | Area 12H: Open wb $7 / 18$ through $9 / 25$; hook and line gear continuous; beach seines daylight hours Tues and Thur each week; possible in-season modifications; Chum release. |
|  | Ntrty | Closed |
| Coho | Trit: | Area 12: Open 9/25 through 10/16 for gillnets. Beach seines for Coho only (release all Chinook and Chum through 9/30) may start no earlier than $9 / 16-10 / 23$. Both gear types open 7 days/wk. |

Area 12B: Open 10/1 through 10/23 for gillnets; 500 foot closure along western shore through 10/10; beach seines for Coho only (release all Chinook and Chum through 9/30) may start no earlier than 9/21-10/23. Both gear types open 7 days/wk.
Area 12C: Open 10/1 through wb 10/23 for gillnets; with 500 foot beach closure from Ayock Pt. to approx. 2,000 feet south of Lilliwaup (at the large house, north of Octopus Hole) through 10/10; GN fishing pattern: 2-4-4-5; beach seines for Coho (release all Chum through 9/30) may start no earlier than 9/21-10/23. BS fishing pattern: 4-5-4-4-5.
Area 12D (west of Madrona Pt. - local name): Open for gillnets no earlier than 10/1. Weekly schedules identical to Area 12C.

WDFW and the Tribes will update the pre-season forecast July 31, 2010. Current inseason management methodology approaches will be reviewed and modified accordingly for the Hood Canal Chum fishery in 2010. Review to begin in May 2010.

| Chum | Trty: | Area 12: Open 10/17 through 11/20; $7 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{wk}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area 12B: Open 10/24 through 11/20; $7 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{wk}$ |
|  |  | Area 12C: Open 10/24 through 11/27; 7d/wk. |
|  |  | Area 12D: Closed. |
|  |  | Area 12H: Hook and line gear open from 10/18 through 12/5; beach seines open Tuesday and Thursday of each week. Then Monday and Wednesday for the week beginning 11/14; possible in-season adjustments. Starting 11/1, hatchery escapement control measures will go into effect. |
|  | Ntrty: | Area 12 (Management period 10/17-11/20). Fisheries scheduled for Wks 43 (wb 10/17) through wk 47 (wb 11/14): PS Chinook, unmarked coho NR fishing pattern: $1,2,1,2,1$; GN fishing pattern: 2,2,2,2,2, daylight hours |
|  |  | Area 12B (Management period 10/24-11/20). Fisheries scheduled for Wks 43 (wb 10/17, last week of coho mgmt) through wk 47 (wb 11/14): PS Chinook, unmarked coho NR fishing pattern: 1,2,1,2,1; GN fishing pattern: $2,2,2,2,2$, daylight hours |
|  |  | Area 12C (Management period 10/24-11/27). Fisheries scheduled for Wks 46 (wb 11/7) through wk 48 (wb 11/21), if needed to attain NT share. PS Chinook and unmarked coho NR; PS fishing pattern: $2,1,1$; GN fishing pattern: 2,2,2 <br> Area 12H: BS (Hoodsport Hatchery Zone) fishery in wks 46-48 pending discussions with the CoManagers. |
|  |  | Area 12D Closed |
| NOTE: The above schedules for the chum management period are preliminary and are subject to revision, based on the review and application of inseason abundance assessment methods. |  |  |
| Port Gamble (Area 9A) |  |  |
| Chinook | All | Closed |
| Coho | Triy: | Open wb 8/22 through wb 10/30, gillnet only. |
|  | Ntrty: | Open Wks 35 (wb 8/22) - 44 (wb 10/24) GN and skiff GN, both gears limited to 100 fathoms length and 60 meshes in depth; 7 days/wk; Chinook NR; Chum NR through 9/30; release fish not to be retained by cutting ensnaring meshes. The beach area of the Port Gamble Indian Reservation, between Pt. Julia and the boundary marker at the south end of the reservation - closed to all fishing. |


| Chum | Trty: | Open 10/31 through 12/4. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ntrty: | Closed |
| Quilcene / Dabob (Area 12A) |  |  |
| Coho | Trty: | Open wb 8/22 through wb 10/16; Chum and <br> Chinook release from hook and line and beach <br> seine gear through 9/30; beach seines 5 days/wk, <br> daylight hours. Hook and line fisheries for Coho <br> only start 8/21, open continuously. Gillnets closed <br> until Summer chum escapement exceeds 1500. <br> Beach seine advance notification required prior to <br> fishing. |

## Area 12 Recreational

| $5 / 1-6 / 30$ | Closed |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 / 1-8 / 31$ | North of Ayock Pt. - Closed to salmon angling except see <br> Quilcene/Dabob Bay Recreational below. |
| $9 / 1-10 / 15$ | North of Ayock Pt. (including Quilcene/Dabob Bay) - 4 fish limit, <br> coho only. |
| $7 / 1-10 / 15$ | South of Ayock Pt. - 4 fish limit, 2 Chinook(Chinook 22" min size); <br> release chum. |
| $10 / 16-12 / 31$ | 4 fish limit, 1 Chinook(Chinook 22" min size). |
| $1 / 1-1 / 31$ | Closed |
| $2 / 1-4 / 30$ | 2 fish limit (Chinook 22" min size), release unmarked Chinook |

## Quilcene/Dabob Bay Recreational

| $5 / 1-8 / 15$ | Same as Area 12 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 16-8 / 31$ | 4 fish limit, coho only. |
| $9 / 1-4 / 30$ | Same as Area 12 |
| Hoodsport Hatchery Zone Recreational |  |

Same as Area 12 except:
7/1-12/31 . 4 fish limit, no minimum size, only 2 Chinook greater than $24^{\prime \prime}$; chum release 7/1-10/15; night closure.

