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## INTRODUCTION

In the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a ,WDFW 2008a), markselective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2016 fishing season, summer Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for fourteen consecutive seasons, in Areas 9, 11, and 13 for ten consecutive seasons and in Area 12 for five consecutive seasons, Area 7 for its first season and a resumption of MSFs in 10. Additionally, winter Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for eleven consecutive seasons, in Areas 7, and 9 for nine consecutive seasons, in Areas 11 and 12 for seven consecutive seasons, in Area 6 for four seasons and in Area 5 for its second season ${ }^{2}$.

During the 2016 summer season (May through September), WDFW implemented six markselective Chinook fisheries in Areas 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13. The Chinook MSF seasons in each area were scheduled as follows:

- Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2016;
- Area 7 from July 1 through July 31, 2016;
- Area 9 from July 16 through August 15, 2016;
- Area 10 from July 16 through August 15, 2016;
- Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2016;
- Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2016; and
- Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2016.

[^0]Consistent with the 2004 (and 2010 update) Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004 and 2010), a key goal of implementing each of these Chinook MSFs has been to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program in Areas 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 to collect the data needed to evaluate each Chinook MSF and its impact on unmarked salmon. Through state-tribal agreement (WDFW and NWIFC 2015), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating MSFs:
i) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population
ii) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and markstatus [marked or unmarked] group)
iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group)
iv) the coded-wire tag- (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{3}$
v) the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks

In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In July 2010, technical staffs from the WDFW Puget Sound Sampling Unit, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC), and Puget Sound Treaty Tribes met to discuss potential reporting efficiencies in WDFW's Chinook MSF post-season reports. NWIFC and tribal representatives had initiated the idea for such a meeting, considering that WDFW had been submitting a separate post-season report for each area and season (since 2003) to the comanagers, resulting in redundancies between individual reports, particularly in the Methods section. Also, over the years we kept adding sections to the selective fishery annual reports, in response to individual tribal co-manager requests, and sustained those additions in each future report, resulting in ever-lengthening post-season reports. From both the WDFW and tribal technical perspectives, we needed to prioritize the most essential reporting elements and achieve efficiencies to streamline the selective fishery reporting work load.

WDFW and tribal staffs worked to prioritize the most essential elements (tables, figures and appendices) needed in WDFW's annual post-season MSF reports in an effort to define reporting

[^1]efficiencies. Based on these decisions (details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing the July 2010 meeting), we began implementing reporting efficiencies starting with the winter 2009-10 Chinook MSF post-season report and continuing thereafter.

At the July 2010 meeting we also agreed that a key efficiency in the annual reporting process would be for WDFW staff to produce a centralized Methods Report. The Methods Report would be a stand-alone document that includes the details of each area's Chinook MSF study design (for both winter and summer fisheries), sampling procedures, data analysis methods, and all equations used to generate estimates and variances. Thus, we refer the reader to our Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for detailed descriptions of the diverse study designs and protocols used to monitor and evaluate the Chinook MSFs in Areas 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 during summer 2016.

In the following pages, we report the results generated through our monitoring activities during the summer 2016 Chinook MSFs. We report results based on our more efficient reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present summer 2016 Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 6) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; ii) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; iv) total fishery Chinook encounters and impacts-estimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with pre-season expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); v) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; vi) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's summer mark-selective Chinook fishery.

## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 5 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a thirteenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 from July 1 through August 15, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 5 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. During the summer 2016 mark-selective Chinook fishery in Area 5 we maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 5. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data. Table 1.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-the-water Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | 2 weekend boat surveys and 1 weekday survey were conducted during the 2016 Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. As inseason observations suggested that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2016 compared to past years, we incorporated data from these surveys into recent average site weights to compute catch and effort estimates. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=$ $14 \%, \mathrm{LU}=5 \%, \mathrm{SM}=58 \%, \mathrm{SU}=23 \%$; Table 1.4) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates.[GTJ(1] |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 963 | 71 | 1,034 |
| Unmarked | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 6}$ |

Table 1.4 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data <br> Source | Effort and <br> Sample <br> Size | Legal |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Overall | Legal |
| :---: |
| Private <br> VTR |
| 108 1-trip <br> VTRs, 222 <br> Angler <br> Trips |

As no test fishery was conducted in the Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery, we focused our efforts on increasing the return rate of VTRs and thus, the sample size of fish encountered by recreational fishers. This year we received 108 VTRs, accounting for 222 angler trips during the 1.5 month fishery. We used these data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 1.5 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,578 | 3,113 | 465 | 70 | 3,183 | 94,718 | 308 | $2,580-3,786$ | 10 |
| Legal UM | 1,250 | 2 | 1,248 | 187 | 190 | 1,810 | 43 | $106-273$ | 22 |
| Sublegal AD | 15,132 | 230 | 14,903 | 2981 | 3,210 | 187,064 | 433 | $2,362-4,058$ | 13 |
| Sublegal UM | 6,122 | 0 | 6,122 | 1,224 | 1,224 | 36,598 | 191 | $849-1,599$ | 16 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 0 , 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 9 8 - 8 , 9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Draft; January 28, 2017

Table 1.6 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovere d | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser- Thompson River (4.8\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (2.9\%) | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | 3 (2.9\%) | 0 |
| WA | Northern Washington (4.8\%) | Kendall Cr 01.0406 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 3 (2.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Washington Coast (1\%) | Tsoo-Yess R 20.0015 | Makah Nfh On Tsoo-Yess R | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | Strait of Juan De Fuca (6.7\%) | Hoko R 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 7 (6.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (26.7\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (13.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (13.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
|  | N Puget Sound (5.7\%) | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 4 (3.8\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (2.9\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | County Line Cr3.2363 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (19\%) | White R 10.0031 | White River Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 5 (4.8\%) | 5 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (12.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | SPuget Sound (9.5\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 7 (6.7\%) | 7 |
|  |  | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
| Col. Riv | Upper Columbia R (1.9\%) | Columbia Near Wells | Wells Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chief Joseph Hatchery | Chief Joseph Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | Central Columbia River (1\%) | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr Nfh | 1 (1\%) | 1 |
|  | Lower Columbia River (7.6\%) | Cowlitz R 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Klaskanine R N Fk | Klaskanine Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Youngs R \& Bay | Cedc Youngs Bay Net | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Washougal R 28.0159 | Washougal Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | Big Cr Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | N Fk Reserv (Clackam | Clackamas Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Bull Run R | Sandy Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | Snake River (2.9\%) | Big Canyon Accl Pond | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Luke'S Gulch A F | Npt Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  | Snake River (2.9\%) | Lyons Ferry Rel.Site | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
| CA | Central California Coast (2.9\%) | San Francisco Maj.Pt | Mok R Fish Ins | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wickland Oil Net Pen | Feather R Hatchery | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Moss Landing Min. Pt | Mok R Fish Ins | 1 (1\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 105 | 34 |

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 11,480 | 6,619 | 4,861 | 66 |
|  | AD | 16,306 | 7,011 | 9,295 | 6,100 |
|  | Total | 27,786 | 13,630 | 14,156 | 6,166 |
|  | \% Marked | 59 | 51 | 66 | 99 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel <br> Encounters | UM | 7,372 | 1,250 | 6,122 | 2 |
|  | AD | 18,711 | 3,578 | 15,132 | 3,343 |
|  | Total | 26,083 | 4,829 | 21,254 | 3,345 |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 2,024 | 8,401 | 10,425 | 1,414 | 6,393 | 7,807 |
| Released Legal | 986 | 442 | 1,428 | 187 | 70 | 257 |
| Released Sublegal | 972 | 1,859 | 2,831 | 1,224 | 2981 | 4,205 |
| Landed Only | 66 | 6,100 | 6,166 | 2 | 3,343 | 3,345 |

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DITs } \\ & \text { Obs'd } \end{aligned}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Big Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.074 | 0.27 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2012 | 2 | 6.5 | 14.39 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.156 | 0.56 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 6.5 | 14.39 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.142 | 0.53 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 3 | 9.7 | 21.59 | 9.8 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.82 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.072 | 0.27 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2012 | 4 | 12.9 | 28.79 | 12.8 | 1.3 | 0.284 | 1.07 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 9 | 29.1 | 64.77 | 28.7 | 2.9 | 0.631 | 2.38 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.073 | 0.27 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.073 | 0.27 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.082 | 0.29 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 4 | 12.9 | 28.79 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 0.287 | 1.07 |
| Spring Cr Nfh | 2013 | 1 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.088 | 0.3 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 3 | 9.7 | 21.59 | 9.8 | 1 | 0.223 | 0.82 |
| Total |  | 34 | 109.8 | 244.68 | 110.6 | 11.1 | 2.484 | 9.19 |



Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  | Sample <br> Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat <br> Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | $27-31$ | 01 Jul - 31 Jul | 2,287 | 0 | 2,287 | 725 | 1 | 726 | $31.70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | $32-34$ | 01 Aug - 15 Aug | 1,056 | 2 | 1,058 | 309 | 1 | 310 | $29.30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 (creel estimates and fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 1.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained <br> Salmon | Released Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Unk Salmon |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 3-Jul | 0 | 29 | 7 | 66 | 323 |
| 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 0 | 132 | 87 | 32 | 851 |
| 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 0 | 41 | 42 | 10 | 691 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 10 | 49 | 13 | 5 | 838 |
| 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 0 | 57 | 11 | 0 | 916 |
| 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 5 | 24 | 31 | 20 | 1650 |
| 33 | 8-Aug | 14-Aug | 10 | 61 | 54 | 35 | 3548 |
| 34 | 15-Aug | 15-Aug | 1 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 230 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 7,588 | 398 | 253 | 171 | 9,048 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 1,200,634 | 5,046 | 5,706 | 2,967 | 1,190,845 |
|  |  |  | 1096 | 71 | 76 | 54 | 1091 |
|  |  |  | 14 | 18 | 30 | 32 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 5,440-9,735 | 259-537 | 105-401 | 64-278 | 6,909-11,186 |

Table 1.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure | Weekend <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Resort | 2 | 0.007 | 14 | 0.060 |
| Curley's/Straitside | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 0.097 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.017 |
| Neah Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.026 |
| Olson's East | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | 0.326 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.013 |
| Olson's Ramp \& Docks | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.169 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 0.284 |
| Olson's West | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.037 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 0.181 |
| Olsons's South | 8 | 0.030 | 20 | 0.086 |
| Silver King | 13 | 0.049 | 11 | 0.047 |
| Van Riper's North | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0.052 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0.069 |
| Van Riper's South | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.232 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.216 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Two weekday and one weekend boat survey were conducted during the 2016 Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Results from these surveys indicated that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2016 compared to past years. Data from these surveys were included with the average of the previous years' site weights to determine site selections and to compute catch and effort estimates. Sites in the summer 2016 sample frame remained the same and included: Olson's East Docks, Olson's West Docks, Olson's Ramp \& Docks, Van Riper's North, Van Riper's South and Curley's Resort.