| Dewatto River Recreational |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mouth to Dewatto-Holly Rd. Bridge) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 10 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, coho only. Selective Gear Rules, night Closure. |
| Dosewallips River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to Hwy. 101 Bridge) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 1- \\ & 12 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, chum only |
| Duckabush River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to Mason <br> Co. PUD \#1 <br> overhead <br> electrical distribution line) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 1- \\ & 12 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, 12" min size, chum only |
| Quilcene River Recreational |  |  |
| (from Rodgers St . to Hwy 101 Bridge) | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 16- \\ & 10 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | 4 fish, 12 " min size, coho only. Only 1 single point barbless hook may be used. Only fish hooked inside the mouth may be retained. |


| Skokomish River Recreational |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mouth to Hwy. 101 Bridge) | 8/1-9/30 | 2 fish limit, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, release chum and unmarked Chinook. All Species-night closure, antisnagging rule, and single point barbless hooks required through 11/30. Terminal gear (hooks, weights, lures or baits) and line must not be within 25' of Tribal gillnets. Closed upstream of Highway 106 bridge Mondays through September 16, except September 6. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 10 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6 fish/4 adult, $12^{\prime \prime}$ min size, release Chinook and chum. All Species-night closure, anti-snagging rule, and single point barbless hooks required through 11/30. Terminal gear (hooks, weights, lures or baits) and line must not be within $25^{\prime}$ of Tribal gillnets. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 16- \\ & 12 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6 fish/4 adult, $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}$ size, release Chinook. All Species-night closure, anti-snagging rule, and single point barbless hooks required through 11/30. Terminal gear (hooks, weights, lures or baits) and line must not be within $25^{\prime}$ of Tribal gillnets. |
| Tahuya River Recreational |  |  |
| (mouth to marker 1 mile above N . Shore Rd. Bridge) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1- \\ & 10 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | 2 fish limit, 12" min size, coho only. Selective Gear rules, night closure. |

All other HOOD CANAL REGION freshwater recreational closed to salmon angling.


Puget Sound Sport (landed + non-retention mortality):
Area 5 7,223 Area 5 5/1-6/30 clsd; 7/1-8/15, bag 2, co \& ch MSF, cm NR; 8/16-9/15, pag-2, co MSF, ch NR, cm NR; 9/16-9/30, bag-2, ch NR, tm NR; 10/1-10/31 clsd;
11/1-11/30 bag 2, 1 ch; 12/1-2/15 cisd; 2/16-4/10, 1 bag; 4/11-4/30 clsd; Kydaka closure 7/1-9/30
Area 6 2,041 Area 6 5/1-6/30 cisd; 7/1-8/15 bag 2, co \& ch MSF expt ch NR East of Ediz, cm NR; $8 / 16-9 / 30$, bag 2,
co MSF, ch \& cm NR; 10/1-10/31 $2 / 1$ bag; 11/1-11/30 closed; 12/1-2/15 clsd; 2/16-4/10, 1 bag; 4/11-4/30 cisd;
Dungeness Bay, 10/1-10/31, 2 bag, coho only; FW Bay closure 7/1-10/31,
PA Har clsd 7/1-10/31, Dung, Bay clsd 11/1-9/30, Sequim \& Disco Bays clsd 10/1-10/31
Area 7 7,647 Area 7 5/1-6/30 clsd; 7/1-7/31, 2/1 bag, 8/1-9/30, $2 / 1$ bag, co MSF, cm NR;
10/1-10/31, $2 / 1 \mathrm{bag} ; 11 / 1-11 / 30$ clsd; 12/1-4/30 2 bag , ch MSF;
B'Bay, 8/16-10/31, 4/2 bag; S. Rosario \& E. JDF clsd 7/1-9/30; B Bay - cisá $4 / 1$ thru 8/15;
Samish Bay -clsd 7/1-10/15
Areas 8-1,2 80 6,295 Area 8-1 5/1-7/31 clsd; 8/1-9/30, bag 2, ch NR; 10\%1-10/31 cisd, except Oak Harbor,
11/1-4/30, 2 bag, ch MSF; Oak Harbor 10/1-10/31
Area 8-2 5/1-7/31 clsd; 8/1-9/30, bag 2, ch NR; 10/1-10/31 2 bag, ch nr, cisd $n$. of Randell Pt,
11/1-4/3q, 2 bag, ch MSF; Tul. Term Area, 6/4-9/6 Fri- noon Mon, 9/11-9/26 Sat \& Sun, bag 2, clsd 6/19
Area 9 16,058 Area 9 5/1-7/15 dsd; 7/16-8/31, bag 2, ch MSF, cm NR; 9/1-9/30, bag 2, ch \& cm NR;
10/1-10/31, 2 bag, ch NR; 11/1-11/30, 2 bag ch MSF; 12/1-1/15 closed; 1/16-4/15, 2 bag, ch MSF;
4/16-4/30 clsd; Hd Cnl clsd during sum MSF. Edmds YR, $2 / 1$ bag, cm NR $8 / 1-9 / 30$,
Ar 10, 10A, 10E 11,912 Area 10 5/1-5/31, closed; $6 / 1-6 / 30$ C\&R; 7/1-7/15, bag 2, ch NR; 7/16-8/31, bag 2, ch MSF, cm NR 8/1-8/31;
9/1-9/30, bag 2, ch NR, cm NR till 9/15;
10/1-1/31, bag 2, ch MSF; 2/1-4/30 closed; Inner Bay Clsd: 7/1-8/31; Shilshole clsd 7/1-8/31; Agate Fly Only $1 / 1-3 / 31$;
Outer E' Bay: 7/1-8/31 clsd, inner E'Bay 7/2-8/8 Fri-Sun bag 2, cm NR;
Sinc TAF, 7/1-9/30, bag 2, ch MSF, cm NR 8/1-9/15; Plers Yr-round, $2 / 1$ bag, cm NR $8 / 1-9 / 15$,
Area 11 12,906 Area 11 5/1-5/31 clsd; 6/1-6/30 2 bag, ch MSF; 7/1-9/30, bag 2, ch MSF; 10/1-10/31, 2 bag ;
11/1-12/31, $2 / 1$ bag; 1/1-1/31 elsd; 2/1-4/30, 2 bag, ch MSF. Commencement Bay closure: 6/1-7/31.
Piers Yr-Round: Dash Pt, Pt Def., Les Davis, Des Moines, \& Redondo, $2 / 1$ bag,