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler -trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 5 - Aug 3, 2003 | 19,398 | 2,251 | 53 | 225 | 0 | 336 | 3,435 | 1,656 | 5,174 | 13,131 |
| Jul 1-Aug 10, 2004 | 25,174 | 2,706 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 404 | 4,017 | 1,167 | 2,462 | 10,950 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2005 | 30,115 | 1,520 | 23 | 100 | 26 | 227 | 1,418 | 1,210 | 1,459 | 5,984 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 14, 18-21, 2006 | 23,177 | 3,105 | 10 | 196 | 7 | 464 | 3,125 | 1,010 | 2,212 | 10,129 |
| Jul 1-Aug 9, 2007 | 18,830 | 2,969 | 23 | 280 | 94 | 444 | 2,509 | 1,371 | 1,118 | 8,808 |
| Jul 1-Aug 10, 2008 | 13,004 | 2,773 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 414 | 1,869 | 65 | 330 | 5,496 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 6, 2009 | 23,662 | 4,843 | 78 | 1,115 | 362 | 724 | 6,210 | 9,823 | 14,309 | 37,463 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2010 | 16,806 | 5,461 | 14 | 242 | 0 | 816 | 4,961 | 3,163 | 4,140 | 18,796 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2011 | 24,848 | 4,259 | 70 | 276 | 22 | 636 | 9,275 | 1,593 | 5,319 | 21,450 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2012 | 21,074 | 5,437 | 9 | 242 | 9 | 812 | 4,617 | 3,105 | 4,765 | 18,996 |
| Jul 1-Aug 15, 2013 | 25,725 | 7,473 | 77 | 933 | 81 | 1,117 | 7,188 | 8,173 | 8,702 | 33,743 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2014 | 23,310 | 4,684 | 41 | 401 | 8 | 700 | 3,005 | 3,707 | 7,359 | 19,905 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2015 | 21,313 | 4,434 | 35 | 316 | 17 | 663 | 7,562 | 14,302 | 8,445 | 35,774 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2016 | 14,684 | 3,113 | 2 | 230 | 0 | 465 | 1,248 | 14,903 | 6,122 | 26,083 |

## 2) Marine Area 6 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fourteenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. We maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 6. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 2.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 6 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fisherywide trends.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available VTR data will be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=39 \%, \mathrm{LU}=25 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $16 \%$, SU = 28\%; Table 2.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013).[GTJ(2] |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 2.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.

| StatWk | Start | End | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Chin } \\ & \text { AD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Chin } \\ \text { UM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Chin } \\ \text { UD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Sockeye | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Chin } \\ & \text { AD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chin } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chin } \\ \text { UK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coho UK |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 3-Jul | 237 | 520 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 84 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 257 | 506 | 225 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 51 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 178 | 346 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 30 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 107 | 198 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 189 | 336 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 57 | 49 | 56 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 152 | 271 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 49 | 44 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 33 | 8-Aug | 15-Aug | 207 | 382 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 85 | 56 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Season Total |  |  | 1327 | 2559 | 970 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 115 | 382 | 339 | 349 | 9 | 2 | 11 |



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

Table 2.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 740 | 18 | 758 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ |

Table 2.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Georgia Strait (4.9\%) | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | R-Big Qualicum R | H-Big Qualicum River H | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
| WA | N Washington (4.9\%) | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Strait of Juan De Fuca (6.6\%) | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Hoko R 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (27.9\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 10 (16.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 7 (11.5\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (13.1\%) | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 6 (9.8\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (4.9\%) | County Line Cr3.2363 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (23\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 12 (19.7\%) | 12 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (13.1\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 5 (8.2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
| Col Riv. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Central Columbia } \\ & \text { River (1.6\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr Nfh | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
| Total 61 |  |  |  |  | 21 |

Table 2.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 57 1-trip VTRs, 157 Angler Trips | 56 | 35 | 23 | 28 | 142 | 0.56 | 0.62 |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ (0.0017) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.0013) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 2.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Location | Site-Days Sampled per Month |  | Total <br> Site- <br> Days | \% of <br> Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July (1-31) | August (1-15) |  |  |
| Ediz Hook, Port Angeles Public Ramp | 23 | 11 | 34 | $53.13 \%$ |
| Freshwater Bay Ramp | 9 | 4 | 13 | $20.31 \%$ |
| Port Angeles West Ramp | 10 | 7 | 17 | $26.56 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## 3) Marine Area 7 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a first consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7 from July 1 through July 30, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 7 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 7 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 7 Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity <br> Parameter(s) | Fecondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 Summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 27 | 1-Jul | 3-Jul | 1029 | 2,325 | 446 | 0 | 547 | 710 | 1,703 |
|  | 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 1387 | 3,288 | 472 | 0 | 578 | 750 | 1,800 |
|  | 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 893 | 1,959 | 259 | 0 | 318 | 412 | 989 |
|  | 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 346 | 750 | 26 | 0 | 32 | 42 | 100 |
|  | 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 369 | 821 | 23 | 0 | 28 | 37 | 88 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  | 4024 | 9,143 | 1227 | 0 | 1504 | 1950 | 4,680 |
| Bellingham Derby |  |  |  | 137 | 410 | 108 | 0 | 132 | 172 | 412 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 4,161 | 9,553 | 1,335 | 0 | 1,636 | 2,122 | 5,092 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 130,750 | 735,341 | 57,316 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1,064,0 \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ | 2,122 | 3,575,055 |
| SE: <br> CV (\%): |  |  |  | 362 | 858 | 239 | 0 | 1,032 | 817 | 1,891 |
|  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 63 | 38 | 37 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3,452- \\ 4,870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,872- \\ & 11,234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 865- \\ 1,804 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0- \\ 3,658 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 521- \\ 3,723 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,386-8,798 |

Table 3.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 7 Chinook MSF

| Marked <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> Size | Total |
| Marked | 274 | 9 | 283 |
| Unmarked | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 6}$ |

Table 3.4 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Effort } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private <br> VTR | 3 1-trip VTRs, 5 Angler Trips | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0.60 | 0.57 |
| Size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (0.0267) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ (0.0233) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (0.0178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (0.0100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.


Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area

Table 3.5 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. The field "Number DITs" indicates the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River Thompson River (4.8\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
| WA | N Washington (14.3\%) | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 2 (9.5\%) | 2 |
|  | Hood Canal (9.5\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (28.6\%) | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 5 (23.8\%) |  |
|  | Skagit River (9.5\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2 (9.5\%) | 2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid Puget Sound } \\ & (23.8 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 4 (19\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (9.5\%) | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 |
|  |  |  | Total | 21 | 11 |

Table 3.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked

| Hatchery | Brood | DITs <br> Year | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) | DIT <br> Enc. | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2012 | 1 | 4.7 | 17.11 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.184 | 0.43 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 4.7 | 17.11 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.169 | 0.41 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 4 | 18.7 | 68.44 | 18.4 | 1.8 | 0.667 | 1.63 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 9.3 | 34.22 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 0.345 | 0.83 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 4.7 | 17.11 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.173 | 0.42 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 4.7 | 17.11 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.172 | 0.41 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 4.7 | 17.11 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.177 | 0.42 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 5}$|  |
| :--- |

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,485 | 1,292 | 193 | 29 | 1,321 | 66,460 | 258 | $816-1,826$ | $20 \%$ |
| Legal UM | 1,485 | 0 | 1485 | 223 | 223 | 11361 | 107 | $14-432$ | $48 \%$ |
| Sublegal AD | 1,485 | 42 | 1,443 | 289 | 331 | 20,423 | 143 | $51-611$ | $43 \%$ |
| Sublegal UM | 637 | 0 | 637 | 127 | 127 | 6,487 | 81 | $0-285$ | $63 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 8 - \mathbf { 2 , 6 3 7 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1250 | 885 | 365 | 71 |
|  | AD | 2,756 | 1,411 | 1,345 | 1,228 |
|  | Total | 4,006 | 2,296 | 1,710 | 1,299 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | UD | 2,122 | 1485 | 637 |
|  | Total | 2,971 | 1,485 | 1,485 | 1,335 |
|  | \% Marked | 5,092 | 2,971 | 2,122 | 1,335 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 270 | 1,586 | 1,856 | 350 | 1652 | 2002 |
| Released Legal | 126 | 89 | 215 | 223 | 29 | 252 |
| Released Sublegal | 73 | 269 | 342 | 127 | 289 | 416 |
| Landed Only | 71 | 1,228 | 1,299 | 0 | 1335 | 1335 |



Figure 3.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates

Table 3.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled1 / Estimated retained Chinook) for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 27-31 | 1 Jul - 31 Jul | 1227 | 0 | 1227 | 284 | 3 | 287 | 23.4 |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,227 | 0 | 1,227 | 284 | 3 | 287 | 23.4 |

Table 3.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End Date | Kept Salmon |  | Released Salmon <br> Coho Unk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Sockeye |  |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 3-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 31 | 29-Jul | 31-Jul | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 9 | 5 | 9 |
| Variance: |  |  | 17 | 9 | 18 |
| Standard Error: |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| CV (\%): |  |  | 45 | 64 | 45 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | 1-17 | 0-11 | 1-18 |

Table 3.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of effort captured in the three-site sample frame during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.

| Survey Date | Stratum | Aerial Survey Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample <br> Fraction, $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total Boats, $\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ | Sampled Boats | Active Boats, $X_{i j}$ | Total Boats, Sy $\boldsymbol{y}_{i j k}$ |  |
| 1-Jul | WE | 10:55 | 11:56 | 281 | 163 | 96 | 477 | 0.342 |
| 12-Jul | WD | 10:21 | 11:30 | 106 | 93 | 34 | 290 | 0.321 |
| 17-Jul | WD | 12:10 | 13:17 | 177 | 260 | 92 | 500 | 0.520 |
| 20-Jul | WE | 11:30 | 12:37 | 91 | 80 | 20 | 364 | 0.220 |
| 23-Jul | WD | 10:44 | 11:48 | 201 | 177 | 45 | 791 | 0.224 |
| Season Totals: |  |  |  | 856 | 773 | 287 | 2422 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 171 | 155 | 57 | 484 | 0.325 |
| St Dev: |  |  |  | 77 | 73 | 35 | 191 | 0.122 |
| CV(\%): |  |  |  | 9.0\% | 9.4\% | 12.1\% | 7.9\% |  |



Figure 3.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 3.13 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hrs <br> Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 28 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 29 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 30 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 31 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 14 |
| Size/mark-status <br> composition: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 0.29 |
| 0.36 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Oegal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.43 |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were not significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data ( $\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=0.735422$. Due to small sample size in the test fishing data we elected to combine test fishing and VTR data to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 7 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 7 Summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. LM = legal-sized marked, $\mathrm{LU}=$ legal-sized unmarked, $\mathrm{SM}=$ sublegal-sized marked, $\mathrm{SU}=$ sublegal-sized unmarked.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort <br> (Anglertrips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 7 | Jul 1, 2016 - Jul 31, 2016 | 9,553 | 1,292 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 193 | 1,485 | 1443 | 637 | 5,092 |

## 4) Marine Area 9 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9 from July 16 through August 4, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by daytype strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (Monday-Thursday) for sampling. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On-the- } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { Surveys } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 4 boat surveys ( 1 weekday and 3 weekend) were conducted during the two week fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Given sufficient sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ ) of fish caught in the test fishery, we used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/markstatus proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=28 \%, \mathrm{LU}=7 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $48 \%, \mathrm{SU}=17 \%$; Table 4.13) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip <br> Reports <br> (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data ( $\mathrm{LM}=51 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=17 \%, \mathrm{SM}=29 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=3 \%$; Table 4.12) were not significantly different than those of the test fishery data. However, VTR data were not used in impact estimation due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample sizes of the test fishery data.[GTJ(4] |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 29 | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 1520 | 3,416 | 894 | 6 | 1,599 | 904 | 3,404 |
|  | 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 3058 | 6,186 | 1265 | 3 | 2,261 | 1284 | 4,814 |
|  | 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 1930 | 3,967 | 618 | 0 | 1105 | 629 | 2,352 |
| August | 32 | 1-Aug | 4-Aug | 742 | 1,342 | 195 | 0 | 348 | 198 | 742 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 7,250 | 14,911 | 2,972 | 9 | 5,314 | 3,016 | 11,311 |
| Variance: SE:CV (\%): |  |  |  | 44,001 | 175,239 | 15,371 | 9 | 1,767,192 | 490,973 | 3,901,334 |
|  |  |  |  | 210 | 419 | 124 | 3 | 1,329 | 701 | 1,975 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 33 | 25 | 23 | 17 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,839 \\ - \\ 7,661 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,091 \\ - \\ 15,732 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,729 \\ - \\ 3,215 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 - 15 | $\begin{gathered} 2,708- \\ 7,919 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,643- \\ & 4,389 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,439- \\ & 15,182 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 769 | 30 | 799 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { DITs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River - Thompson River (1.9\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 1 (1.9\%) | 0 |
| WA | N Washington (1.9\%) | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (35.2\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 12 (22.2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 7 (13\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (13\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 7 (13\%) | 2 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (27.8\%) | Icy $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 09.0125$ | Icy Cr Hatchery | 2 (3.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 2 (3.7\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 10 (18.5\%) | 10 |
|  | S Puget Sound (18.5\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 9 (16.7\%) | 9 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.9\%) | 0 |
| CA | Central California Coast (1.9\%) | San Pablo Bay Net Pens | Coleman Nfh | 1 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 54 | 22 |



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Error bars represent approximate 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.5 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT <br> Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.102 | 0.32 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 6 | 22.4 | 60.96 | 22.2 | 2.2 | 0.602 | 1.9 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.104 | 0.32 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.32 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 9 | 33.5 | 91.44 | 33.1 | 3.3 | 0.891 | 2.83 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.101 | 0.32 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.102 | 0.32 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.16 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.105 | 0.32 |
| Total |  | 22 | 82 | 223.51 | 81.7 | 8.2 | 2.218 | 6.98 |

Table 4.6 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 31 Jul | 2,777 | 9 | 2,786 | 762 | 1 | 763 | 27.40\% |
| August | 32-32 | 01 Aug - 04 Aug | 195 | 0 | 195 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 19.00\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2,972 | 9 | 2,981 | 799 | 1 | 800 | 26.80\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the

Table 4.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916[GTJ(5]) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1,340 | 780 | 560 | 16 |
|  | AD | 5,682 | 3,495 | 2,187 | 3,040 |
|  | Total | 7,022 | 4,275 | 2,747 | 3,056 |
|  | \% Marked | 81 | 82 | 80 | 99 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 3025 | 921 | 2104 | 9 |
|  | AD | 8,286 | 3,288 | 4998 | 2,972 |
|  | Total | 11,311 | 4,209 | 7,102 | 2,981 |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 244 | 3,698 | 3,942 | 567 | 4,014 | 4,580 |
| Released Legal | 115 | 221 | 336 | 137 | 64 | 201 |
| Released Sublegal | 113 | 437 | 550 | 421 | 977 | 1398 |
| Landed Only | 16 | 3,040 | 3,056 | 9 | 2,972 | 2,981 |

Table 4.9 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,288 | 2,861 | 427 | 64 | 2,925 | 29,155 | 171 | $2,590-3,259$ | 6 |
| Legal UM | 921 | 9 | 912 | 137 | 146 | 3,045 | 55 | $38-254$ | 38 |
| Sublegal AD | 4,998 | 112 | 4,886 | 977 | 1089 | 45,301 | 213 | $672-1,506$ | 20 |
| Sublegal UM | 2104 | 0 | 2104 | 421 | 421 | 14240 | 119 | $187-655$ | 28 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 7 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 6 - 5 , 1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 4.10 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End <br> Date | Retained Salmon |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho <br> UM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UK } \end{gathered}$ | Cutthroat | Unk <br> Salmon |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 0 | 0 | 57 | 27 | 53 | 0 | 98 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 8 | 0 | 126 | 53 | 267 | 3 | 180 |
| 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 6 | 3 | 85 | 30 | 77 | 0 | 279 |
| 32 | 1-Aug | 4-Aug | 0 | 0 | 59 | 26 | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 14 | 3 | 327 | 136 | 430 | 3 | 591 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): |  |  | 24 | 3 | 2807 | 1291 | 7388 | 3 | 11,002 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 53 | 36 | 86 | 2 | 105 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 57 | 16 | 26 | 20 | 57 | 18 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | 4-24 | 0-6 | $\begin{gathered} 223- \\ 431 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65- \\ & 206 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 262- \\ 599 \end{gathered}$ | 0-6 | 385-796 |

Table 4.11 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anacortes Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0.009 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 0 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.015 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.011 |
| Bush Point Ramp and Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Camano Island State Park Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Cape George Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Coupeville Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.008 |
| Cultus Bay Marina | 2 | 0.022 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Dagmar's Landing, Forklift Launch | 7 | 0.078 | 10 | 0.013 |
| Driftwood Key Marina | 6 | 0.067 | 19 | 0.025 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 6 | 0.067 | 30 | 0.040 |
| Edmonds Guest Dock | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Edmonds Marina | 3 | 0.033 | 116 | 0.155 |
| Edmonds Sling | 1 | 0.011 | 31 | 0.042 |
| Eglon Public Ramp | 4 | 0.044 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Everett Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 36 | 0.048 |
| Everett Ramp | 5 | 0.056 | 133 | 0.178 |
| Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 8 | 0.089 | 49 | 0.066 |
| Hat Island Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Kingston Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.015 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 7 | 0.078 | 27 | 0.036 |
| LaConner Marina/Sling | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Lagoon PT Moorage | 2 | 0.022 | 9 | 0.012 |
| Langley Marina/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Langus Riverfront Park Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Mats Mats Bay Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Mukilteo Lighthouse Park | 0 | 0.000 | 40 | 0.054 |
| Mystery Bay Dock/Moorage | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Picnic Pt. Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Pleasant Harbor Marina | 3 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Point No Point Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Point Susan | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Port Hadlock | 2 | 0.022 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Hadlock Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 9 | 0.012 |
| Port Hadlock Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Port Ludlow Marina/Beach Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Marina | 2 | 0.022 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 10 | 0.111 | 66 | 0.088 |
| Possession Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Possession Waterfront Beach Park | 3 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Private | 7 | 0.078 | 17 | 0.023 |
| Salmon Club Ramp | 8 | 0.089 | 11 | 0.015 |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 31 | 0.042 |
| Shilshole Marina | 1 | 0.011 | 8 | 0.011 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 3 | 0.033 | 17 | 0.023 |
| Washington Park Launch Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Total Anglers | 90 | 1 | 746 | 1 |