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Area 13 } & 1,917 \\ \text { Area 12 } & 1,516\end{array}$ | Piers Yr-Round: Dash Pt, Pt Def., Les Davis, Des Moines, \& Redondo, $2 / 1 \mathrm{bag}$, <br> Area $135 / 1-6 / 30,2 \mathrm{bag}$, ch MSF; 7/1-9/30, 2 bag, ch \& co MSF; 10/1-10/31, 2 bag, co MSF; <br> 11/1-12/31, $2 / 1$ bag; 1/1-4/30 bag $1 ;$ <br> Minter closed 4/16-9/30; Budd closures 7/16-10/31; Fox Island Yr-Round: $2 / 1$ bag, coho MSF 7/1-10/31 <br> Area 12 Entire Area: $5 / 1-6 / 30 \mathrm{clsd}$; So: Ayock 7/1-10/15, $4 \mathrm{bag} / 2 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{cmNR}$; <br> No. Ayock 7/1-8/31 clsd; 9/1-10/15, 4 co only; Entire Area: 10/16-12/31 bag 4/1; <br> 1/1-1/31 clsd; 2/1-4/30, 2 bag ck MSF; Hoodspor: 7/1-12/31, bag-4/2, <br> cm NR 7/1-10/15; Quilcene: $8 / 16-8 / 31$, bag -4 coho only . |
| :---: | :---: |
| PUGET SOUNLJUL-SEP | Oct-Apr TOTAL |
| JDF Net (4B/5/6 0 | 0 0 |
| Treaty 1,185 | 108 1,344 |
| SJl Net (7/7A) 1 |  |
| Treaty 4,717 | $31 \quad 4,747$ |
| $\begin{array}{rr} \text { B6/9Net NT } \\ \text { • Treaty } & 714 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| JDF Sockeye/Pink: | Trty (GN): wb $8 / 1-$ wb $9 / 5$ Schedule TBD by Fraser Panel |
| JDF Coho: | Trity (GN): wb 9/12-wb 10/3, 6-6-6-3 |
| JDF Chum: | Triy (GN): wb 10/10-wb 11/7, 3-3-6-6-6 |
| SJl Coho: | Ntry RN - Open $7 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{wk}$ from end of Fraser Panel control thru chum (wb 11/07), MSF co\&ch thru $9 / 30$ (ch 300 cap ), cm NR though $9 / 30$ |
| SJI Sockeye/Pink: | Ntrty (GN/PS/RN) Schedule TBD by Fraser Panel, modeled as wb B/01-wb 8/29 1-2-3-3-3, PS \& RN co \& ck NR |
|  | Triy (GN/PS) Schedule TBD by Fraser Panel, modeled as: wk 32 (wb 8/1)-wk 35 (wb 8/22) |
| SJI Chum: | Ntry (GN/PS) wk 42 (wb 10/10) 4 days ; wks 43-45 6-7-7-7; PS co \& ck NR all weeks, GN co \& ck NR week 42 |
| Tty (GN/PS): wk 42 (wb 10/10)-wk 48 (wb 11/21) |  |

Table 2. Exploitation rates and natural escapements of selected Puget Sound chinook stocks (MSF compatible).

| . | Wodel Prediction |  |  |  | Managementcritera |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | Total ER | SUS ER | SUS Preterm. ER |  | RER or CERC | Low Abundance Threstiold (natiosc.) |
| Spring/Early: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nooksack ( n ) | 19.7\% | 6.99\% | 1.8\% | - 439 | 7\%/9\% SUS a) | 2000 |
|  |  |  |  | - 297 | North Fork | 1000 |
|  |  |  |  | 142 | South Fork | 1000 |
| Skagit ( n ) | 27:0\% | 17.9\% | 6.9\% | 661 | 38\% Total; 18\% SUS | 576 |
|  |  |  |  | 304 | Upper Sauk | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | 197 | Upper Cascade | 170 |
|  |  |  |  | 159 | Suiattle | 170 |
| White | 19.3\% | 17.5\% | 3.7\% | 1453 | 20\% Total; 15\% SUS | 200 |
| Dungeness | 41.3\% | 4.2\% | 3.9\% | 535 | 10\% SUS; 6\%SUS | 500 |
| Summer/Fall: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skagit | 43.9\% | 13.1\% | 5.0\% | 12719 | 50\% Total: 17\%/15\% SUS (odd/even yeart 4800 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9558 | Upper Skagit | 2200 |
|  |  |  |  | 537 | Sauk | 400 |
|  |  |  |  | 1759 | Lower Skagit | 900 |
| Stillaguamish (n) | 15.8\% | 9.78\% | 6.4\% | -685 | 25\% Total; 15\% SUS | 700 |
|  |  |  |  | 528 | North Fork Summers | 500 |
|  |  |  |  | 158 | South Fork Falls | 200 |
| Snohomish ( n ) | 20.3\% | 11.8\% | 9.0\% | 7835 | 21\% Total; 15\% SUS. | 2800 |
|  |  |  |  | 4653 | Skykomish | 1745 |
|  |  |  |  | 3182 | Snoqualmie | 521 |
| Lake Wa: (Cedar R.) | 35.8\% | - 17.5\% | 9.2\% | 1349 | 20\% SUS; 10\%Preterm SUS | 200 |
| Green | 46.7\% | 28.9\% | 9.0\% | 5802 | 15\% Preterm SUS; 12\% Preterm SUS | 1800 |
| Puyallup | 49.96\% | 32.0\% | 9.0\% | 1428. | 50\% Total; 12\% Preterm SUS | 500 |
| Nisqually | 64.4\% | 47.7\% | 17.1\% | 2983 | 65\% Total |  |
| Western Strait-Hoko | 22.8\% | 4.1\% | 4.1\% | 1781 | 10\% SUS; 6\% SUS | 500 |
| Elwha | 40.9\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 1261 | 10\% SUS; 6\% SUS | 1000 |
| Mid-Hood Canal tribs. (n) | 28.7\% | 12.0\% | 11.69\%. | 138 | 15\% Preterm SUS; 12\% Preterm SUS | 400 nat 1300 tot |
| Skokomish | 49.8\% | 33.2\% | 11.9\% | 1592 | 50\% Total, 12\% Preterm SUS | 800 nat/1300 tot |

[^0][^1]Chin1010final2010.xls 4/21/2010 2:30 PM

TABLE 1: DESCRIPTION OF FISHERY REGULATIONS AND SUMMARY OF COHO CATCH TARGETS
Fishery Regulation Assessment Model Run Number.
Run Description: $\quad$ PFMC \#2: NOF B0K; SOF 26K; 41.5K TT
pacts expressed as total fishery-related mortality, including landed catch, non-retention mortality, and other
nery-related mortality, except where noted.

| Fishery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CANADIAN (B.C.) FISHERIES: |
| WCVI Troll |
| Area 20 Net |

## PUGET SOUND NET:

JDF 4B/5/6C Net NTty
S.ll 6/7/7A Net NTriy

Trty

JDF Sockeye/Pink:
JDF Coho:
JDF Chum:
Trty (GN): wb 9/12-wb 10/3, 6-6-6-3
Try (GN): wb 10/10-wb 11/7, 3-3-6-6-6
Ntry RN - Open $7 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{wk}$ from end of Fraser Panel control thru chum (wb 11/07), MSF co\&ch thru 9/30 (ch 300 cap), cm NR though 9/30 Ntrty (GN/PS/RN) Schedule TBD by Fraser Panel, modeled as wb 8/01-wb 8/29 1-2-3-3-3, PS \& RN co \& ck NR Trty (GNPS) Schedule TBD by Fraser Panel, modeled as: wk 32 (wb 8/1) - wk 35 (wb B/22).
SJI Coho:
SJI Sockeye/Pink:
II Chum: Ntry (GN/PS) wk 42 (wb 10/10) 4 days; wks 43-46 6-7-7-7; PS co \& ck NR all weeks, GN co \& ck NR week 42 Trty (GN/PS): wk 42 (wb 10/10) - wk 48 (wb 11/21)

Comment: Sept landed GN catch for NonTreaty $6 / 7 / 7$ A Net modeled as NonRetention mortality to allow RN landed to be modeled as MSF.

ABLE 2A: COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS
stimeted fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
04/20/10 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { RAM Run Number: } & \text { cobo1016 } \\ \text { un Description: } & \text { PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 26K; } 41.5 \mathrm{KT}\end{array}$
ppacis are expressed as total lishery-related mortality, incl. landed caleh, non-retention mort, and olher fishery-relaled mort. Trly/NonTty splils are NOT based on CWT recovery data.

| FISHEPY | ALL STOCKS MORTALITY Marked UnMarked Total |  |  | Wild Marked UnMarked |  |  | Total | STILLY <br> Wild | SNOHOM Wild | STILLAGUAMISH/SNOHOMISH Marked UnMarked Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rojected Spawning Escapement | - |  |  | 60,321 | 4,755 | 51,136 | 65,891 | 16,289 | 67,458 | 13,017 | 86,387 | 99,404 |
| pawning Low/Normal Esc. Breakpoint | , |  |  | 25,000 |  |  |  | 10,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  |
| rojected Exploitation Rate (all fisheries) |  |  |  | 37.4\% |  |  |  | 37.4\% | 32.4\% |  |  |  |
| xplotation Rate Ceiling |  |  |  | 60\% |  |  |  | 50\% | 40\% |  |  |  |
| xploination in Southem U.S. Fisheries |  | - |  | 37\% |  |  |  | 37\% | 32\% |  |  |  |
| :ANADIAN | 17,337 | 508,349 | 525,686 | 614 | 203 | 622 | 825 | 99 | 387 | 343 | 509 | 852 |
| LASKA | 96,598 | 2,139,553 | 2,236,161 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% of Falcon Troll | 3,631 | 4,578 | 8,209 | 29 | 2 | 29 | 31 | 9 | 30 | 5. | 40 | 45 |
| \% of Falcon Sport | 28,338. | 11,035 | 39,373 | 33 | 13 | 33 | 46 | 11 | 40 | 37 | 52 | 89 |
| IORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN: reaty Troll | 21,866 | 22,75B | 44,624 | 3,544 | 270 | - 3,590 | 3,860 | 632 | 2,432 | 463 | 3,194 | 3,657 |
| IT Troll N. Leadbir | 7,924 | 3,786 | '11,710 | 304 | 51 | 309 | 360 | 91 | 348 | 115 | 458 | 573 |
| IT Troll S. Leadbitr | 8,700 | 2,433 | 11,133 | 96 | 14 | 98 | 112 | 27 | 102 | 31 | 134 | 165 |
| Sport Area 1 | 35,491 | 3,760 | 39,251 | 35 | 13 | 36 | 49 | 7 | 27 | - 25 | 36 | 61 |
| , Buoy 10 | 12,625 | 1,451 | 14,076 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 2 | 26,400 | 4,042 | 30,442 | 158 | 55 | 160 | 215 | 34 | 131 | 115 | 172 | 287 |
| Area 3 | 1,839 | 330 | 2,169 | 30 | 11 | 30 | 41 | 9 | 35. | 32 | 47 | 79 |
| * Area 4* | 7,321 | 1,556. | 8,877 | 346 | 122 | 350 | 472 | 53 | 202 | 161 | 266 | 427 |
| UGET SOUND: <br> reaty Troll | 331 | 519 | 850 | 72 | 6 | 72 | 78 | . 44 | 168 | 31 | 220 | 251 |
| iport Areas 5 | 25,605 | 9,757 | 35,363 | 2,101 | 499 | 2,128 | -2,627 | 439 | 1,690 | 863 | 2,219 | 3,082 |
| Area 6 | B92 | 244 | 1,136 | 69 | 24 | 70 | 94 | 10 | 39 | 37 | 51 | 88 |
| Area 7 | 417 | 398 | 815 | 57 | 12 | 57 | 69 | 6 | 22 | 8 | 28 | 36 |
| Area 8-1,2 | 730 | 3,013 | 3,743 | 364 | 27 | 369 | 396 | 433 | 1,977 | 269 | 2,487 | 2,756 |
| Area 9 | 5,963 | 6,195 | 12,159 | 1,633 | 122 | 1,654 | 1,776 | 372 | 1,429 | 252 | 1,875 | 2,127 |
| Area 12 | 1,375 | 1,308 | 2,683 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 10 | 4,872 | 2,185 | 7,057. | 352 | 27 | 356 | 383 | 32 | 122 | 23 | 160 | 183 |
| Area 11 | 2,659 | 1,161 | 3,820 | 54 | 4 | 55 | 59 | - 16 | 62 | 11 | 82 | 93 |
| Area 13 | 983 | 125 | 1,108 | 12 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Freshwater Sport | n/a | n/a | n/a | 3,385 | nfa | nla | 4,165 | 752 | 2,681 | 205 | 3,513 | 3,718 |
| 're-teminal net | 654 | 741 | 1,395 | 84 | 6 | 85 | 91 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 17 | 19 |
| 6/7/7A Triy | 986 | 871 | 1,857 | 71 | 5 | 72 | 77 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| 4B/5/6C NTity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4B/5/6C Try | 2,610 | 3,306 | 5,916 | 629 | 47 | 637 | 684 | 134 | 514 | 94 | 675 | 769 |
| 6B/E NTrty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6B/9 Triy | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| "emminal net Skagit Bay (8) NTrty | 0 | $D$ | $0$ |  |  | . 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0$ |
| Skagit Bay (8) NTrty Skagit Bay (8) Triy | 0 136 |  | 150 | 1,368 | 125 | .0 1,389 | 1,514 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| Skagit Bay (8) Trty Area BA Ntry | 136 259 | 1,404 34 | 1,540 293 | 1,368 6 | 125 0 | 1,389 6 | 1,514 6 | 2 5 | 7 21 | 1 5 | 9 27 | 32 |
| Area 8A Tity | 3,273 | 12,328 | 15,601 | 2,554 | 190 | 2,587 | 2,777 | 1,664 | 7,161 | 2,096 | 9,367 | 11,463 |
| Hood Canal NTrty | 2,847 | 1,113 | 3,950 | 8 | Q | 8 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 19 |
| Hood Canal Tity | 11,999 | 6,111 | 18,110 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 7 | 30 | 5 | 39 | 44 |
| South Pgi Snd NTity | 382 | 164 | 546 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| South Pgt Snd Trty | 34,754 | 5,200 | 39,954 | 213 | 16 | 215 | 231 | 52 | 201 | 36 | 264 | 300 |
| Bham Bay(78) Nity | 3,401 | 1,425 | 4,826 | 174 | 13 |  | 13 | 4 | 16 | - 3 | 22 | 25 |
| Bham Bay(7B) Triy | 10,851 | 4,487 | 15,338 | 537 | 39 | 543 | 582 | 13 | 49 | 8 | 64 | 72 |
| -ocal Extreme Terminal Net Nontreaty | Refer to TAMMs for individual stocks |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 128 | 489 | - 252 | 677 | 929 |
| Treaty |  |  |  | 15,829 | 851 | 15,970 | 16,821 | 4,620 | 11,913 | 6,291 | 18,038 | 24,329 |
| . Test |  |  |  | 1,232 | 123 | 1,256 | 1,379 | - $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |  |  |  |