Figure 4.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.12 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private <br> VTR | 38 1-trip <br> VTRs, 82 <br> Angler <br> Trips | 40 | 13 | 23 | 2 | 78 | 0.81 | 0.75 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.51 \\ (0.0032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.17 \\ (0.0018) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.29 \\ (0.0027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data ( $\mathrm{df}=3$, p-value $=0.00091$. We used only test fishery data to estimate the size $/$ mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 4.13 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours <br> Fished | $\mathbf{A D}$ | $\mathbf{U M}$ | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4 | 23.6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 5 | 25.5 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 3 | 15.9 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | 0.28 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 0.17 |  |  |  |

Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler- <br> trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5,094 | 13 | 146 | 20 | 711 | 1,111 | 1,286 | 317 |  |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 |  | 4,035 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 597 | 1,608 | 3,212 | 3,826 | 13,290 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 |  | 3,090 | 20 | 139 | 0 | 462 | 1,272 | 8,256 | 2,905 | 16,143 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 31,200 | 5,282 | 33 | 10 | 6 | 740 | 2,125 | 750 | 249 | 9,194 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 37,862 | 2,285 | 19 | 78 | 6 | 339 | 1,142 | 2,150 | 1,070 | 7,090 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 24,886 | 6,972 | 12 | 101 | 2 | 1,039 | 2,351 | 5,168 | 4,721 | 20,366 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 4, 2013 | 20,501 | 4,667 | 18 | 39 | 0 | 697 | 1,174 | 1,750 | 397 | 8,742 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2014 | 23,113 | 2,865 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 428 | 668 | 745 | 299 | 5,015 |
| Jul 16 - Jul 26, 2015 | 14,118 | 2,277 | 13 | 35 | 7 | 340 | 1,502 | 1,481 | 131 | 5,786 |
| Jul 16, 2016 - Aug 15, 2016 | 14,911 | 2,861 | 9 | 112 | 0 | 427 | 912 | 4,886 | 2104 | 11,311 |

## 5) Marine Area 10 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an ninth summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10 from July 16 through August 15, 2016, preempted by a catch and release fishery June 24, through July 15, 2016. Because the catch and release fishery has no harvest, which the Method 2 approach bases its encounter estimate on, we elected to use a Method 1 approach to estimating the salmon releases. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-thewater effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 5.3 [GTJ(6]Summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

## 1) $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ Catch and Release Fishery

Table 5.1 Method 1 estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 summer catch and release fishery in Marine Area 10. Release estimates based on creel interview data. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK |  |
| Jun | 26 | Jun-24 | Jun-26 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 43 |
|  | 27 | Jun-27 | Jul-03 | 916 | 2,523 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 8 | 76 |
| Jul | 28 | Jul-04 | Jul-10 | 477 | 1,004 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 15 | 64 | 154 |
|  | 29 | Jul-11 | Jul-15 | 212 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 23 | 53 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 1,635 | 4,028 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 34 | 94 | 327 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): |  |  |  | 68,760 | 526,931 | 0 | 0 | 4,871 | 236 | 1,005 | 625,110 |
|  |  |  |  | 262 | 726 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 15 | 32 | 791 |
|  |  |  |  | 16\% | 18\% | 0 | 0 | 35.1\% | 45.7\% | 33.6\% | 23 |
| 95\% CI |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,121- \\ & 2,149 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,605- \\ 5,451 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 62-335 | 4-64 | $\begin{aligned} & 32- \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,954- \\ 5,053 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5.2 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2016 summer catch and release fishery in Marine Area 10. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) Size <br> Measure | Weekend <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) Size <br> Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ |
| Brownsville Marina | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.029 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.014 |
| Edmonds Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.087 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.043 |
| Everett Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.043 |
| Everett Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.029 |
| Kingston Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.014 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 3}$ |
| Manchester Ramp | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| Private | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.058 |
| Shilshole Marina | 2 | 0.222 | 37 | 0.536 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 2}$ |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## 2) $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ Mark Selective Fishery

Table 5.3 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | One week | Within weeks, estimates were <br> produced by day-type strata <br> (weekday/weekend). Each week we <br> sampled every Friday, Saturday and <br> Sunday, and we randomly selected <br> $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (Monday- <br> Thursday) for sampling. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On-the- } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { Surveys } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 7 boat surveys (4 weekday and 3 weekend) were conducted during the 3 week fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | A sufficient number of fish caught in the test fishery $(\mathrm{n}=69 ; \mathrm{LM}=38 \%$, LU $=9 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=35 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=19 \%$; Table 5.13) so on test fishing numbers were used. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data (LM $=40 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=16 \%$, SM $=23 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=23 \%$; Table 5.12) were similar to those of the test fishery data and were combined with the test fishing data to estimates the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates.[GTJ(7] |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

Table 5.4 Method 2 estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM $=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jul | 29 | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 604 | 1,350 | 106 | 0 | 122 | 85 | 313 |
|  | 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 860 | 1,752 | 134 | 0 | 153 | 107 | 394 |
|  | 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 1,028 | 1,952 | 196 | 0 | 224 | 156 | 576 |
| Aug | 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 1,084 | 2,060 | 244 | 0 | 279 | 195 | 718 |
|  | 33 | 8-Aug | 14-Aug | 1,014 | 1,949 | 335 | 0 | 383 | 268 | 986 |
|  | 34 | 15-Aug | 15-Aug | 99 | 172 | 31 | 0 | 36 | 25 | 93 |
| Sub-Total: |  |  |  | 4688 | 9,235 | 1047 | 0 | 1197 | 836 | 3080 |
| RCAW Derby |  |  |  | 5 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 15 |
| South King County PSA Derby |  |  |  | 33 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 38 | 26 | 97 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 4,726 | 9,314 | 1,085 | 0 | 1,241 | 866 | 3,192 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): |  |  |  | 23,283 | 98,210 | 2,980 | 0 | 143,115 | 44,581 | 277,156 |
|  |  |  |  | 153 | 313 | 55 | 0 | 378 | 211 | 526 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 24 | 16 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,427- \\ 5,025 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8,699- \\ 9,928 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 978 \\ 1,192 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0- \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 499- \\ 1,982 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 453- \\ 1,280 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,160- \\ 4,224 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5.5 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 328 | 17 | 345 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 6}$ |

Table 5.6 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River - Thompson River (5.6\%) | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | 1 (5.6\%) | 0 |
| WA | Hood Canal (16.7\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 3 (16.7\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (11.1\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 2 (11.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (27.8\%) | Icy $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 09.0125$ | Icy Cr Hatchery | 2 (11.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (27.8\%) | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2 (11.1\%) | 2 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (27.8\%) | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (5.6\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (38.9\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 3 (16.7\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 1 (5.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 3 (16.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 18 | 5 |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,186 | 1,032 | 154 | 23 | 1,055 | 4,522 | 67 | $923-1,186$ | 6 |
| Legal UM | 274 | 0 | 274 | 41 | 41 | 299 | 17 | $7-75$ | 42 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,140 | 53 | 1,087 | 217 | 271 | 2,896 | 54 | $165-376$ | 20 |
| Sublegal UM | 593 | 0 | 593 | 119 | 119 | 1,251 | 35 | $49-188$ | 30 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 9 - \mathbf { 1 , 6 7 1 }}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1,363 | 699 | 664 | 21 |
|  | AD | 3,357 | 1,579 | 1,778 | 1,374 |
|  | Total | 4,720 | 2,278 | 2,442 | 1,395 |
|  | \% Marked | 71 | 69 | 73 | 98 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1694 | 1186 | 508 | 0 |
|  | AD | 2,371 | 1,186 | 1,186 | 1,085 |
|  | Total | 4,065 | 2,371 | 1,694 | 1,085 |
|  | \% Marked | 58 | 50 | 70 | 100 |

Table 5.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 257 | 1,830 | 2,087 | 160 | 1,325 | 1,485 |
| Released Legal | 103 | 100 | 203 | 41 | 23 | 64 |
| Released Sublegal | 133 | 356 | 489 | 119 | 217 | 336 |
| Landed Only | 21 | 1,374 | 1,395 | 0 | 1,085 | 1,085 |

Table 5.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled1 / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 31 Jul | 436 | 0 | 436 | 141 | 0 | 141 | 32.30\% |
| August | 32-34 | 1 Aug - 15 Aug | 649 | 0 | 649 | 204 | 1 | 205 | 31.60\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,085 | 0 | 1,085 | 345 | 1 | 346 | 31.90\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2014 Area 10 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 5.11 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enc. | Est. |  | SE(Est.) |  |  |  |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 6.3 | 13.4 |  | 0.6 | 0.132 | 0.51 |  |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.069 | 0.26 |  |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.065 | 0.26 |  |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.071 | 0.27 |  |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |

Table 5.12 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private <br> VTR | 29 1-trip <br> VTRs, 45 <br> Angler Trips | 21 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 53 | 0.62 | 0.72 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (0.0046) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.15 \\ (0.0025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ (0.0034) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ (0.0034) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

## Marked Test Fishery Chinook Area 10 ( $n=51$ )



Unmarked Test Fishery Chinook
Area 10 ( $n=19$ )