Area 4 Sport numbers include $4 B$ add-on, If any, and a number of fish caught on Canadian licenses in areas 4 and $4 B$.
-RAM assumes that there are no changes in the relative exploitation rates of model stocks estirnated from the base period (1986-91). The possibility exists
hat with the changes to the structure of a fishery the relative exploitation rates of the stock may change as well, though an anlysis of the data has yet to be done.

| 2ECAPITULATION OF IMPACTS ACCOUNTING: | WILD | TOTAL | WILD | WILD | TOTAL . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vontreaty Total Wild Impacts: | 9,350 | 11,049 | 2,447 | 9,500 | 14,846 |
| Treaty Total Wild Impacts: | 24,839 | - 26,648 | 7,170 | 22,482 | 40,905 |
| Imi NT above (or below) T: | $(15,489)$ | $(15,599)$ | $(4,723)$ | (12,982) | (25,060) |
| Vontreaty Wild Impacts w/o SOF: | 9,28B | 10,972 | 2,427 | 9,430 | 14,712 |
| Treaty Wild Impacts w/o SOF: | 24,839 | 26,648 | 7,170 | 22,482 | 40,906 |
| Amt NT above (or below) T w/o SOF: | $(15,551)$ | $(15,676)$ | (4,743) | $(13,052)$ | $(26,194)$ |

TABLE 2B: COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS
Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:

| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 04 / 20 / 10 \\ & 04: 05 \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | FRAM Run Number.

## coho1016

1 04:05 PM
R ' esćription:
PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 26K; 41.5K TT
a expressed as total fishery-reiated mortality, Incl. fanded catch, non-relenilon mort, and other fishery-related mort. TryNNonTty splits are NOT based on CWT recovery data.

| FISHERY | HOOD CANAL |  |  |  | JUAN DE FUCA TRIBUTARIES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wild | Marked | UnMarked | Total | Wild | Marked | UnMarked | Total |
| Projected Spawning Escapement | 18,985, | 10,834 | 20,806 | 31,640 | 7,533 | 2,216 | 8,573 | 10,789 |
| Spawning Low/Normal Esc. Breakpoint | 14,350 |  |  | 31,640 | 11,000 |  |  | 10,789 |
| Projected Exploitation Rate (all isheries) | 43.04\% |  |  |  | 11.17\% |  |  |  |
| Exploitition Rate Ceiling | 45\% |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  |  |
| Exploitation in Southern U.S. Fisheries | 42\% |  |  |  | 10.00\% |  |  |  |

## CANADIAN

ALASKA
S. of Falcon Troil
S. of Falcoin Sport

NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN:
Treaty Troll
NT Troll N. Leadbitr
NT Troll S. Leadbttr
PUGET SOUND:
Treaty Troll
Sport:


Pre-ferminal net:


Total 14344

| 231 |
| ---: |
| 8 |
| 18 |
| 19 |

TABLE 2C: COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number:

[^2]mpacts are expressed as total fishery-related mortallty, Incl. landed catch, non-retenlion mort, and other fishery-relatad mort. Tity/NonTity spills are NOT based on CWT recovery data

| FISHERY | QUIL FALL. Wild | HOH Willd | QUILLAY <br> Marked | TE FALL H\& UnMarked | Total | Willd | Suppl. | ETS <br> Hatchery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Ocean Escapement | 20,518 | 6,462 | 13,501 | 22,757 | 38,258 | 17,059 | 0 | 8,038 |
| Spawning Escapement Objective | 6,300 | 2,000 |  |  |  | 5,800 |  |  |
| Projected Marine Exploitation Rate | 6\% | 14\% |  |  |  | 15\% |  |  |
| Projected Exploitation Rate (all fisherles, | 51\% | 53\% |  |  |  | 48\% |  |  |
| Exploitalion in Soulhem U.S. marine Fisheries | 5\% | 13\% |  |  |  | 14\% |  |  |
| CANADIAN | . 72 | 102 | 94 | - 78 | 172 | 239 | 0 | 324 |
| ALASKA | 16 | 29 | 11 | 17 | 28 | 38 | 0 | 20 |
| S. of Falcon Troll | 45 | 23 | 30 | 49 | 79 | 88 | 0 | 48 |
| S. of Falcon Sport. | 34 | 22 | 99 | 36 | 135 | 131 | 0 | 270 |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN: <br> Treaty Troll | 587 | 538 | 388 | 629 | 1,017 | 1,135 | 0 | 583 |
| NT Troll N. Leadbttr | 243 | 81 | 287 | 269 | 558 | 450 | 0 | 373 |
| NT Troll S. Leadbttr | 53 | 42 | 87 | 58 | 145 | 147 | 0 | 152 |
| Coastal terminal area "dip-ins" | 134 | 54 | 92 | 149 | 241 | 1,823 | 0 | 827 |
| Sport: Area 1 | 38 | 18 | 125 | 42 | 167 | 125 | 0 | 283 |
| Buoy 10 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Area 2 | 73 | 79 | 242 | 81 | 323 | 345 | 0 | 889 |
| Area 3 | 12 . | 12 | 39 | 13 | 52 | 32 | 0 | 63. |
| Area $4 *$ | 26 | 21 | 85 | 29 | 114 | 60 | 0 | 131 |
| PUGET SOUND CATCHES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Troll | 1 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sport: Areas 5 | 71 | 51 | 181 | 78 | 259 | 100 | 0 | 152 |
| Area 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Areas 7-13 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Nontreaty Net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| Treaty Net | 32 | 21 | 21 | 36 | 57 | 95 | 0 | 48 |
| LOCAL TERMINAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nontreaty Net | - | - | -- | - | - | - | . - | - |
| Treaty Net | 8455 | 2463 | $\longrightarrow>$ | $\longrightarrow$ | 14958 | 4797 | 0 | 4174 |
| Sport | 1402 | 457 | $\rightarrow>$ | —> | 3083 | 886 | 0 | 422 |

Area 4.Sport numbers include 4B add-on, If any, and a number of fish caught on Canadan |lcenses in areas 4 and 4 B .

TABLE 2D: COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS
Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number:
R1י-Description:
PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 26K; 41.5K TI
ishery-related mortality, incl. landed catch, non-retention murt, and other fishery-related mort. Try/NonTriy spltts are NOT based on CWT recovery data


| FISHERY | SOUTH PUGET SOUND |  |  |  | NOOKSACK-SAMISH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projerted Spawning Escaperent | Wild | Marked | UnMarked | Total | Wild | Marked | UnMarked | Total |
| Projected Spawning Escapement | 8,686 n/a | 48,122 | 13,400 | $61,522$ | $3,923$ | 12,617 | 4,454 | 17,071 |
| Projected Exploitation Rate (all fisheries) | 66\% |  |  |  | 59\% |  |  |  |
| Exploitation in Southem U.S. Fisheries | 66\% |  |  |  | 59\% |  |  |  |

CANADIAN
ALASKA
S. of Falcon Troll
S. of Falcon Sport
NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN:
Treaty Troll

## NT Troll N. Leadbttr

NT Troll S. Leadbttr

PUGET SOUND:
Treaty Troll
Sport:

Pre-terminal net:

Terminal net:

* Area 4 Sport numbers include 4B add-on, if any, and a number of fish caught on Canadian licenses in areas 4 and 4B.
RECAPITULATION OF WILD IMPACTS ACCOUNTING:


TABLE 4: SUMMARY OF COHO EXPLOITATION RATES BY FISHERY AGGREGATE
Predicted Exploitation Rates for Total Fishery-Related Mortality
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { FRAM Run Number: } & \text { Coho1016 } \\ \text { Run Pescription: } & \text { PFMC \#2: NOF BOK; SOF 2EK; } 41.5 \mathrm{~K} \text { TT } \\ \text { NOTE: Landed catch plus all ishery-related mortality - Not AEQ Expl. Rate!! }\end{array}$


* Model-predicted Escapement for Washington Coastal stock aggregates represenis run entering the rivers or
"Ocean Escapement," and does not represént all exploitation for coastal stocks!
**Puget Sound Area 6B/9 Net is apportioned using agreed Run Reconstruction apportionnent rather than FRAM CWT-based projections of stock impacts
tnotes: $\quad 11$ From TAMM Tables 2; excludes freshwater sport
12 Puget Sound Stocks From TAMM (includes freshwater sport); Coastal stocks escapement = "run entering river" from FRAM
13 "Total Fishery-related Mortality Plus Escapement" (does not incude natural moriality) or
For Coastal Stocks: Pre-River Mortality Plus Rum Entering River (does not include natural escapement)
14 This is NOT AEQ Exploitation Rate because natural mortally is not includedl See Table 2 for all-ishery ERs for coastal stocks.
15 Sum of exploitation rates for Southem U.S. only. SoF, OCean 1-4, Col. R., constal harbors, Puget Sound


11 Note: 4B Run sizes differ from exact Status Report frame of reference because Area 6B/9 impacts are accounted for as per FRAM CWT-based stock impacts.
21 RR_Term compatible $\quad$ PS Runsize: 526,575
OTHER WA-CA RUNSIZES: . all Truns values

|  | Ocean Escapement |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Terminal Area | All w/ dip Ins |  |  | Local Wild |
| Quillayute | 41,693 | 23,126 | Local Hatchery |  |
| Hoh | 7,232 | 6,567 |  |  |
| Queets | 6,462 | 0 |  |  |
| Quinault | 25,788 | 17,059 | 8,038 |  |
| $\quad$ ᄀys Harbor | 39,219 | 14,975 | 22,014 |  |
| 3pa Bay |  | 89,740 | 61,903 | 27,447 |


| Stock/Area |  | Ocean Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quillayute Summer Hatchery |  | 2,826 |
| Quillayute Summer Natural |  | 2,608 |
| Columbia Early (after B10) |  | 176,742 |
| Columbia Late (after B10) |  | 96,707 |
|  | Total | 273,449 |
| Oregon N. Coast Natural |  | 20,981. |
| Oregon N. Mid Coast Nat. |  | 42,330 |
| Oregon S. Mid Coast Nat. |  | 70,328 |
|  | Total | 133,639 |