Figure 5.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 5.13 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours <br> Fished | $\mathbf{A D}$ | $\mathbf{U M}$ | $\mathbf{A D}$ | $\mathbf{U M}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 5 | 26.5 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 5 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 5 | 26.7 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 5 | 27.8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 12 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: <br> Overall mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.37 |
| 0 | 0.81 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.19 |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were not significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data $(\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=0.422$ ). However, based on sufficient sample size and assumed higher data quality, we used only test fishery data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 5.14 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Coho <br> UK | Unk <br> Salmon |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 4 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 46 | 134 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 0 | 2 | 73 | 13 | 75 | 127 |
| 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 5 | 0 | 148 | 23 | 84 | 157 |
| 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 5 | 0 | 89 | 32 | 98 | 274 |
| 33 | 8-Aug | 14-Aug | 3 | 0 | 325 | 26 | 180 | 377 |
| 34 | 15-Aug | 15-Aug | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 15 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 17 | 2 | 669 | 99 | 490 | 1083 |
| Variance: Standard Error: CV (\%):95\% CI: |  |  | 18 | 1 | 30444 | 211 | 2345 | 8,554 |
|  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 174 | 15 | 48 | 92 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 49 | 26 | 15 | 10 | 9 |
|  |  |  | 9-25 | 0-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 327- \\ & 1,011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71- \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 395- \\ 584 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 902- \\ & 1,264 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5.15 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 52 | 0.114 | 116 | 0.146 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 13 | 0.029 | 21 | 0.026 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 2 | 0.004 | 16 | 0.020 |
| Duwamish Yacht Club | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 4 | 0.009 | 17 | 0.021 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 8 | 0.018 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 17 | 0.037 | 29 | 0.036 |
| Edmonds Guest Moorage | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Edmonds Kayak Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Edmonds Marina | 65 | 0.143 | 137 | 0.172 |
| Edmonds Sling | 4 | 0.009 | 23 | 0.029 |
| Elliot Bay Marine | 2 | 0.004 | 13 | 0.016 |
| Everett Ramp | 1 | 0.002 | 10 | 0.013 |
| Evergreen Park Ramp | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Fisherman Terminal | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Jim Clark Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Keyport | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Kingston Marina | 10 | 0.022 | 10 | 0.013 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 40 | 0.088 | 59 | 0.074 |
| Lincoln Park Beach Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 12 | 0.026 | 8 | 0.010 |
| Narrows Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Possesion Pt Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Poulsbo Yacht Club | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Private | 15 | 0.033 | 18 | 0.023 |
| Richmond Beach Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Salmon Bay Marina | 2 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Sandy Point Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Seacrest | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Shilshole Marina | 100 | 0.220 | 134 | 0.169 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 98 | 0.215 | 148 | 0.186 |
| South Park Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Suqamish Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Tyee Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Total Anglers | 455 | 1 | 795 | 1 |

Table 5.16 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort(Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 28, 2007 | 8,374 | 1,469 | 30 | 70 | 8 | 209 | 497 | 3,101 | 723 | 6,107 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 13,808 | 1,027 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 510 | 189 | 385 | 2,246 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 23,179 | 1,505 | 22 | 116 | 0 | 220 | 82 | 2,488 | 1,017 | 5,450 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 21,636 | 2,950 | 33 | 37 | 9 | 432 | 1,026 | 1,024 | 1,665 | 7,178 |
| Jul 16-Aug 31, 2011 | 27,753 | 2,548 | 14 | 94 | 14 | 372 | 1,872 | 964 | 694 | 6,573 |
| Jul 16-Aug 19, 2012 | 17,823 | 2,976 | 17 | 88 | 17 | 443 | 377 | 6,343 | 1,950 | 12,212 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 18, 2013 | 27,317 | 3,434 | 6 | 77 | 17 | 512 | 298 | 2,149 | 1,603 | 8,097 |
| Jul 16-Aug 7, 2014 | 11,892 | 1,063 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 159 | 322 | 1,629 | 322 | 3,503 |
| Jul 16,- Aug 15, 2016 | 9,314 | 1,032 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 154 | 274 | 1,087 | 593 | 3,192 |

## 6) Marine Area 11 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a ninth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 6.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { On-the- } \\ \text { water } \\ \text { Surveys } \end{array}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 2 weekday and 8 weekend boat surveys were conducted during the four month fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=56 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=21 \%, \mathrm{SM}=6 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=17 \%$; Table 6.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates.[GTJ(8] |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 6.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jun | 26 | 24-Jun | 26-Jun | 267 | 485 | 44 | 0 | 46 | 22 | 112 |
|  | 27 | 27-Jun | 3-Jul | 1173 | 1,895 | 241 | 0 | 257 | 122 | 620 |
| Jul | 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 1,119 | 1,741 | 280 | 0 | 298 | 141 | 719 |
|  | 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 1,073 | 1,879 | 96 | 0 | 103 | 49 | 248 |
|  | 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 778 | 1,251 | 79 | 0 | 84 | 40 | 203 |
|  | 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 1,187 | 1,921 | 170 | 0 | 181 | 86 | 438 |
| Aug | 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 1,122 | 1,717 | 179 | 4 | 191 | 87 | 461 |
|  | 33 | 8-Aug | 14-Aug | 1,525 | 2,499 | 301 | 0 | 320 | 151 | 772 |
|  | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 19- \\ \text { Aug } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 19-Aug | 132 | 245 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 19 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 8,377 | 13,632 | 1398 | 4 | 1489 | 701 | 3591 |
| RCAW Derby Derby |  |  |  | 5 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| South King County PSA Derby |  |  |  | 33 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 35 | 17 | 85 |
| Gig Harbor Derby |  |  |  | 33 | 55 | 41 | 0 | 44 | 21 | 105 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 8,448 | 13,766 | 1,477 | 4 | 1,574 | 740 | 3,794 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 168,853 | 352,317 | 87,085 | 6 | 319,837 | 22,515 | 649,137 |
| SE:CV (\%): |  |  |  | 411 | 594 | 295 | 3 | 566 | 150 | 806 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 20 | 70 | 36 | 20 | 21 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,642- \\ & 9,253 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12,603- \\ 14,929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 898- \\ 2,055 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465- \\ 2,682 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 446- \\ 1,034 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,215- \\ 5,374 \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 432 | 12 | 444 |
| Unmarked | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ |

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { DITs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA | Hood Canal (24\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 4 (16\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 2 (8\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (12\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 2 (8\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (4\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (28\%) | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 3 (12\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 2 (8\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 1 (4\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (28\%) | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 5 (20\%) | 5 |
| Col Riv | Lower Columbia River (4\%) | Cowlitz R 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery | 1 (4\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 25 | 9 |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 152 1-trip <br> VTRs, 304 <br> Angler <br> Trips | 304 | 111 | 30 | 94 | 539 | 0.62 | 0.73 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.21 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.17 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,652 | 1,437 | 215 | 32 | 1,469 | 87,476 | 296 | $889-2,049$ | 20 |
| Legal UM | 446 | 4 | 443 | 66 | 70 | 335 | 18 | $34-106$ | 26 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,399 | 40 | 1,359 | 272 | 312 | 4,214 | 65 | $184-439$ | 21 |
| Sublegal UM | 298 | 0 | 298 | 60 | 60 | 316 | 18 | $25-94$ | 30 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 5 - 2 , 5 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |

Table 6.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 634 | 294 | 340 | 9 |
|  | AD | 2,264 | 1,423 | 841 | 1,238 |
|  | Total | 2,898 | 1,717 | 1,181 | 1,247 |
|  | \% Marked | 78 | 83 | 71 | 99 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 744 | 446 | 298 | 4 |
|  | AD | 3,050 | 1,652 | 1,399 | 1,477 |
|  | Total | 3,794 | 2,098 | 1,696 | 1,480 |
|  | \% Marked | 80 | 79 | 82 | 100 |

Table 6.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916[GTJ(9]) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 120 | 1,495 | 1,615 | 130 | 1,781 | 1,910 |
| Released Legal | 43 | 89 | 132 | 66 | 32 | 99 |
| Released Sublegal | 68 | 168 | 236 | 60 | 272 | 331 |
| Landed Only | 9 | 1,238 | 1,247 | 4 | 1,477 | 1,480 |



Figure 6.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2916) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 6.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT <br> Harvest |  | UMT <br> DIT | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 3.3 | 7.49 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.081 | 0.29 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 3 | 9.8 | 22.48 | 9.8 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.82 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3.3 | 7.49 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.077 | 0.28 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.3 | 7.49 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.073 | 0.27 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 6.6 | 14.99 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 0.149 | 0.55 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3.3 | 7.49 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.077 | 0.28 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ |

Table 6.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained <br> Chinook |  |  | Number of <br> Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat <br> Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| June | $26-27$ | 24 Jun - 03 Jul | 285 | 0 | 285 | 130 | 1 | 131 | $46.00 \%$ |
| July | $28-31$ | 04 Jul - 31 Jul | 626 | 0 | 626 | 158 | 2 | 160 | $25.60 \%$ |
| August | $32-34$ | 01 Aug - 19 Aug | 566 | 4 | 570 | 157 | 1 | 158 | $27.70 \%$ |
| Season Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 . 3 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2016 Area 11 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 6.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat <br> Week | Start Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Coho <br> UK | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| 26 | 24-Jun | 26-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 13 |
| 27 | 27-Jun | 3-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 28 | 4-Jul | 10-Jul | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 10 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 180 |
| 32 | 1-Aug | 7-Aug | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 |
| 33 | 8-Aug | 14-Aug | 10 | 7 | 26 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 110 |
| 34 | 19-Aug | 19-Aug | 2 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 6 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 32 | 7 | 61 | 15 | 95 | 13 | 327 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): 95\% CI: |  |  | 342 | 26 | 150 | 17 | 896 | 137 | 25,195 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 30 | 12 | 159 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 70 | 20 | 27 | 32 | 93 | 49 |
|  |  |  | 0-68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0- \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 37-85 | 7-23 | 36-153 | 0-36 | 16-638 |