## TABLE C: COLUMBIA RIVER COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY

Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number:
coho1016
Run Description:
PFMC \#2: NOF BOK; SOF $26 \mathrm{~K} ; 41.5 \mathrm{~K}$ TT
Impacts are expressed as total fishery-related mortality, incl. landed catchi, non-retention mort, and other fishery-related mort.

| FISHERY | Columbia Early |  |  | Columbia Late |  |  | CombinedMarked :Uninarked, |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marked | UnMarked | Tota! | Marked | UnMarked | Total |  |  |  |
| Ocean Escapement (afier B1D) | 113,509 | 63,233 | 176,742 | 72,721 | 23,986 | 96,707 | 186,230 | 87,219 | 273,449 |
| Marine Exploitation Rate | 35.1\% | 11.7\% | 28.3\% | 38.0\% | 13.8\% | 33.4\% | 36.3\% | 12.3\% | 30.2\% |
| Marine ER to Col R before B10 (PFMC Pre Rpts) | 29.3\% | 10.1\% | 23.7\% | 36.5\% | 13.5\% | 32.1\% | 32.2\% | 11.0\% | 26.8\% |
| PFMC Ocean Fisheries Exploitation Rate | 28.7\% | 9.9\% | 23.2\% | 35.4\% | 13.0\% | 31.1\% | 31.4\% | 10.8\% | 26.2\% |
| Exploitation in Southem U.S. marine Fisheries | 34.8\% | 11.6\% | 28.1\% | 37.7\% | 13.9\% | 33.2\% | 36.0\% | 12.3\% | 30.0\% |
| TOTALER | 51.9\% | 14.7\% | 41.1\% | 42.9\% | 20.5\% | 38.6\% | 48.3\% | 16.3\% | 40.2\% |
| CANADIAN | 454 | 27 | 481 | 343 | 19 | 362 | 797 | 45 | 843 |
| ALASKA |  | 1 |  | 0 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| S. of Falcon Troll, | 2023 | 849 | 2872 | 721 | 178 | 899 | 2,744 | 1,027 | 3,771 |
| S. of Falcon Sport | 16969 | 1746 | 18715 | 5967 | 354 | 6321 | 22,936 | 2,100 | 25,036 |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Troll | 2827 | 1357 | 4184 | 3148 | 901 | 4049 | 5,975 | 2,258 | 8,233 |
| NT Troll N. Leadbtr | 1527 | 314 | 1841 | 1467 | 182 | 1649 | 2,994 | 496 | 3,490 |
| NT Troll S. Leadbitr | 2589 | 512 | 3101 | 3921 | 499 | 4420 | 6,510 | 1,011 | 7,521 |
| Sport Area 1 | 15326 | 1441 | 16767 | 15724 | 878 | 16602 | 31,050 | 2,319 | 33,369 |
| Buoy 10 | 10113 | 1144 | 11257 | 1759 | 125 | 1884 | 11,872 | 1,269 | 13,141 |
| Area 2 | 8150 | 789 | 8939 | 9163 | 544 | 9707 | 17,313 | 1,333 | 18,646 |
| Area 3 | 369 | 36 | 405 | 503 | 28 | 531 | 872 | 64 | 936 |
| Area $4 *$ | 425 | 40 | 465 | 947 | 50 | 997 | 1,372 | 9 b | 1,462 |
| PUGET SOUND CATCHES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Troll | 3 | 1 | 4 | 73 | 18 | 91 | 76 | 19 | 95 |
| Sport Areas 5-13 | 483 | 48 | 531 | 709 | 54 | 763 | 1,192 | 102 | 1,294. |
| Nontreaty Net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trealy Net | 42 | 19 | 61 | 36 | 9 | 45 | 78 | 28 | 105 |
| COASTAL CATCHES:' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bay Sport | 19 | 10 | 29 | 43 | 13 | 56 | 62 | 23 | 85 |
| Nontreaty Net | 50 | 26 | 76 | 115 | 36 | 151 | 165 | 62 | 227 |
| Treaty Net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOWER COLUMBIA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainstem Nontreaty Net | 3573 | 1860 | 5433 | 5608 | 1816 | 7424 | 9181 | 3676 | 12857 |
| SAFE | 25281 | 258 | 25538 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25281 | 258 | 25538 |
| Sport | 581 | 28 | 609 | 127 | 3 | 130 | 708 | 31. | 739 |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Abundance | 174,880 | 71,593 | 246,473 | 117,360 | 27,874 | 145,234 | 292,240 | 99,467 | 391,707 |

Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number:
Run Description:
coho1016
PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 26K; 41.5K TT
Impacts are expressed as total fishery-related mortality, incl. landed catch, non-retention mort., and other fishery-related morl

| FISHERY | Oregon Nat | WA Early Nat | WA Late Nat | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ocean Escapement (after B10) <br> Marine Exploitation Rate <br> Marine ER to Col R before B10 (PFMC Pre Rpts) <br> PFMC Ocean Fisheries ER <br> PFMC' InRivers Ers (incl B10) <br> PFMC TOTAL ER <br> ER in So. U.S. Marine Fisheries <br> TOTAL ER ALL FISHERIES | 6,393 $11.5 \%$ $10.1 \%$ $9.9 \%$ $4.4 \%$ $14.33 \%$ $11.5 \%$ $14.5 \%$ | 2,451 $11.5 \%$ $10.1 \%$ $9.9 \%$ $4.4 \%$ $14.34 \%$ $11.5 \%$ $14.5 \%$ | 4,414 $13.9 \%$ $13.5 \%$ $12.9 \%$ $7.0 \%$ $19.92 \%$ $13.9 \%$ $20.5 \%$ | 13,258 $12.3 \%$ $11.243 \%$ $10.96 \%$ $5.27 \%$ $16.23 \%$ $12.3 \%$ $16.51 \%$ |
| CANADIAN <br> ALASKA <br> S. of Falcon Troll <br> S. of Falcon Sport | $\cdot$ 1 <br> $\cdot$ 0 <br> . 82 <br>  166 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 32 \\ 63 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 0 \\ 32 \\ 65 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 0 \\ 146 \\ 294 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN: <br> Treaty Troll <br> NT Troll N. Leadbttr <br> NT Troll S. Leadbttr <br> Sport: Area 1 <br> Buoy 10 <br> Area 2 <br> Area 3 <br> Area 4 * |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \\ 13 \\ 20 \\ 56 \\ 39 \\ 30 \\ 2 \\ .2 \end{array}$ | 166 33 92 162 23 100 5 9 | 370 82 164 365 163 209 11 16 |
| PUGET SOUND CATCHES: <br> Treaty Troll <br> Sport: Areas 5-13 <br> Nontreaty Net <br> Treaty Net | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 2 0 1 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ -10 \\ . \quad 0 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 17 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| COASTAL CATCHES: <br> Bay Sport <br> Nontreaty Net <br> Treaty Net | 1 <br> 3 <br> 0 | 0 1 0 | 2 7 0 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| LOWER COLUMBIA: <br> Mainstem Nontreaty Net <br> SAFE <br> Sport | 188 26 3 | 72 10 1 | 334 0 1 | 594 36 4 |