Table 6.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Beach Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Breakwater Marina (Warters) | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.013 |
| Browns Point Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Browns's Point Shore | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Commencement Bay Marina Services | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.013 |
| Dash Point Shore | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Day Island Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Day Island Yacht Club | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 0 | 0.000 | 18 | 0.078 |
| Dockton Ramp, Vashon Is | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0.030 |
| Foss Harbor | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.013 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 10 | 0.043 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 1 | 0.200 | 13 | 0.056 |
| Hood Canal Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.022 |
| Hylebos Boat Haven | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Narrows Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.017 |
| Narrows Marina (Private) | 0 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.026 |
| Olalla Public Ramp | 1 | 0.200 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Owen's Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Point Defiance Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 0 | 0.000 | 43 | 0.185 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 52 | 0.224 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Potlatch Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Private | 1 | 0.200 | 18 | 0.078 |
| Redondo Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 12 | 0.052 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 1 | 0.200 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Skokomish Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Solo Point | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Tacoma Yacht Club | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Tahuya Port of Allyn | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.009 |
| Tyee Marina/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.017 |
| Union Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.013 |
| Wollochet Bay Public Ramp | 1 | 0.200 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Zittels Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Total Anglers | 5 | 1 | 232 | 1 |

Table 6.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler- <br> trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |  |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2007 |  | 10,192 | 74 | 354 | 21 | 1,511 | 3,015 | 8,033 | 2,357 | 25,558 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2008 |  | 7,277 | 18 | 100 | 5 | 1,087 | 1,999 | 1,969 | 248 | 12,703 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2009 | 80,157 | 3,149 | 20 | 117 | 17 | 470 | 1,269 | 3,820 | 3,302 | 12,164 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2010 | 54,594 | 3,883 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 580 | 1,105 | 900 | 405 | 6,965 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2011 | 69,919 | 2,559 | 9 | 77 | 12 | 382 | 2,120 | 1,932 | 1,579 | 8,670 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2012 | 56,065 | 4,894 | 57 | 72 | 14 | 731 | 2,665 | 2,649 | 1,157 | 12,240 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2013 | 64,509 | 3,056 | 35 | 55 | 0 | 457 | 1,289 | 1,214 | 669 | 6,774 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2014 | 39,426 | 2,912 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 435 | 1,585 | 2,142 | 861 | 7,966 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2015 | 40,858 | 1,447 | 10 | 41 | 3 | 216 | 748 | 2,491 | 1599 | 6,556 |
| Jun 24 - Aug 19, 2016 | 13,766 | 1,437 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 215 | 443 | 1,359 | 298 | 3,794 |

## 7) Marine Area 12 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 7.1 [GTJ(10]summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 12 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 7.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 12 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Angler Interviews (Baseline Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=20 \%, \mathrm{LU}=0 \%, \mathrm{SM}=0 \%$, SU $=80 \%$; Table 7.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  |  | Cutthroat | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Unk. <br> Salmon | Chum | Cutthroat |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | UD |  | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |
| Jul | 27 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 10 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 32 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 30 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 61 | 118 | 25 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 35 | 126 | 238 | 43 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 25 |
| Sept | 36 | 103 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 37 | 72 | 123 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 38 | 96 | 163 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 25 | 26 | 2 | 35 |
|  | 39 | 64 | 112 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 30 |
|  | 40 | 40 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total |  | 638 | 1159 | 94 | 2 | 158 | 90 | 1 | 2 | 71 | 45 | 82 | 33 | 26 | 63 | 48 | 5 | 122 |



Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

Table 7.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled <br>  <br> size |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |
| Marked | 54 | 21 | 75 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

Table 7.4 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Location Name | Number of Site Days Sampled <br> Per Month |  |  |  | Total <br> Site-Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of <br> Total |  |  |  |
|  | July | August | September |  |  |
| Big Beef Beach | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $1.24 \%$ |
| General - Area 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.62 \%$ |
| Hood Canal Marina (Union) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $1.24 \%$ |
| Hoodsport Shore | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $1.86 \%$ |
| Lilliwaup Beach Launch | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.62 \%$ |
| Misery Point Ramp | 0 | 7 | 12 | 19 | $11.80 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Boat Ramp (WDFW) | 0 | 1 | 9 | 10 | $6.21 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Marina | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $1.24 \%$ |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 0 | 10 | 15 | 25 | $15.53 \%$ |
| Skokomish Ramp | 9 | 21 | 23 | 53 | $32.92 \%$ |
| Skokomish Tide Flats | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.62 \%$ |
| Summertide Resort | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | $1.24 \%$ |
| Tahuya Ramp | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 | $6.21 \%$ |
| Triton Cove State Park | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $1.86 \%$ |
| Twanoh State Park | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | $4.35 \%$ |
| Union Ramp | 1 | 6 | 13 | 20 | $12.42 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 7.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 2 1-trip VTRs, 3 Angler Trips | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0.20 | 1.00 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (0.0400) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ (0.0400) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 7.6 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release <br> Domain | Release <br> Region | Release <br> Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA | SJDF (16.7\%) | Elwha R <br> 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | $1(16.7 \%)$ | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal <br> $(83.3 \%)$ | Finch Cr <br> 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | $4(66.7 \%)$ | 0 |
|  |  | George Adams Hatchery | $1(16.7 \%)$ | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## 8) Marine Area 13 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13 from June 24 through September 30, 2016. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table $8.1[$ GTJ(11]Summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 13 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 8.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 13 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=57 \%, \mathrm{LU}=11 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $28 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=4 \%$; Table 8.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013).[GTJ(12] |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

Table 8.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boat } \\ \text { s } \end{gathered}$ | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | UD | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |
| Jun | 26 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 27 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 31 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 30 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 43 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 31 | 54 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 32 | 75 | 130 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 125 | 218 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 34 | 142 | 255 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sept | 35 | 148 | 286 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 36 | 82 | 141 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 37 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Observed: |  | 741 | 1345 | 238 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 3 |



Figure 8.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 8.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 8.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 8.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

Table 8.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 197 | 13 | 210 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |

Table 8.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. <br> DITs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA | Mid Puget Sound (28.6\%) | Big Soos Cr <br> 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | $1(14.3 \%)$ | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (28.6\%) | Voight Cr <br> 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | $1(14.3 \%)$ | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | S Puget Sound (57.1\%) | Clear Cr <br> 11.0013 C | Clear Creek Hatchery | $4(57.1 \%)$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| Col Riv | Lower Columbia River <br> $(14.3 \%)$ | Cowlitz R <br> 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon <br> Hatchery | $1(14.3 \%)$ | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

Table 8.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 23 1-trip <br> VTRs, 39 <br> Angler Trips | 26 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 46 | 0.85 | 0.84 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.57 \\ (0.0055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.0022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.28 \\ (0.0045) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.0009) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 8.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Location Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of Site Days Sampled Per } \\ \text { Month } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Total SiteDays | $\%$ of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | July | August | September |  |  |
| Boston Harbor Ramp/Marina | 1 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 39 | 26.00\% |
| Concrete Dock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Hartstene Is. Ramp | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Luhr Beach Ramp | 0 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 39 | 26.00\% |
| Narrows Marina Private | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 10.67\% |
| Narrows Park (aka Narrows Properties Park) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Narrows Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Solo Point (Tatsolo Pt-Ft Lewis) Rm | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 23 | 15.33\% |
| Solo Point Shore | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.33\% |
| Swan Town/East Bay Marina/Ramp (Oly. Isle) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.67\% |
| Zittels Marina | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 16.67\% |
| Grand Total | 2 | 61 | 86 | 1 | 150 | 1 |
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## APPENDICES

## Site Weights

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 1 / 2016$ | 27 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.0855 |
| $7 / 2 / 2016$ | 27 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 |
| $7 / 7 / 2016$ | 28 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.2256 | Van Riper's North | 0.1334 |
| $7 / 9 / 2016$ | 28 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 |
| $7 / 10 / 2016$ | 28 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 | Curleys Resort | 0.0834 |
| $7 / 12 / 2016$ | 29 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.2256 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.2114 |
| $7 / 16 / 2016$ | 29 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Van Riper's South | 0.1834 |
| $7 / 17 / 2016$ | 29 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 | Van Riper's South | 0.1834 |
| $7 / 20 / 2016$ | 30 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.2256 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.1495 |
| $7 / 22 / 2016$ | 30 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.0855 |
| $7 / 23 / 2016$ | 30 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 |
| $7 / 26 / 2016$ | 31 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.2419 | Van Riper's South | 0.2109 |
| $7 / 30 / 2016$ | 31 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.1941 | Van Riper's North | 0.0978 |
| $7 / 31 / 2016$ | 31 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.3558 | Van Riper's South | 0.1834 |
| $8 / 4 / 2016$ | 32 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.0887 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.2728 |
| $8 / 5 / 2016$ | 32 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.4112 | Van Riper's North | 0.1247 |
| $8 / 7 / 2016$ | 32 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.4112 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.1332 |
| $8 / 11 / 2016$ | 33 | Olsons West Docks (1159) | 0.2728 | Van Riper's North | 0.201 |
| $8 / 12 / 2016$ | 33 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.4112 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.075 |
| $8 / 14 / 2016$ | 33 | Olsons Ramp (1159) | 0.4112 | Olsons East Docks (1159) | 0.075 |

Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 16 / 2016$ | 29 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 0.1738 |
| $7 / 17 / 2016$ | 29 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1672 |
| $7 / 19 / 2016$ | 30 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3754 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.2004 |
| $7 / 20 / 2016$ | 30 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3754 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 0.1786 |
| $7 / 22 / 2016$ | 30 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 0.1738 |
| $7 / 23 / 2016$ | 30 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1672 |
| $7 / 24 / 2016$ | 30 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1672 |
| $7 / 26 / 2016$ | 31 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3754 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0964 |
| $7 / 27 / 2016$ | 31 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3754 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.2004 |
| $7 / 29 / 2016$ | 31 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 0.1738 |
| $7 / 30 / 2016$ | 31 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1672 |
| $7 / 31 / 2016$ | 31 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3876 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1672 |
| $8 / 1 / 2016$ | 32 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3428 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1779 |
| $8 / 3 / 2016$ | 32 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.3428 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 0.1276 |

Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2016 summer catch and release and Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6 / 25 / 2016$ | 26 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2857 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5 |
| $6 / 26 / 2016$ | 26 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2857 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5714 |
| $6 / 27 / 2016$ | 27 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2857 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5714 |
| $7 / 1 / 2016$ | 27 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2 |
| $7 / 2 / 2016$ | 27 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2 |
| $7 / 7 / 2016$ | 28 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5714 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1429 |
| $7 / 9 / 2016$ | 28 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2 |
| $7 / 10 / 2016$ | 28 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5 |
| $7 / 12 / 2016$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5714 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2857 |
| $7 / 16 / 2016$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 17 / 2016$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 19 / 2016$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4899 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1773 |
| $7 / 20 / 2016$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4899 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1773 |
| $7 / 22 / 2016$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 23 / 2016$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 24 / 2016$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 26 / 2016$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4899 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1666 |
| $7 / 27 / 2016$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4899 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1773 |
| $7 / 29 / 2016$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1566 |
| $7 / 30 / 2016$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $7 / 31 / 2016$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4433 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2141 |
| $8 / 1 / 2016$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4726 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1161 |
| $8 / 3 / 2016$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4726 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2595 |
| $8 / 5 / 2016$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4014 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1199 |
| $8 / 6 / 2016$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4014 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2948 |
| $8 / 7 / 2016$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4014 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2948 |
| $8 / 8 / 2016$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4726 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1161 |
| $8 / 11 / 2016$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4726 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2595 |
| $8 / 12 / 2016$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4014 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2948 |
| $8 / 13 / 2016$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4014 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2948 |
| $8 / 14 / 2016$ | 33 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2948 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1199 |

Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2016 summer catch and release and Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6 / 25 / 2016$ | 26 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4616 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1294 |
| $6 / 26 / 2016$ | 26 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4616 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0763 |
| $6 / 27 / 2016$ | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3685 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2028 |
| $7 / 1 / 2016$ | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.0811 |
| $7 / 2 / 2016$ | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 |
| $7 / 7 / 2016$ | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5359 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1417 |
| $7 / 9 / 2016$ | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 |
| $7 / 10 / 2016$ | 28 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 |
| $7 / 12 / 2016$ | 29 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5359 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.108 |
| $7 / 16 / 2016$ | 29 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 |
| $7 / 17 / 2016$ | 29 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 |
| $7 / 20 / 2016$ | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5359 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.108 |
| $7 / 22 / 2016$ | 30 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 |
| $7 / 23 / 2016$ | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 |
| $7 / 26 / 2016$ | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5359 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1417 |
| $7 / 30 / 2016$ | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0539 |
| $7 / 31 / 2016$ | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4657 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1686 |
| $8 / 4 / 2016$ | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4262 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1025 |
| $8 / 5 / 2016$ | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.0881 |
| $8 / 7 / 2016$ | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.108 |
| $8 / 11 / 2016$ | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4262 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1025 |
| $8 / 12 / 2016$ | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.108 |
| $8 / 14 / 2016$ | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.108 |
| $8 / 16 / 2016$ | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4262 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1598 |
| $8 / 19 / 2016$ | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2573 |
| $8 / 20 / 2016$ | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4817 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.108 |

## CWT Recoveries

Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1-Jul-16 | 181465 | 2012 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 73 | 80250 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 2-Jul-16 | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 96507 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 2-Jul-16 | 60593 | 2013 | SAN FRANCISCO MAJ.PT | MOK R FISH INS | CDFW |  | 65 | 96508 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 2-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 58 | 79925 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 2-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 60 | 80061 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 2-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 80249 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 3-Jul-16 | 92353 | 2011 | N FK RESERV (CLACKAM | CLACKAMAS HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 70 | 79561 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 3-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 65 | 80248 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 8-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 66 | 96506 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 8-Jul-16 | 636646 | 2013 | WASHOUGAL R 28.0159 | WASHOUGAL HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 79574 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 9-Jul-16 | 211048 | 2012 | COUNTY LINE CR3.2363 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 79586 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 9-Jul-16 | 211039 | 2012 | PALMER HATCHERY | KETA CREEK COMPLEX | MUCK |  | 74 | 79924 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 10-Jul-16 | 211061 | 2012 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA | 211060 | 82 | 79918 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 10-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 80247 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 11-Jul-16 | 636505 | 2012 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 78 | 80011 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 12-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 53 | 79563 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 12-Jul-16 | 636498 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 65 | 79564 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 12-Jul-16 | 183287 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 39 | 80062 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 12-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 74 | 80246 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 13-Jul-16 | 90855 | 2013 | BULL RUN R | SANDY HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 52 | 96505 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 13-Jul-16 | 636298 | 2012 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636297 | 55 | 79565 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 13-Jul-16 | 220142 | 2012 | BIG CANYON ACCL POND | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | NEZP |  | 77 | 79919 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 16-Jul-16 | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 54 | 80063 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 16-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 53 | 80064 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 17-Jul-16 | 90740 | 2012 | YOUNGS R \& BAY | CEDC YOUNGS BAY NET | ODFW |  | 75 | 96504 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 17-Jul-16 | 636827 | 2014 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW | 636828 | 50 | 79945 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 17-Jul-16 | 211048 | 2012 | COUNTY LINE CR3.2363 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 87 | 79950 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 17-Jul-16 | 182490 | 2012 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 53 | 80065 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 18-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 79570 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 19-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 79573 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 20-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 79571 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 20-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 79572 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 20-Jul-16 | 211090 | 2013 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 73 | 79587 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 20-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 63 | 80066 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 21-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 80067 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 21-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 80068 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 22-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 79589 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 22-Jul-16 | 636580 | 2012 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 74 | 80069 | AD Fin Clp |


| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 62 | 96560 | AD Fin Clp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 96561 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636365 | 2012 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636486 | 73 | 79590 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 220236 | 2013 | LUKE'S GULCH A F | NPT HATCHERY | NEZP |  | 55 | 79946 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 62 | 80070 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 200110 | 2013 | CHIEF JOSEPH HATCHERY | CHIEF JOSEPH HATCHERY | COLV |  | 49 | 80071 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 63 | 80073 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 80074 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636498 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 59 | 80075 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 23-Jul-16 | 636495 | 2013 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW | 636496 | 54 | 80076 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 24-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 59 | 96559 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 26-Jul-16 | 181972 | 2012 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 85 | 96562 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 26-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 80015 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 26-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 70 | 80077 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 26-Jul-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 60 | 80100 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 27-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 80 | 96563 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 27-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 96564 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 27-Jul-16 | 210623 | 2012 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 86 | 96565 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 27-Jul-16 | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 68 | 96566 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 28-Jul-16 | 55480 | 2012 | TSOO-YESS R 20.0015 | MAKAH NFH ON TSOO-YESS | FWS |  | 79 | 96509 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 28-Jul-16 | 636814 | 2014 | KENDALLCR 01.0406 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 49 | 96552 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 28-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 64 | 96557 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 28-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 76 | 80079 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 28-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 80080 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 64 | 96510 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 66 | 96511 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 78 | 96555 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 96558 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 71250 | 2013 | KLASKANINE R N FK | KLASKANINE HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 61 | 79555 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 90712 | 2013 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 90449 | 75 | 80012 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 64 | 80014 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 29-Jul-16 | 636267 | 2012 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 80081 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 30-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 79814 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 30-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 79832 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 31-Jul-16 | 211067 | 2012 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 73 | 96512 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 31-Jul-16 | 60565 | 2013 | WICKLAND OIL NET PEN | FEATHER R HATCHERY | CDFW |  | 70 | 96513 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 31-Jul-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 54 | 79815 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 31-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 79951 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 31-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 80368 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 3-Aug-16 | 210487 | 2012 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636288 | 72 | 79553 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 3-Aug-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 57 | 79554 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 3-Aug-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 65 | 79820 | AD Fin Clp |


| 5 | 3-Aug-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 79952 | AD Fin Clp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3-Aug-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 59 | 79953 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 4-Aug-16 | 636749 | 2013 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 68 | 79817 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 6-Aug-16 | 211054 | 2012 | WHITE R 10.0031 | WHITE RIVER HATCHERY | MUCK |  | 65 | 79955 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 6-Aug-16 | 182892 | 2012 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 78 | 79957 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | 6-Aug-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 80000 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 82 | 79958 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 210487 | 2012 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636288 | 57 | 79959 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 11-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636574 | 2012 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 81 | 79960 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 183485 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 52 | 79961 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 60661 | 2014 | MOSS LANDING MIN. PT | MOK R FISH INS | CDFW |  | 52 | 96681 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 79551 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 77 | 79552 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 183281 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 52 | 79962 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 61 | 79963 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636894 | 2014 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 55 | 79964 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 55 | 79965 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 181971 | 2012 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 91 | 79966 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636642 | 2013 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 79967 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 210623 | 2012 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 84 | 79968 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 54 | 96683 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 74 | 79816 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 55686 | 2013 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55687 | 78 | 79969 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 60 | 79970 | AD Fin Clp |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 67 | 79971 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1-Jul-16 | 636299 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636364 | 82 | 96740 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 1-Jul-16 | 636497 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 65 | 79584 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 1-Jul-16 | 636580 | 2012 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 57 | 79714 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 96724 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 96728 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636292 | 2012 | ELWHA R 18.0272 | ELWHA HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 89 | 96819 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636364 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636299 | 60 | 79190 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636299 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636364 | 82 | 79191 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 75 | 79193 | AD Fin Clp |


| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 79194 | AD Fin Clp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 79580 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 66 | 79581 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 79582 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 79583 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 2-Jul-16 | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 62 | 79761 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 3-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 70 | 96721 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 3-Jul-16 | 636292 | 2012 | ELWHA R 18.0272 | ELWHA HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 96723 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 3-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 64 | 79759 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 3-Jul-16 | 211019 | 2011 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 70 | 79762 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 7-Jul-16 | 636477 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 96731 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 7-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 79715 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 7-Jul-16 | 211090 | 2013 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 60 | 79716 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 8-Jul-16 | 636285 | 2012 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 67 | 96703 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 8-Jul-16 | 182499 | 2012 | R-Big Qualicum R | H-Big Qualicum River H | CDFO |  | 79 | 96704 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 8-Jul-16 | 211088 | 2013 | CO LINE PD2 03.1853B | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 79771 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 9-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 79196 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 9-Jul-16 | 211101 | 2013 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA | 211099 | 58 | 79197 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 9-Jul-16 | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 82 | 79198 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 10-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 60 | 79199 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 10-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 79718 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 11-Jul-16 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 96601 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 11-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 57 | 96602 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 11-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 52 | 79200 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 12-Jul-16 | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 56 | 96603 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 13-Jul-16 | 211134 | 2014 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636815 | 54 | 79717 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 13-Jul-16 | 55433 | 2013 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55529 | 73 | 79764 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 14-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 79721 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 17-Jul-16 | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 53 | 96604 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 17-Jul-16 | 636365 | 2012 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636486 | 72 | 96605 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 19-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 75 | 96606 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 21-Jul-16 | 211048 | 2012 | COUNTY LINE CR3.2363 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 83 | 79719 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 24-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 71 | 79722 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 29-Jul-16 | 211088 | 2013 | CO LINE PD2 03.1853B | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 96608 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 29-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 70 | 96679 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 29-Jul-16 | 636749 | 2013 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 76 | 96680 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 31-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 78 | 96737 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 4-Aug-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 79723 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 4-Aug-16 | 211047 | 2012 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 80 | 79725 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 5-Aug-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 64 | 96736 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 6-Aug-16 | 183368 | 2013 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 53 | 96628 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 6-Aug-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 79724 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | 6-Aug-16 | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 79 | 79726 | AD Fin Clp |


| 6 | 6-Aug-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 64 | 79727 | AD Fin Clp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 8-Aug-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 96643 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 182497 | 2012 | R-Big Qualicum R | H-Big Qualicum River H | CDFO |  | 87 | 96644 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211089 | 2013 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 74 | 96648 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 79750 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 64 | 96645 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 96641 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 62 | 74187 | AD Fin Clp |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211134 | 2014 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636815 | 59 | 79566 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 63 | 43165 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1-Jul-16 | 635672 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 84651 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2-Jul-16 | 636894 | 2014 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 55 | 97501 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2-Jul-16 | 636365 | 2012 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636486 | 82 | 42909 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  |  | 84751 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 7-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 54 | 42910 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 7-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 75 | 84652 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 8-Jul-16 | 182973 | 2013 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 65 | 42911 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 8-Jul-16 | 636477 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 84654 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 8-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 61 | 84805 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 9-Jul-16 | 636497 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 67 | 62110 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 9-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 63 | 62124 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 9-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 53 | 62125 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 9-Jul-16 | 636495 | 2013 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW | 636496 | 63 | 62126 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 9-Jul-16 | 211039 | 2012 | PALMER HATCHERY | KETA CREEK COMPLEX | MUCK |  | 77 | 62486 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 10-Jul-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 70 | 62487 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 84752 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 16-Jul-16 | 636495 | 2013 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW | 636496 | 58 | 84551 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 16-Jul-16 | 211061 | 2012 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA | 211060 | 58 | 84552 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 17-Jul-16 | 636661 | 2013 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636662 | 71 | 84754 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 27-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 84802 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Codes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 636580 | 2012 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 71 | 74183 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 70 | 74192 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 211051 | 2012 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636286 | 88 | 80759 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 80760 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 59 | 80761 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 80881 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 81211 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 16-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 81213 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 72 | 77251 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 80732 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 183864 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 58 | 80733 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 80762 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 67 | 80763 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 80764 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 80967 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 65 | 81063 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 17-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 81193 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 19-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 74186 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 19-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 80 | 80765 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 19-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 80766 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 20-Jul-16 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 84 | 80767 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 20-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 57 | 81080 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 21-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 62 | 81194 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 22-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 80 | 74189 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 22-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 77642 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 22-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 61 | 80835 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 23-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 74175 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 23-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 75 | 80768 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 23-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 65 | 80769 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 23-Jul-16 | 636822 | 2014 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 43 | 81065 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 24-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 59 | 79895 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 24-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 56 | 81071 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 24-Jul-16 | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 52 | 81083 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 26-Jul-16 | 635672 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 80770 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 28-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 64 | 96640 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 29-Jul-16 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636091 | 74 | 77300 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 29-Jul-16 | 636299 | 2012 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636364 | 70 | 80734 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 29-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 80836 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 29-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 69 | 81084 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 210487 | 2012 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636288 | 70 | 74172 | AD Fin Clp |

Draft; January 28, 2017

| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 636667 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 74173 | AD Fin Clp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 74184 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 80771 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 80772 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 30-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 63 | 80837 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 31-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 96639 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 31-Jul-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 61 | 74176 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 31-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 74182 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 31-Jul-16 | 55706 | 2013 | SAN PABLO BAY NET PENS | COLEMAN NFH | FWS |  | 56 | 81072 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 31-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 81172 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 1-Aug-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 80970 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 4-Aug-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 77232 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 4-Aug-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 65 | 81175 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 16-Jul-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 51 | 81151 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 16-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 54 | 81171 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 16-Jul-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 63 | 81212 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 17-Jul-16 | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 54 | 81153 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 22-Jul-16 | 636497 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 55 | 72294 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 26-Jul-16 | 636810 | 2014 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 72295 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 29-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 52 | 80968 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 30-Jul-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 81195 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 31-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 80969 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 3-Aug-16 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 83 | 72296 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 3-Aug-16 | 636498 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 53 | 81196 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 4-Aug-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 72 | 81174 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 6-Aug-16 | 182784 | 2013 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 78 | 80736 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | 8-Aug-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 81197 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 81177 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636497 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 59 | 81178 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 66 | 81101 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211134 | 2014 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636815 | 53 | 81102 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Codes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 24-Jun-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 87756 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 25-Jun-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 67 | 87754 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 26-Jun-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 62647 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 26-Jun-16 | 211104 | 2013 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 60 | 70847 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 27-Jun-16 | 636498 | 2013 | MINTER CR TR 15.0051 | HUPP SPRINGS REARING | WDFW |  | 72 | 87516 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 29-Jun-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 59 | 51926 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 30-Jun-16 | 636669 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636670 | 70 | 87751 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 30-Jun-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 87752 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 1-Jul-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 85173 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 1-Jul-16 | 636659 | 2013 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 636660 | 67 | 87758 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 11-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 68 | 87759 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 11-Jul-16 | 211090 | 2013 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 69 | 87760 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 15-Jul-16 | 636636 | 2013 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 87761 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 15-Jul-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 87762 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 15-Jul-16 | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 87763 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 15-Jul-16 | 210487 | 2012 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636288 | 63 | 87767 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 20-Jul-16 | 636644 | 2013 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 70848 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 5-Aug-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 54 | 87764 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 6-Aug-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 54 | 87765 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 6-Aug-16 | 211092 | 2013 | GROVERS CR 15.0299 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 636493 | 65 | 87766 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | 7-Aug-16 | 636642 | 2013 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 85308 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 62 | 70850 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636817 | 2014 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 54 | 85174 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636811 | 2014 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 53 | 70857 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 61 | 87514 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site |  | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Codes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636813 | 2014 | FINCH CR | 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 49 | 87960 | AD Fin Clp |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636674 | 2013 | PURDY CR | 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 85306 | AD Fin Clp |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR | 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 80 | 87965 | AD Fin Clp |
| 12 | 6-Sep-16 | 636813 | 2014 | FINCH CR | 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 44 | 87552 | AD Fin Clp |
| 12 | 18-Sep-16 | 636812 | 2014 | ELWHA R | 18.0272 | ELWHA HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 39 | 85179 | Unmarked |
| 12 | 25-Sep-16 | 636635 | 2013 | FINCH CR | 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 85030 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B. 8 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2016 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Codes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F L \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 23-Jul-16 | 636822 | 2014 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 62808 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AD Fin } \\ \text { Clp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | 29-Jul-16 | 636811 | 2014 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 87701 | AD Fin Clp |
| 13 | 8-Aug-16 | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 66 | 85229 | AD Fin Clp |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 65 | 87768 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AD Fin } \\ \text { Clp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 211137 | 2014 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636816 | 58 | 87515 | AD Fin Clp |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 22-Aug- } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 636490 | 2012 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 81 | 42061 | AD Fin Clp |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29-Aug- } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 211091 | 2013 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCHERY | NISQ | 636499 | 60 | 85311 | AD Fin Clp |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to summer mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches [ 56 cm ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.
    ${ }^{2}$ For information regarding effort, harvest and impacts estimates related to these fisheries, see the references listed at the end of this report, or visit: http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/search.php?Cat=Fishing / Shellfishing\&SubCat=Selective Fishing.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.