Table OR. Total mortality and exploitation rates for OCN and Rogue/Klamath

Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number
Run Description:
coho1016
PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 26K; 41.5K TT

| Fishery Area | OR Coastal Natural <br> Total Mort <br> Exp. Rt |  |  | Rogue/Klam. H Unmrkd Total Mort Exp. Rt. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska all | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| BC all | 171 | 0:1\% |  | 3 | 0.0\% |
| Puget Sound/Straits | 156 | 0.1\% |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| North of Falcon: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Troll: : Treaty | 963 | 0.6\% | . | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Nontreaty | 683 | 0.5\% |  | 1 | 0.0\% |
| Sport: | 1132 | 0.8\% |  | 5 | 0.0\% |
| - Buoy 10 | 94 | 0.1\% |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| South of Falcon: |  |  | . |  |  |
| Troll: Tillink |  | 0.1\% |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Newprt | 645 | 0.4\% |  | 4 | 0.0\% |
| Coos B | 660 | 0.4\% | , | 11 | 0.1\% |
| Brookngs | 60 | 0.0\% |  | 9 | 0.1\% |
| CaKMZ | 157 | 0.1\% |  | 35 | 0.3\% |
| Ft Bragg | 872 | 0.6\% |  | 158 | 1.5\% |
| So. Calif | . 86 | 0.1\% |  | 7 | 0.1\% |
| Sport: Tillmk | 719 | 0.5\% |  | 2 | 0.0\% |
| Newprt | 944. | 0.6\% |  | 3 | 0.0\% |
| Coos B | 1942 | 1.3\% |  | 29 | 0.3\% |
| Brookngs | 489 | 0.3\% |  | 80 | 0.7\% |
| CaKMZ | 1293 | 0.9\% |  | 439 | 4.1\% |
| Ft Bragg | 958 | 0.6\% | . | 167 | 1.5\% |
| So. Calif | 582 | 0.4\% |  | 103 | 1.0\% |
| Freshwater | 3860 | 2.6\% |  | 25 | 0.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AK to CA total | 16599 | 11.2\% |  | 1081 | 10.0\% |
| Escapement | 132105 |  |  | 9699 |  |

TABLE T: THOMPSON AND UPPER FRASER COHO FISHERY IMPACT SUMMARY
Estimated fishery impacts from regulations described by the following FRAM run:
FRAM Run Number:
cohot016
Run Description: . PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF $26 \mathrm{~K} ; 41.5 \mathrm{~K}$ TT
Impacts are expressed as total fishery-related mortality, incl. landed catch, non-retention mort., and other fishery-related mortality.

| FISHERY | Upper Fraser Wild Wild |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Escapement | 19,580 |  |
| Projected Pre-Terminal Exploitation Rate | 11.1\% |  |
| Exploitation in U.S. Fisheries | 9.83\% |  |
|  | Mortality | Expl. Rate |
| CANADIAN (marine) | 227 | 1.0\% |
| ALASKA | 47 | 0.2\% |
| SOUTH OF FALCON \& COL R | 25 | 0.1\% |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON OCEAN: |  |  |
| Treaty Troll Area 2 | 14 | 0.1\% |
| Treaty Troll Area 3 | 8 | 0.0\% |
| Treaty Troll Area 4 | 894 | 4.1\% |
| NT Troll Area 1 | 6 | 0.0\% |
| NT Troll . Area 2 | 25 | 0.1\% |
| NT Troll Area 3 | 42 | 0.2\% |
| NT Troll . Area 4 | 11 | 0.0\% |
| NT Sport Buoy 10 \& Area 1 | 13 | 0.1\% |
| NT Sport Area 2 | 45 | 0.2\% |
| NT Sport Area 3 | 5 | 0.0\% |
| NT Sport Area 4* | 68 | 0.3\% |
| PUGET SOUND: |  |  |
| JDF Troll and Net | 56 | 0.3\% |
| SJI 6/7/7A NT Net | 35 | 0.2\% |
| SJl 6/7/7A T Net | 2 | 0.0\% |
| Sport: Area 5 | 478 | 2.2\% |
| Area 6 | 9 | 0.0\% |
| Area 7 | 66 | 0.3\% |
| Area 8-13 | 23 | 0.1\% |
| Puget Sound Terminal net | 340 | 1.5\% |
| WA Extreme Terminal Net \& FW Sport | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total: | 2439 | 11.1\% |

* Area 4 Sport numbers include 4 B add-on, if any, and a number of fish caught on Canadian licenses in areas 4 and 4B.


[^0]:    SRFI $=0.440 \quad(0.70$ ceiling $)$
    Lower Col Nat Tule ER $=0.375 \quad(0.38$ ceiling)

[^1]:    a: Expected SUS rate will not exceed $7 \%$ in 4 out of 5 years
    FRAM Description:
    FRAM Run Number:
    2010 Final Chinook
    1010

[^2]:    FRAM Run Number:
    Run Descriptlon:
    Run Descriptlon: PFMC \#2: NOF 80K; SOF 28K; 41.5K TT

